### <sup>135</sup>Pm $\varepsilon$ decay (45 s) 1989Vi04,1989Ko07

### History

Type Author Citation Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation Balraj Singh, Alexander A. Rodionov And Yuri L. Khazov NDS 109, 517 (2008) 22-Jan-2008

Parent:  $^{135}$ Pm: E=68.7+y;  $J^{\pi}=(11/2^{-})$ ;  $T_{1/2}=45$  s 4;  $Q(\varepsilon)=6240$  60;  $\%\varepsilon+\%\beta^{+}$  decay=100.0

<sup>135</sup>Pm-E: systematics (see figure 3 in 1993BrZU) suggest 11/2<sup>-</sup> as g.s. of <sup>135</sup>Pm. However, experimental evidence is lacking, as to which of the two activities (45 s or 49 s) corresponds to the ground state. See also comment for the 49-s isomer labeled 0.0+x. If 0+x is the ground state, then (11/2<sup>-</sup>) state may be at an absolute energy of 68.7 keV. 2003Au03 give 50 100 from systematics.

1989Vi04:  $^{135}$ Pm produced by  $^{92}$ Mo( $^{46}$ Ti,3p) E=192 MeV followed by on-line mass separation and from decay of  $^{135}$ Sm. Measured  $T_{1/2}$ , E $\gamma$ , I $\gamma$ ,  $\gamma\gamma$ , X $\gamma$  coin,  $\beta+\gamma$  coin.

1989Ko07:  $^{135}$ Pm produced by  $^{92}$ Mo( $^{46}$ Ti,3p) E=250, 240 MeV and  $^{112}$ Sn( $^{28}$ Si,3p2n) E=190 MeV followed by on-line mass separation. Measured  $T_{1/2}$ ,  $\gamma$  rays, ce,  $\gamma\gamma$ ,  $\gamma$ ce.

1995Ve08: measured  $\beta^+$  end-point energies, deduced Q value.

Others: 1982AIZO, 1977Bo02, 1975Va14. 1977Bo02 reported five  $\gamma$  rays from <sup>135</sup>Pm decay and 1982AIZO reported 33  $\gamma$  rays. The  $\varepsilon + \beta^+$  feedings and associated log ft values are given by 1989Vi04 as limits based on their proposed level scheme, but in the absence of clear separation between the level schemes for the two activities (45 s and 49 s), the evaluators consider the available data to be insufficient to determine such values reliably.

 $E(\beta^{+} \text{ endpoint}) = 4920 \ 150 \text{ (to g.s. and } 198.8 \text{ level) } (1995 \text{Ve}08).$ 

The separation of the decay schemes from the two activities is not considered as well established by the evaluators due to the two half-lives being close in value. In the work of 1989Vi04 this separation was based mainly on the decay pattern to high- and low-spin states in the daughter nucleus from the parent activities with spins  $(11/2^-)$  and  $(3/3^+,5/2^+)$ . 1989Vi04 state that low-spin isomer in their work is mainly populated from the decay of <sup>135</sup>Sm whereas the high-spin isomer is populated in heavy-ion reaction. The growth and decay properties of certain  $\gamma$  rays for example that of 128.8 $\gamma$  clearly show the presence of two activities.

Total decay energy of 2589 keV 125 calculated (by RADLIST code) from level scheme is much lower than the expected value of 6240 keV 60.

The decay scheme has not been normalized for  $I\gamma/100$  decays of the parent since the populated levels are known only up to about 1360; whereas, the Q value is 6240. Also no data exist for transition multipolarities and for  $\varepsilon+\beta^+$  feeding to g.s. The decay scheme as given by 1989Vi04 implies  $I\gamma$  normalization=0.3, based on  $I(\varepsilon+\beta^+)$ (to g.s.)<12%.

