

Adopted Levels, Gammas

Type	Author	History	Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	E. A. Mccutchan		NDS 152, 331 (2018)	1-Apr-2018

$Q(\beta^-)=6884$ 14; $S(n)=3837$ 14; $S(p)=9105$ 14; $Q(\alpha)=-2335$ 14
 $S(2n)=11644$ 15, $S(2p)=20103$ 14 ([2017Wa10](#)).

 ^{136}I Levels**Cross Reference (XREF) Flags**

- A** ^{136}Te β^- decay (17.63 s)
- B** ^{137}Te β^-n decay
- C** ^{252}Cf SF decay
- D** ^{248}Cm SF decay

E(level) [†]	J^π	$T_{1/2}$	XREF	Comments
0	(1 ⁻)	83.4 s 4	ABCD	% $\beta^-=100$
86.73 7	(2 ⁻ ,1 ⁻ ,0 ⁻)	0.4 ns 1	ABCD	J^π : log $f\tau=6.46$ for β^- decay to 2 ⁺ state, no decays to 3 ⁻ states (1991Ma07), supported by Shell Model calculations (2009Co15 , 2010Co17). $T_{1/2}$: weighted average of 85.1 s 20 ($\gamma(t)$, 1977We04), 83.4 s 4 (1972Wa21), 83 s 2 ($\gamma(t)$, $\beta(t)$, 1971Lu02), 83 s 3 ($\gamma(t)$, 1970Ca25), and 82.8 s 15 ($\beta(t)$, 1959Jo37).
201 26	(6 ⁻)	46.6 s 11	D	J^π : M1(+E2) 87.3 γ to (1 ⁻), population in ^{248}Cm SF decay favors $J^\pi=2^-$. $T_{1/2}$: from ce(t) in ^{252}Cf SF decay. % $\beta^-=100$
222.10 7			AB D	E(level): from β endpoint energies in 2007Fo02 . Note that 2007Fo02 , interpreted this as 7 ⁻ isomer, however, observation of prompt, 42.6 keV transition from (7 ⁻) level by 2006Ur02 indicates that the isomer is $J^\pi=(6^-)$. Other: 640 110 from 1985Wa04 , based on $\beta\gamma$ coincidence data of 1980KeZQ . $T_{1/2}$: weighted average of 44.8 s 10 ($\gamma(t)$, 1977We04), 48 s 2 ($\gamma(t)$, $\beta(t)$, 1971Lu02), 48 s 1 ($\gamma(t)$, 1970Ca25). J^π : from log $f\tau=6.4$ for β decay to 6 ⁺ , weak or no β decay to 4 ⁺ , no observed IT decay, supported by shell model calculations (2006Ur02). configuration= $\pi g_{7/2}^2 d_{5/2} v f_{7/2}$ (2006Ur02).
243.6	(7 ⁻)		CD	J^π : (3 ⁻) proposed in ^{248}Cm SF decay based on assignment to γ -cascade, feeding from 0 ⁺ parent in β^- decay suggests J=0,1,2.
316.7			D	J^π : M1+E2 42.6 γ to (6 ⁻).
333.97 6	(0 ⁻ ,1)		AB	J^π : log $f\tau=6.3$ for β^- decay from 0 ⁺ parent.
578.77 3	(0,1,2)		AB	J^π : 579 γ to 1 ⁻ , 2078 γ from 1 ⁺ .
630.53 16	(0 ⁻ ,1)		AB	J^π : log $f\tau=6.3$ for β^- decay from 0 ⁺ parent.
738.21 19	(0,1,2)		AB	J^π : 738 γ to (1 ⁻), 2497 γ from 1 ⁺ .
1355.4 26	(9 ⁻)		CD	J^π : (E2) 1112 γ to (7 ⁻).
1616.1 26	(11 ⁻)	\approx 4 ns	CD	$T_{1/2}$: unplaced 261 γ in ^{252}Cf SF decay observed with $T_{1/2}=4$ ns (1970Jo20). Other: 3.4 ns 6 also for unplaced 261 γ (1974ClZK). J^π : (E2) 261 γ to (9 ⁻).
1859.4 26	(12 ⁻)		CD	J^π : 243 γ to (11 ⁻).
2656.42 22	1 ⁺		A	J^π : log $f\tau=4.7$ from 0 ⁺ parent.
2685.1 18			D	J^π : (12 ⁻) proposed by 1997Bh06 in ^{248}Cm SF decay based on shell model calculations.
3079.1			D	J^π : (12 ⁺) proposed by 1997Bh06 in ^{248}Cm SF decay based on shell model calculations.
3137.1 5	1 ⁺		A	J^π : log $f\tau=5.3$ from 0 ⁺ parent.
3143.4			D	J^π : (13 ⁺) proposed by 1997Bh06 in ^{248}Cm SF decay based on shell model calculations.

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

Adopted Levels, Gammas (continued) **^{136}I Levels (continued)**

E(level) [†]	J ^π	XREF	Comments
3235.2	3	1 ⁺	A
			J ^π : log ft=4.5 from 0 ⁺ parent.
3260			D
			J ^π : (14 ⁺) proposed by 1997Bh06 in ^{248}Cm SF decay based on shell model calculations.
3321			D
4319			D

[†] From least-squares fit to E γ , by evaluator. For states built upon the 201-keV isomer, the uncertainty in the excitation energy is not propagated.

