

$^{136}\text{Sm } \varepsilon \text{ decay }$     **1989Vi04**

Type	Author	History	Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	E. A. Mccutchan		NDS 152, 331 (2018)	1-Apr-2018

Parent:  $^{136}\text{Sm}$ : E=0.0;  $J^\pi=0^+$ ;  $T_{1/2}=47$  s 2;  $Q(\varepsilon)=4360$  70; % $\varepsilon$ +% $\beta^+$  decay=100.0

**1989Vi04:**  $^{136}\text{Sm}$  activity from  $^{92}\text{Mo}(^{46}\text{Ti},2\text{p})$  with E( $^{92}\text{Mo}$ )=192 MeV followed by mass separation. Measured  $E\gamma$ ,  $I\gamma$ , K x ray,  $X\gamma$ ,  $\gamma\gamma$ ,  $\beta\gamma$ ,  $\gamma^\pm$ ,  $\gamma(t)$  using Si(Au)  $\Delta E$ -E telescope, thin planar HPGe x-ray detector, thick plastic scintillator and n-type Ge detector.

**1988Ke03:**  $^{136}\text{Sm}$  activity from  $^{92}\text{Mo}(^{46}\text{Ti},2\text{p})$  with E( $^{92}\text{Mo}$ )=170 MeV followed by mass separation. Measured  $E\gamma$ ,  $I\gamma$ ,  $\gamma\gamma$ , x-rays using a Ge(Li) detector and Ge- $\gamma$ -X detector. Measured  $\gamma$ ,  $\gamma\gamma$ ,  $X\gamma$ ,  $\gamma(t)$ .  $T_{1/2}(^{136}\text{Pm})=47$  s 2. All the  $\gamma$ 's seen by **1988Ke03** are also seen by **1989Vi04**.

**1982Al07:**  $^{136}\text{Sm}$  activity from W,Ta(p,X) with E(p)=1 MeV followed by mass separation. Measured  $E\gamma$ ,  $I\gamma$ , K x ray,  $\gamma(t)$ , X(t) using HPGe, Ge(Li) and Si(Li) detectors.

As the isomer excitation energy is unknown,  $\gamma$  rays have unknown multipolarities and there is a large gap between the highest observed level (862 keV+x) and the decay Q value of over 4 MeV, the decay scheme is considered incomplete and no attempt is made to derive a normalization or  $\beta$  feeding intensities.

 $^{136}\text{Pm}$  Levels

E(level) <sup>†</sup>	$J^\pi$ <sup>‡</sup>	$T_{1/2}$ <sup>‡</sup>	Comments
x	(2)	300 s 50	<a href="#">Additional information 1.</a>
114.42+x 13	1 <sup>+</sup>		
123.35+x 19			
221.1+x 4			
286.90+x 15	(1 <sup>+</sup> )		
299.30+x 21			
306.51+x 20			
315.3+x 3			
422.45+x 19			
427.95+x 18			
485.30+x 16			
563.23+x 22			
802.45+x 24			
862.13+x 20			

<sup>†</sup> From a least-squares fit to  $E\gamma$ , by evaluator.

<sup>‡</sup> From the Adopted Levels.

 $\gamma(^{136}\text{Pm})$ 

$E\gamma$ <sup>†</sup>	$I\gamma$ <sup>†</sup>	$E_i(\text{level})$	$J_i^\pi$	$E_f$	$J_f^\pi$	Comments
<sup>x</sup> 90.0 4	1.7 5					
<sup>x</sup> 91.1 5	0.6 2					
<sup>x</sup> 100.2 5	0.8 2					
114.4 2	100 10	114.42+x	1 <sup>+</sup>	x	(2)	
116.1 4	1.1 5	422.45+x		306.51+x		
123.4 3	6 1	123.35+x		x	(2)	
128.8 5	0.6 2	427.95+x		299.30+x		$I\gamma$ : other: 0.3 <a href="#">I (1988Ke03)</a> .
134.9 4	1.4 2	563.23+x		427.95+x		$I\gamma$ : other: 0.5 <a href="#">I (1988Ke03)</a> .
<sup>x</sup> 135.5 5	0.2 2					
140.6 3	≈1	427.95+x		286.90+x (1 <sup>+</sup> )		$I\gamma$ : other: 0.5 <a href="#">I (1988Ke03)</a> .
141.4 4	1.2 5	563.23+x		422.45+x		$I\gamma$ : other: 0.5 <a href="#">I (1988Ke03)</a> .
163.3 4	1.5 3	286.90+x	(1 <sup>+</sup> )	123.35+x		
170.0 5	0.6 1	485.30+x		315.3+x		

