

¹³⁵La ε decay (19.5 h) 1971Ba18,1983Dz04

Type	Author	History	Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	Balraj Singh, Alexander A. Rodionov And Yuri L. Khazov		NDS 109, 517 (2008)	22-Jan-2008

Parent: ¹³⁵La: E=0.0; J^π=5/2⁺; T_{1/2}=19.5 h 2; Q(ε)=1200 10; %ε+%β⁺ decay=100.0

The decay scheme is mainly as given by 1971Ba18.

2006Fe06: Measured E_γ, I_γ, γγ using YRAST ball with nine Compton- suppressed ‘Clover’ Ge detectors. Comparisons with interacting boson- fermion and shell-model calculations.

Others: 1971Be72 (also 1971Be77), 1965Mo05, 1965Gr06, 1958Mi88, 1953Wa30, 1948Ch03, 1943We02.

Total decay energy of 1198 keV 11 calculated (by RADLIST code) from level scheme agrees with the expected value of 1200 keV 10.

¹³⁵Ba Levels

E(level)	J ^π †	T _{1/2}	Comments
0.0	3/2 ⁺		
220.955 14	1/2 ⁺		
268.218 20	11/2 ⁻	28.7 h 2	
480.525 14	5/2 ⁺	≤10 ps	T _{1/2} : from γγ(t) (1971Be72).
587.817 15	3/2 ⁺		
855.000 14	3/2 ⁺		
874.524 18	7/2 ⁺		
909.63? 11	1/2 ⁺		
979.963 18	3/2 ⁺ , 5/2 ⁺		
1007.99? 10			

† From ‘Adopted Levels’.

ε, β⁺ radiations

ε/β⁺=1.42×10⁴ 5 from I(K x ray) (1983Dz04) and I(γ[±]) (1971Ba18).

E(decay)	E(level)	Iβ ⁺ †	Iε [†]	Log ft	Comments
(192 10)	1007.99?		0.00038 11	9.35 14	εK= 0.800 4; εL= 0.155 3; εM+= 0.0451 10
(220 10)	979.963		0.0065 11	8.25 9	εK= 0.809 3; εL= 0.1482 21; εM+= 0.0430 7
(290 10)	909.63?		<0.00023	>10.0	εK= 0.8218 14; εL= 0.1385 11; εM+= 0.0397 4
(325 10)	874.524		0.17 3	7.22 9	εK= 0.8258 11; εL= 0.1355 8; εM+= 0.0387 3
(345 10)	855.000		0.058 10	7.75 8	εK= 0.8277 10; εL= 0.1341 7; εM+= 0.03825 23
(612 10)	587.817		0.146 23	7.88 7	εK= 0.8402; εL= 0.12463 20; εM+= 0.03513 7
(719 10)	480.525		1.52 24	7.01 7	εK= 0.8425; εL= 0.12293 14; εM+= 0.03457 5
(1200 10)	0.0	0.007	98.1 3	5.66 1	av Eβ=90 5; εK= 0.8474; εL= 0.1192; εM+= 0.03334 I(ε+β ⁺): from I(K x ray) and I(γ [±]) intensities and intensity balance in level scheme. Iβ ⁺ : ε/β ⁺ =1.42×10 ⁴ . β ⁺ feeding to g.s. is observed by 1971Ba18 through γ [±] .

† Absolute intensity per 100 decays.

γ(¹³⁵Ba)

I_γ normalization: based on I(ε+β⁺)=98.1% 3 to g.s..

α(exp)=Ice/I_γ normalized to α(K)(220.94)=0.0951 (M1+E2, δ=0.38 8). Ice: average of values of **1965Mo05** and **1983Dz04**, normalized to ce(K)(220.9γ)=100.

Thus Ice's should be multiplied by 0.0951 to convert these to γ-ray intensity scale.

I(Kα₂ x ray)=1412 7, I(Kα₁ x ray)=2754 8, I(Kβ₁ x ray)=734.3 22, I(Kβ₂ x ray)=205.8 22 (**1983Dz04**); I(K x ray)=5010 150 (**1971Ba18**); I(γ[±])=0.93 3 (**1971Ba18**) on the same scale as γ-ray intensities.

