

$^{135}\text{Pr IT decay (105 }\mu\text{s)}$     [1973Co32](#)

Type	Author	History	Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	Balraj Singh, Alexander A. Rodionov And Yuri L. Khazov		NDS 109,517 (2008)	22-Jan-2008

Parent:  $^{135}\text{Pr}$ : E=357.6 5;  $J^\pi=(11/2^-)$ ;  $T_{1/2}=105 \mu\text{s}$  10; %IT decay=100

[1973Co32](#): isomer produced in reaction  $^{127}\text{I}(^{12}\text{C},4n\gamma)$  at 88 MeV. Measured  $E\gamma$ ,  $I\gamma$ ,  $\gamma(t)$ ,  $I(x \text{ ray})$ .

This isomer is also populated in  $^{135}\text{Nd}$   $\varepsilon$  decay and in in-beam  $\gamma$ -ray studies which provide better branching ratios given in 'Adopted Levels, gammas'.

Intensity balances at 245.3 and 41.5 levels are poor which implies that  $\gamma$ -ray intensities are not known well in this study.

Total decay energy of 375 keV 25 calculated (by RADLIST code) from level scheme is in agreement with the expected value of 358 keV.

 $^{135}\text{Pr Levels}$ 

E(level)	$J^\pi$ <sup>†</sup>	$T_{1/2}$	Comments
0.0	$3/2^{(+)}$		
41.5 4	$5/2^{(+)}$		
245.3 5	$7/2^{(+)}$		
357.6 5	$(11/2^-)$	105 $\mu\text{s}$ 10	$T_{1/2}$ : from $\gamma(t)$ ( <a href="#">1973Co32</a> ).

<sup>†</sup> From 'Adopted Levels'.

 $\gamma(^{135}\text{Pr})$ 

$I\gamma$  normalization: from  $\Sigma(I(\gamma+ce))$  of 112.4 $\gamma$  and 316.6 $\gamma$ )=100.  
 $I(K_\alpha \times \text{ray})=530$  106 relative to 220 for 41.5 $\gamma$ .

$E_\gamma$	$I_\gamma$ <sup>‡</sup>	$E_i(\text{level})$	$J_i^\pi$	$E_f$	$J_f^\pi$	Mult. <sup>†</sup>	$\delta$ <sup>†</sup>	$\alpha$ <sup>#</sup>	Comments
41.5 4	173 28	41.5	$5/2^{(+)}$	0.0	$3/2^{(+)}$	M1(+E2)	<0.15	3.1 6	$a(L)=2.5$ 5; $a(M)=0.53$ 11; $a(N+..)=0.14$ 3 $a(N)=0.116$ 24; $a(O)=0.018$ 4; $a(P)=0.001085$ 18
112.4 4	64 5	357.6	$(11/2^-)$	245.3	$7/2^{(+)}$	M2	8.26		$I_\gamma$ : this $\gamma$ -ray is not well resolved from x rays, thus its photon intensity of 220 33 given by <a href="#">1973Co32</a> is not reliably determined. The value given here is deduced (by evaluators) from intensity balance. Mult.: $\alpha(\text{exp})=(1.7$ 5) from <a href="#">1973Co32</a> is consistent with M1. $a(K)\text{exp}<8.0$ ; $a(\text{exp})>8.2$ 10 $a(K)=6.46$ 10; $a(L)=1.408$ 20; $a(M)=0.312$ 5; $a(N+..)=0.0817$ 12 $a(N)=0.0699$ 10; $a(O)=0.01101$ 16; $a(P)=0.000707$ 10
203.8 3	590 30	245.3	$7/2^{(+)}$	41.5	$5/2^{(+)}$	M1	0.1762		$a(K)=0.1503$ 21; $a(L)=0.0205$ 3; $a(M)=0.00431$ 6; $a(N+..)=0.001130$ 16 $a(N)=0.000964$ 14; $a(O)=0.0001553$ 22; $a(P)=1.149\times 10^{-5}$ 17 Mult.: $\alpha(\text{exp})=(0.06$ 12) from <a href="#">1973Co32</a> gives dipole or E2.
245.6 <sup>@</sup> 10	<50	245.3	$7/2^{(+)}$	0.0	$3/2^{(+)}$	(E2)	0.0963		$a(K)=0.0748$ 11; $a(L)=0.01686$ 25;

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

**$^{135}\text{Pr}$  IT decay (105  $\mu\text{s}$ ) 1973Co32 (continued)** **$\gamma(^{135}\text{Pr})$  (continued)**

$E_\gamma$	$I_\gamma^\ddagger$	$E_i(\text{level})$	$J_i^\pi$	$E_f$	$J_f^\pi$	Mult. <sup>†</sup>	$a^\#$	Comments
(316.6 <i>I</i> )	15 3	357.6	(11/2 <sup>-</sup> )	41.5	5/2 <sup>(+)</sup>	E3	0.1603	$\alpha(M)=0.00369$ 6; $\alpha(N+..)=0.000932$ 14 $\alpha(N)=0.000807$ 12; $\alpha(O)=0.0001198$ 18; $\alpha(P)=4.71\times 10^{-6}$ 7 $\alpha(K)=0.1082$ 16; $\alpha(L)=0.0406$ 6; $\alpha(M)=0.00916$ 13; $\alpha(N+..)=0.00229$ 4 $\alpha(N)=0.00200$ 3; $\alpha(O)=0.000288$ 4; $\alpha(P)=7.32\times 10^{-6}$ 11 $E_\gamma$ : from ‘adopted gammas’. $I_\gamma$ : deduced from branching ratio in ‘adopted gammas’.

<sup>†</sup> From the Adopted Gammas, unless otherwise stated.<sup>‡</sup> For absolute intensity per 100 decays, multiply by 0.164 15.<sup>#</sup> Total theoretical internal conversion coefficients, calculated using the BrIcc code (2008Ki07) with “Frozen Orbitals” approximation based on  $\gamma$ -ray energies, assigned multipolarities, and mixing ratios, unless otherwise specified.

@ Placement of transition in the level scheme is uncertain.

 **$^{135}\text{Pr}$  IT decay (105  $\mu\text{s}$ ) 1973Co32**

## Legend

## Decay Scheme

Intensities:  $I_{(\gamma+ce)}$  per 100 parent decays  
%IT=100

- $I_\gamma < 2\% \times I_\gamma^{\max}$
- $I_\gamma < 10\% \times I_\gamma^{\max}$
- $I_\gamma > 10\% \times I_\gamma^{\max}$
- - - - - →  $\gamma$  Decay (Uncertain)