## 135Nd Levels

E(level) <sup>†</sup>	Jπ‡	Comments
0.0	9/2 <sup>(-)</sup>	
64.97 24	$(1/2^+)$	
193.6 <i>3</i>	$(3/2^+)$	
198.77 <i>14</i>	$(11/2^{-})$	
273.05 25	(3/2,5/2)	
328.1 <i>3</i>	$(1/2^+)$	
371.13 22	$(5/2^+)$	
463.65 25	$(5/2^+)$	
493.17 <i>18</i>	(7/2,9/2,11/2)	
560.92 20	$(13/2^{-})$	
564.19 25		
713.00 <i>15</i>	$(7/2^+)$	
717.1? 5		Level proposed by 1989Ko07 only.
826.3? 10		Level proposed by 1989Ko07 only.
1176.77 <i>16</i>		
1214.97 25		
1357.8 5		Level from 1989Ko07.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup> From least-squares fit to E $\gamma$ 's.

<sup>‡</sup> From 'Adopted Levels'.

## <sup>135</sup>Pm $\varepsilon$ decay (45 s) 1989Vi04,1989Ko07 (continued)

# $\gamma$ (135Nd)

The following  $\gamma$  rays reported by 1982AIZO were not confirmed by 1989Ko07 and 1989Vi04: 108.3 2 ( $\approx$ 6), 140.2 3 (4 1), 150.5 3 ( $\approx$ 3), 688.0 4 (5 1), 802.4 3 (11 2), 813.7 2 (26 2), 952.2 14 ( $\approx$ 2), 962.0 10 ( $\approx$ 5), 988.2 5 (9 2), 1214.7 5 ( $\approx$ 6), 1364.1 5 ( $\approx$ 8).

Measurements of Ey and Iy are from a composite source of two isomers.

$\mathbb{E}_{\gamma}^{\dagger}$	$I_{\gamma}^{\ddagger}$	$E_i(level)$	$\mathtt{J}_i^{\pi}$	$\mathbf{E}_f$	$\mathrm{J}_f^\pi$	Comments
98.1 2	≈2 <mark>@</mark>	371.13	(5/2 <sup>+</sup> )	273.05	(3/2,5/2)	
128.8 2	≈4 <mark>@</mark>	193.6	$(3/2^+)$		$(1/2^+)$	
135.5 5	≈0.01 <sup>@</sup>	328.1	$(1/2^+)$	193.6	$(3/2^+)$	
135.5 5	≈0.2 <sup>@</sup>	463.65	$(5/2^+)$	328.1	$(1/2^+)$	
177.4 3	$\approx 2^{\color{red} 0}$	371.13	$(5/2^+)$	193.6	$(3/2^+)$	
190.6 5	≈0.2 <sup>@</sup>	463.65	$(5/2^+)$	273.05	(3/2,5/2)	
198.8 2	100 10	198.77	$(11/2^{-})$	0.0	9/2 <sup>(-)</sup>	
208.1 2	≈11 <sup>@</sup>	273.05	(3/2,5/2)		$(1/2^+)$	
219.9 3	6 3	713.00	$(7/2^+)$	493.17	(7/2,9/2,11/2)	
<sup>x</sup> 245.0 <sup>#</sup> 4	9 3					Coincidences with 342 $\gamma$ and 493 $\gamma$ suggest this $\gamma$ is from the decay of 45-s activity of <sup>135</sup> Pm.
249.3 <i>3</i>	11 3	713.00	$(7/2^+)$	463.65	$(5/2^+)$	
262.9 2	≈0.2 <sup>@</sup>	328.1	$(1/2^+)$	64.97	$(1/2^+)$	
270.0 2	≈5 <sup>@</sup>	463.65	$(5/2^+)$	193.6	$(3/2^+)$	
306.2 2	≈10 <sup>@</sup>	371.13	$(5/2^+)$	64.97	$(1/2^+)$	
341.9 2	20 5	713.00	$(7/2^+)$	371.13	$(5/2^+)$	
$x^{357.0}$ # 4	6 2	<b>7</b> < 0 . 0 <b>2</b>	(10/0)	100 ==	(4.4.6)	T 0.00 0 (1000T 0T)
362.2 2	17 3	560.92	$(13/2^{-})$	198.77	$(11/2^{-})$	$E_{\gamma}$ : 362.8 (1989Ko07). $I_{\gamma}$ : 6 <i>I</i> for $E_{\gamma}$ =361.8 (1982AIZO).
365.4 <i>4</i>	6 3	564.19		198 77	$(11/2^{-})$	$F_{\gamma}$ : 0 1 for Ey=301.8 (1982A12O). $F_{\gamma}$ : 366.8 (1989Ko07).
398.7 2	≈5 <sup>@</sup>	463.65	$(5/2^+)$		$(1/2^+)$	27. 200.0 (270712007)1
439.9 4	7 3	713.00	$(7/2^+)$		(3/2,5/2)	This $\gamma$ was shown (by 1989Ko07) to deexcite a separate level at 712.4.
463.8 2	64 5	1176.77		713.00	$(7/2^+)$	separate level at 712.4.
493.2 2	30 5	493.17	(7/2,9/2,11/2)	0.0	9/2 <sup>(-)</sup>	
514.0		713.00	$(7/2^+)$		$(11/2^{-})$	Reported by 1989Ko07 only.
518.3 4	8 3	717.1?		198.77	(11/2 <sup>-</sup> )	E <sub>γ</sub> : from 1989Vi04. Placement from 1989Ko07. Coincidence with 129γ (1989Vi04) does not support this placement. Unplaced in 1989Vi04.
560.8 <i>3</i>	12 3	560.92	$(13/2^{-})$	0.0	9/2 <sup>(-)</sup>	$E_{\gamma}$ : 561.5 (1989Ko07).
564.2 <i>3</i>	22 5	564.19		0.0	9/2 <sup>(-)</sup>	$\gamma$ reported by 1989Vi04 only, considered uncertain by the evaluators.
<sup>x</sup> 582.8 <sup>#</sup> 3	11 <i>3</i>					
627.5		826.3?		198.77	$(11/2^{-})$	$E_{\gamma}$ : from 1989Ko07. $E_{\gamma}$ =628.9 4, $I_{\gamma} \approx 7$ (1982AlZO). $\gamma$ not reported by 1989Vi04.
644.8		1357.8		713.00	$(7/2^+)$	(1702/1120). I not reported by 1707 flor.
713.0 2	30 5	713.00	$(7/2^+)$	0.0	9/2(-)	
978.0 2	40 5	1176.77			$(11/2^{-})$	
1016.2 2	11 3	1214.97		198.77	$(11/2^{-})$	
<sup>x</sup> 1078.0 <sup>#</sup> 5 1159.0 5	10 <i>5</i> 6 <i>2</i>	1357.8		198 77	$(11/2^{-})$	$E_{\gamma}$ : from 1989Vi04. Placement from 1989Ko07.
1157.05	0.2	1557.0		170.77	(11/2)	Unplaced in 1989Vi04.
1176.7 <i>3</i>	33 5	1176.77		0.0	9/2 <sup>(-)</sup>	$I_{\gamma}$ : 19 2 for E $\gamma$ =1177.0 (1982AIZO).