Adopted Levels, Gammas (continued)

 $\gamma(^{136}\text{I})$

$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_γ^\dagger	I_γ^\dagger	E_f	J_f^π	Mult.	δ	$a^@$	Comments
86.73	(2 ⁻ ,1 ⁻ ,0 ⁻)	87.3 2	100	0	(1 ⁻)	M1(+E2)	<0.18	1.22 6	$\alpha(K)=0.960\ 21; \alpha(L)=0.135\ 11; \alpha(M)=0.0273\ 24;$ $\alpha(N)=0.0055\ 5; \alpha(O)=0.00063\ 4$ B(E2)(W.u.)<120; B(M1)(W.u.)=0.036 10 Mult., δ : from $\alpha(\text{exp})=1.22\ 6$ in ¹³⁶ Te β^- decay. Other: $\alpha(K)\text{exp}=3.2\ 8$ in ²⁴⁸ Cm SF decay, however, theory gives $\alpha(K)=0.96$ and 1.8 for M1 and E2 multipolarities. α : experimental value from ¹³⁶ Te β^- decay.
222.10		135.385 3	100	86.73	(2 ⁻ ,1 ⁻ ,0 ⁻)				
243.6	(7 ⁻)	42.6 [#]	100	201	(6 ⁻)	M1+E2	24 16		$\alpha(K)=9.1\ 15; \alpha(L)=12\ 11; \alpha(M)=2.6\ 24; \alpha(N)=0.5\ 5;$ $\alpha(O)=0.04\ 4$ Mult.: from $\alpha(K)\text{exp}=7\ 1$ in ²⁴⁸ Cm SF decay.
316.7		94.5 [#]	100	222.10					
333.97	(0 ⁻ ,1)	333.99 6	100	0	(1 ⁻)				
578.77	(0,1,2)	356.78 6	11	222.10					
		491.3 3	13	86.73	(2 ⁻ ,1 ⁻ ,0 ⁻)				
		578.75 3	100	0	(1 ⁻)				
630.53	(0 ⁻ ,1)	297.3 5	5	333.97	(0 ⁻ ,1)				
		543.2 3	21	86.73	(2 ⁻ ,1 ⁻ ,0 ⁻)				
		630.7 2	100	0	(1 ⁻)				
738.21	(0,1,2)	738.2 2	100	0	(1 ⁻)				
1355.4	(9 ⁻)	1111.8 [‡]	100	243.6	(7 ⁻)	(E2)		1.22×10^{-3}	$\alpha(K)=0.001054\ 15; \alpha(L)=0.0001322\ 19; \alpha(M)=2.65 \times 10^{-5}$ $4; \alpha(N)=5.36 \times 10^{-6}\ 8; \alpha(O)=6.25 \times 10^{-7}\ 9$ Mult.: Q from $\gamma\gamma(\theta)$ in ²⁵² Cf SF decay, assumed member of E2 cascade.
1616.1	(11 ⁻)	260.7 [‡]	100	1355.4	(9 ⁻)	(E2)		0.0636	$\alpha(K)=0.0521\ 8; \alpha(L)=0.00919\ 13; \alpha(M)=0.00189\ 3;$ $\alpha(N)=0.000373\ 6; \alpha(O)=3.94 \times 10^{-5}\ 6$ B(E2)(W.u.)≈2.7 Mult.: Q from $\gamma\gamma(\theta)$ in ²⁵² Cf SF decay, assumed member of E2 cascade.
1859.4	(12 ⁻)	243.3 [‡]	100	1616.1	(11 ⁻)				
2656.42	1 ⁺	2077.9 3	100	578.77	(0,1,2)				
		2569.4 3	69	86.73	(2 ⁻ ,1 ⁻ ,0 ⁻)				
		2656.0 ^{&a} 6	≈3 ^{&}	0	(1 ⁻)				
2685.1		1069 [#]	100	1616.1	(11 ⁻)				
3079.1		1463 [#]	100	1616.1	(11 ⁻)				
3137.1	1 ⁺	2804.0 6	100	333.97	(0 ⁻ ,1)				
		3049.5 6	92	86.73	(2 ⁻ ,1 ⁻ ,0 ⁻)				
3143.4		1284	100	1859.4	(12 ⁻)				
3235.2	1 ⁺	2496.9 5	33	738.21	(0,1,2)				
		2604.8 6	8	630.53	(0 ⁻ ,1)				

Adopted Levels, Gammas (continued)

 $\gamma(^{136}\text{I})$ (continued)

$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_γ^{\dagger}	I_γ^{\dagger}	E_f	J_f^π	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_γ^{\dagger}	I_γ^{\dagger}	E_f	J_f^π
3235.2	1 ⁺	2656.0 ^{&a}	6	≈ 4 ^{&}	578.77 (0,1,2)	3260		1402 [#]		1859.4 (12 ⁻)	
		3235.1	4	100	0 (1 ⁻)			1644 [#]		1616.1 (11 ⁻)	
3260		117 [#]		3143.4		3321		242 [#]	100	3079.1	
		182 [#]		3079.1		4319		1058 [#]	100	3260	

[†] From ¹³⁶Te β^- decay, except where noted.[‡] From ²⁵²Cf SF decay.[#] From ²⁴⁸Cm SF decay.④ Total theoretical internal conversion coefficients, calculated using the BrIcc code ([2008Ki07](#)) with Frozen orbital approximation based on γ -ray energies, assigned multipolarities, and mixing ratios, unless otherwise specified.

& Multiply placed with intensity suitably divided.

^a Placement of transition in the level scheme is uncertain.

Adopted Levels, Gammas

Legend

Level Scheme

Intensities: Relative photon branching from each level

@ Multiply placed: intensity suitably divided

-----► γ Decay (Uncertain)