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

**$^{136}\text{Sm}$   $\varepsilon$  decay    1989Vi04 (continued)** **$\gamma(^{136}\text{Pm})$  (continued)**

$E_\gamma^\dagger$	$I_\gamma^\dagger$	$E_i(\text{level})$	$J_i^\pi$	$E_f$	$J_f^\pi$	Comments
172.4 3	2.3 5	286.90+x	(1 <sup>+</sup> )	114.42+x	1 <sup>+</sup>	$I_\gamma$ : other: 2.7 1 ( <a href="#">1988Ke03</a> ).
183.2 3	2.1 5	306.51+x		123.35+x		
185.0 3	1.9 5	299.30+x		114.42+x	1 <sup>+</sup>	$I_\gamma$ : other: 4.1 2 ( <a href="#">1988Ke03</a> ).
186.1 4	0.6 1	485.30+x		299.30+x		
192.0 <sup>@</sup> 4	1.8 <sup>@</sup> 4	306.51+x		114.42+x	1 <sup>+</sup>	$I_\gamma$ : other: 0.9 1 for 192.6 2 transition placed as solely depopulating the 307+x level ( <a href="#">1988Ke03</a> ).
192.0 <sup>@</sup> 4	0.9 <sup>@</sup> 2	315.3+x		123.35+x		
200.7 5	0.7 1	315.3+x		114.42+x	1 <sup>+</sup>	$I_\gamma$ : other: 0.9 1 ( <a href="#">1988Ke03</a> ).
206.9 5	1.5 5	427.95+x		221.1+x		
221.2 5	1.5 5	221.1+x		x	(2)	
<sup>x</sup> 270.4 4	1.8 5					
276.3 5	0.8 2	563.23+x		286.90+x	(1 <sup>+</sup> )	
286.8 2	12 3	286.90+x	(1 <sup>+</sup> )	x	(2)	$I_\gamma$ : other: 13 3 ( <a href="#">1988Ke03</a> ).
299.3 <sup>@</sup> 4	6 <sup>@</sup> 1	299.30+x		x	(2)	
299.3 <sup>@</sup> 4	$\approx$ 2 <sup>@</sup>	422.45+x		123.35+x		
306.6 4	1.6 3	306.51+x		x	(2)	
313.6 2	13 3	427.95+x		114.42+x	1 <sup>+</sup>	$I_\gamma$ : other: 12.6 2 ( <a href="#">1988Ke03</a> ).
<sup>x</sup> 350.5 <sup>‡</sup> 3	2.1 5					
<sup>x</sup> 368.7 <sup>#</sup> 3	1.1 1					
371.0 5	1.8 5	485.30+x		114.42+x	1 <sup>+</sup>	$I_\gamma$ : other: 3.2 2 ( <a href="#">1988Ke03</a> ).
377.0 4	2.5 5	862.13+x		485.30+x		$I_\gamma$ : other: 1.7 1 ( <a href="#">1988Ke03</a> ).
380.0 3	2.0 3	802.45+x		422.45+x		$I_\gamma$ : other: 3.2 2 for a 379.4 $\gamma$ which <a href="#">1988Ke03</a> place as depopulating a level at 493.9+x.
422.6 3	2.4 3	422.45+x		x	(2)	
434.5 <sup>&amp;</sup> 2	0.7 1	862.13+x		427.95+x		$E_\gamma, I_\gamma$ : from <a href="#">1988Ke03</a> , $\gamma$ not observed by <a href="#">1989Vi04</a> .
448.7 3	2.7 3	563.23+x		114.42+x	1 <sup>+</sup>	$I_\gamma$ : other: 2.2 1 ( <a href="#">1988Ke03</a> ).
<sup>x</sup> 454.9 <sup>#</sup> 2	1.2 1					
485.3 2	14 3	485.30+x		x	(2)	$I_\gamma$ : other: 1.2 4 ( <a href="#">1988Ke03</a> ).
515.6 5	0.4 1	802.45+x		286.90+x	(1 <sup>+</sup> )	$I_\gamma$ : other: 2.1 3 ( <a href="#">1988Ke03</a> ).
555.5 4	1.8 4	862.13+x		306.51+x		
747.7 2	15 2	862.13+x		114.42+x	1 <sup>+</sup>	$I_\gamma$ : other: 2.7 11 ( <a href="#">1988Ke03</a> ).
802.4 4	7 2	802.45+x		x	(2)	
<sup>x</sup> 1260.0 5	$\approx$ 2					

<sup>†</sup> From [1989Vi04](#), except where noted.  $I_\gamma$  values from [1988Ke03](#) are included in the comments.

<sup>‡</sup> [1988Ke03](#) report a 350.0 2 transition with  $I_\gamma=1.4$  1 which they place as a single transition depopulating a level at 464.5+x.

<sup>#</sup> From [1988Ke03](#). The 369 $\gamma$  and 455 $\gamma$  are placed by [1988Ke03](#) as depopulating levels at 862+x and 569+x, respectively. As these placements were not confirmed by [1989Vi04](#), there are not adopted here.

<sup>@</sup> Multiply placed with intensity suitably divided.

<sup>&</sup> Placement of transition in the level scheme is uncertain.

<sup>x</sup>  $\gamma$  ray not placed in level scheme.

$^{136}\text{Sm} \epsilon$  decay 1989Vi04