#### $^{135}$ Pm $\varepsilon$ decay (45 s) 1989Vi04,1989Ko07 (continued)

## $\gamma$ (135Nd) (continued)

- $^{\dagger}$  From 1989Vi04, unless otherwise stated.  $^{\ddagger}$  From 1989Vi04. No Iy's were given by 1989Ko07. Other: 1982AlZO.  $^{\sharp}$  From 1989Vi04 only. The  $\gamma$  ray belongs to the decay of either of the two isomers.
- <sup>@</sup> Estimated (by the evaluators) intensity (from intensity balance). For low energy transitions mult=M1,E2 was assumed. No  $\varepsilon$ + $\beta$ + feeding is expected from (11/2<sup>-</sup>) parent state to the low-spin levels involved.
- $^{x}$   $\gamma$  ray not placed in level scheme.

#### <sup>135</sup>Pm $\varepsilon$ decay (45 s) 1989Vi04,1989Ko07

Decay Scheme Intensities: Relative  $I_{\gamma}$ 

 $\begin{array}{l} I_{\gamma} < 2\% \times I_{\gamma}^{max} \\ I_{\gamma} < 10\% \times I_{\gamma}^{max} \\ I_{\gamma} > 10\% \times I_{\gamma}^{max} \end{array}$ Coincidence

Legend

(11/2<sup>-</sup>) 68.7+y 45 s 4  $Q_{\varepsilon} = 6240 \ 60$  $%\varepsilon + %\beta^{+} = 100$  $^{135}_{61} Pm_{74}$ 

