

$^{135}\text{Ce IT decay (20 s)}$     [1970Dr04](#),[1971Va22](#)

Type	Author	History	Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	Balraj Singh, Alexander A. Rodionov And Yuri L. Khazov		NDS 109, 517 (2008)	22-Jan-2008

Parent:  $^{135}\text{Ce}$ : E=445.8 3;  $J^\pi=(11/2^-)$ ;  $T_{1/2}=20$  s 1; %IT decay=100.0Other: [1963Br30](#).

Total decay energy calculated (by RADLIST code) from level scheme agrees with the expected value of 446 keV.

 $^{135}\text{Ce Levels}$ 

E(level)	$J^\pi$ <sup>†</sup>	$T_{1/2}$	Comments
0.0	$1/2^{(+)}$		
82.5 2	$3/2^{(+)}$		
295.5 3	$(5/2^+)$		
445.8 3	$(11/2^-)$	20 s 1	$T_{1/2}$ : average of 20 s 1 ( <a href="#">1963Br30</a> ), 20 s 2 ( <a href="#">1970Dr04</a> ), 20 s 2 ( <a href="#">1971Va22</a> ).

† From Adopted Levels.

 $\gamma(^{135}\text{Ce})$ I $\gamma$  normalization: Ti(150.3 $\gamma$ )=100.

$E_\gamma$ <sup>†</sup>	$I_\gamma$ <sup>#</sup>	$E_i$ (level)	$J_i^\pi$	$E_f$	$J_f^\pi$	Mult.	$\delta$	$\alpha$ @	Comments
82.4 2	98 14	82.5	$3/2^{(+)}$	0.0	$1/2^{(+)}$	M1+E2	<0.4	2.20 15	$\alpha(K)=1.78$ 4; $\alpha(L)=0.33$ 10; $\alpha(M)=0.071$ 21; $\alpha(N+..)=0.018$ 5 $\alpha(N)=0.016$ 5; $\alpha(O)=0.0024$ 6; $\alpha(P)=0.000134$ 3
150.3 2	100	445.8	$(11/2^-)$	295.5	$(5/2^+)$	E3	3.66		Mult., $\delta$ : $\alpha(\text{exp})=3.1$ 6 from intensity balance gives M1+E2, $\delta=1.0$ 5; but RUL(E2)=300 requires $\delta<0.4$ . I $\gamma$ : with $\delta(E2/M1)<0.4$ , there is problem with intensity balance at 82.5 level, net balance=-18 11 in absolute units. For intensity to be balanced the photon intensity of 82.4 $\gamma$ should be 124 8 in relative units as compared to the reported intensity of 98 14.
212.9 2	347 15	295.5	$(5/2^+)$	82.5	$3/2^{(+)}$	M1	0.1439		$\alpha(K)=1.494$ 22; $\alpha(L)=1.68$ 3; $\alpha(M)=0.388$ 7; $\alpha(N+..)=0.0948$ 15 $\alpha(N)=0.0832$ 14; $\alpha(O)=0.01156$ 19; $\alpha(P)=8.29\times 10^{-5}$ 13
295.8 5	85 10	295.5	$(5/2^+)$	0.0	$1/2^{(+)}$	E2	0.0509		Mult.: from K:L:M=100:133:33 and K/L=0.83 10 ( <a href="#">1970Dr04</a> ). $\alpha(K)=0.1230$ 18; $\alpha(L)=0.01657$ 24; $\alpha(M)=0.00346$ 5; $\alpha(N+..)=0.000903$ 13 $\alpha(N)=0.000769$ 11; $\alpha(O)=0.0001246$ 18; $\alpha(P)=9.47\times 10^{-6}$ 14
									Mult.: from adopted gammas. K:L:M=26:4:1 ( <a href="#">1970Dr04</a> ) gives $\delta(E2/M1)<1.3$ .

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

$^{135}\text{Ce IT decay (20 s)}$     **1970Dr04,1971Va22 (continued)** $\gamma(^{135}\text{Ce})$  (continued)

$E_\gamma^\dagger$	$E_i(\text{level})$	Comments
	$\alpha(P)=2.69 \times 10^{-6}$ 4 Mult.: $K/(L+M+)=3.8$ 6 and $\alpha(K)\exp=0.043$ (1970Dr04) give $\delta(E2/M1)>1.2$ , but $\Delta J$ forbids dipole.	

<sup>†</sup> Weighted average of 1970Dr04 and 1971Va22.<sup>‡</sup> Unweighted average of 1970Dr04 and 1971Va22.

# For absolute intensity per 100 decays, multiply by 0.217 5.

@ Total theoretical internal conversion coefficients, calculated using the BrIcc code (2008Ki07) with Frozen orbital approximation based on  $\gamma$ -ray energies, assigned multipolarities, and mixing ratios, unless otherwise specified. $^{135}\text{Ce IT decay (20 s)}$     **1970Dr04,1971Va22**