

$^{139}\text{La}(\text{p},4\text{n}\gamma)$ 1975Yo01

Type	Author	History Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	E. A. Mccutchan	NDS 152, 331 (2018)	1-Apr-2018

1975Yo01: $^{139}\text{La}(\text{p},4\text{n}\gamma)$ with $E(\text{p})=32.5\text{--}52$ MeV. Measured $E\gamma$, $I\gamma$, $\gamma(\text{t})$, $\gamma(\theta)$, excitation function using Ge(Li) detector and Ece, Ice using multigap reaction conversion electron spectrometer (M-Race).

 ^{136}Ce Levels

E(level) [†]	$J^{\pi\ddagger}$	$T_{1/2}$	Comments
0.0	0 ⁺		
553.0 4	2 ⁺		
1093.8 4	2 ⁺		
1316.1 6	4 ⁺		
1555.3 5	3 ⁺		
1980.6 8	5 ⁻		
2216.4 8	6 ⁺		
2309.7 10	7 ⁻		
2369.9 8			
2994.2 8		2.1 μs 2	$T_{1/2}$: from $\gamma(\text{t})$ of 553 γ (1975Yo01). 1975Yo01 additionally state that the half-lives of the 624 γ , 763 γ , 778 γ , 900 γ and 1054 γ were the same within an uncertainty of 0.4 μs .

[†] From a least-squares fit to $E\gamma$, by evaluator.

[‡] As proposed by 1975Yo01 based on measured multipolarities and deduced band structure.

 $\gamma(^{136}\text{Ce})$

$\alpha(\text{K})\text{exp}$ from 1975Yo01, normalized to $\alpha(\text{K})(552\gamma)=0.00694$ (E2 theory).

$E\gamma$ [†]	$I\gamma$ [‡]	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^{π}	E_f	J_f^{π}	Mult. [#]	Comments
329.1 5	18 1	2309.7	7 ⁻	1980.6	5 ⁻	E2	$\alpha(\text{K})\text{exp}=0.026$ 3 (1975Yo01) $A_2=+0.19$ 6, $A_4=-0.12$ 8 (1975Yo01).
461.1 5	4 1	1555.3	3 ⁺	1093.8	2 ⁺		
540.3 5	9 2	1093.8	2 ⁺	553.0	2 ⁺		
552.9 5	100 5	553.0	2 ⁺	0.0	0 ⁺	E2	$A_2=+0.012$ 1, $A_4=-0.04$ 5 (1975Yo01).
624.3 5	4 1	2994.2		2369.9			
664.5 5	45 3	1980.6	5 ⁻	1316.1	4 ⁺	(E1)	$\alpha(\text{K})\text{exp}=0.0038$ 6 (1975Yo01) $A_2=-0.04$ 6, $A_4=-0.02$ 11 (1975Yo01). Mult.: $\alpha(\text{K})\text{exp}$ suggests E2 or E1 multipolarity, while $\gamma(\theta)$ favors the latter.
763.1 5	85 5	1316.1	4 ⁺	553.0	2 ⁺	E2	$\alpha(\text{K})\text{exp}=0.0036$ 4 (1975Yo01) $A_2=+0.13$ 5, $A_4=-0.04$ 8 (1975Yo01).
777.8 5	3 1	2994.2		2216.4	6 ⁺		
900.3 5	21 1	2216.4	6 ⁺	1316.1	4 ⁺	E2	$\alpha(\text{K})\text{exp}=0.0026$ 5 (1975Yo01) $A_2=+0.31$ 12, $A_4=+0.05$ 18 (1975Yo01).
1002.8 5	3 1	1555.3	3 ⁺	553.0	2 ⁺		
1053.9 5	22 1	2369.9		1316.1	4 ⁺	M1,E2	$\alpha(\text{K})\text{exp}=0.0020$ 9 (1975Yo01) $A_2=+0.01$ 15, $A_4=-0.02$ 24 (1975Yo01).
1093.8 5	3 1	1093.8	2 ⁺	0.0	0 ⁺		

[†] From 1975Yo01. 1975Yo01 provide only a general statement that energies are known to 0.5 keV.

[‡] From 1975Yo01. From a general statement by 1975Yo01 that $I\gamma$ are to within 5% for strong lines and 20% for weak lines,

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

$^{139}\text{La}(\text{p},4\text{n}\gamma)$ 1975Yo01 (continued) $\gamma(^{136}\text{Ce})$ (continued)

evaluator assigns 5% uncertainty for $I_\gamma > 10$ and 20% uncertainty for $I_\gamma < 10$.

From $\alpha(\text{K})\text{exp}$ in 1975Yo01. Results from $\gamma(\theta)$ measurements are in agreement.

 $^{139}\text{La}(\text{p},4\text{n}\gamma)$ 1975Yo01Level Scheme

Intensities: Type not specified

Legend

- $\longrightarrow$ $I_\gamma < 2\% \times I_\gamma^{\text{max}}$
 $\longrightarrow$ $I_\gamma < 10\% \times I_\gamma^{\text{max}}$
 $\longrightarrow$ $I_\gamma > 10\% \times I_\gamma^{\text{max}}$