<u>E_γ[‡]</u>	<u>I_γ^{†b}</u>	<u>E_i(level)</u>	<u>J_i^π</u>	<u>E_f</u>	<u>J_f^π</u>	<u>Mult.#</u>	<u>δ[#]</u>	<u>α^c</u>	<u>Comments</u>
107.32 9	0.068 3	587.817	3/2 ⁺	480.525	5/2 ⁺	E2(+M1)	>1.5	1.39 11	α(K)=0.93 5; α(L)=0.36 5; α(M)=0.079 11; α(N+..)=0.019 3 α(N)=0.0164 23; α(O)=0.0022 3; α(P)=4.56×10 ⁻⁵ 7 Additional information 5.
124.89 [@] 7	0.010 3	979.963	3/2 ⁺ ,5/2 ⁺	855.000	3/2 ⁺	M1,E2		0.70 18	α(K)=0.53 8; α(L)=0.14 8; α(M)=0.029 17; α(N+..)=0.007 4 α(N)=0.006 4; α(O)=0.0009 5; α(P)=2.95×10 ⁻⁵ 5 Additional information 12.
220.94 2	3.56 4	220.955	1/2 ⁺	0.0	3/2 ⁺	M1+E2	0.38 8	0.1110 17	α(K)exp=0.5 2. α(K)=0.0941 14; α(L)=0.0134 5; α(M)=0.00279 10; α(N+..)=0.000694 23 α(N)=0.000598 21; α(O)=9.0×10 ⁻⁵ 3; α(P)=6.03×10 ⁻⁶ 10 Additional information 2.
^x 236.68 [@] 10	0.004 2								δ: from K/L=7.0 2. α(L)exp=0.0136 6. I _γ : ≤0.001 (1971Ba18).
^x 242.12 [@] 11	0.004 2								
259.58 4	0.29 2	480.525	5/2 ⁺	220.955	1/2 ⁺	(E2)		0.0721	α(K)=0.0579 9; α(L)=0.01129 16; α(M)=0.00239 4; α(N+..)=0.000580 9 α(N)=0.000505 7; α(O)=7.19×10 ⁻⁵ 10; α(P)=3.21×10 ⁻⁶ 5 Additional information 3.
267.17 9	0.020 3	855.000	3/2 ⁺	587.817	3/2 ⁺				Mult.: M1,E2 from α(K)exp=0.055 14. M1 ruled out from adopted J ^π .
268.218 20	^a	268.218	11/2 ⁻	0.0	3/2 ⁺	M4		5.31	α(K)=3.79 6; α(L)=1.182 17; α(M)=0.267 4; α(N+..)=0.0658 10 α(N)=0.0572 8; α(O)=0.00820 12; α(P)=0.000405 6 E _γ ,Mult.: from ¹³⁵ Ba IT decay.
287 ^{&d}		874.524	7/2 ⁺	587.817	3/2 ⁺				probably a very weak γ ray In the work of 2006Fe06 .
322 ^{&}		909.63?	1/2 ⁺	587.817	3/2 ⁺				
366.84 2	2.10 2	587.817	3/2 ⁺	220.955	1/2 ⁺	M1(+E2)	<0.5	0.0283 6	α(K)=0.0243 6; α(L)=0.00323 5; α(M)=0.000665 10; α(N+..)=0.0001669 24 α(N)=0.0001434 21; α(O)=2.19×10 ⁻⁵ 3; α(P)=1.57×10 ⁻⁶ 5 Additional information 6.
374.46 2	1.21 4	855.000	3/2 ⁺	480.525	5/2 ⁺	M1+E2	-0.43 3	0.0266	α(K)exp=0.026 2, K/L+M+=5.4 8. α(K)=0.0227 4; α(L)=0.00306 5; α(M)=0.000631 9;

¹³⁵La ε decay (19.5 h) **1971Ba18,1983Dz04** (continued)

$\gamma(^{135}\text{Ba})$ (continued)									
E_γ^{\ddagger}	$I_\gamma^{\ddagger b}$	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Mult.#	$\delta^\#$	α^c	Comments
									$\alpha(\text{N}+..)=0.0001581$ 23 $\alpha(\text{N})=0.0001359$ 19; $\alpha(\text{O})=2.07\times 10^{-5}$ 3; $\alpha(\text{P})=1.461\times 10^{-6}$ 22 δ : from $\gamma\gamma(\theta)$ (1971Be72). Additional information 8. $\alpha(\text{K})_{\text{exp}}=0.023$ 2, K/L+M+=5.0 9.
392.08@ 9 394.04 4	0.012 3 0.28 2	979.963 874.524	3/2 ⁺ ,5/2 ⁺ 7/2 ⁺	587.817 480.525	3/2 ⁺ 5/2 ⁺	[M1,E2]		0.0217 23	$\alpha(\text{K})=0.0184$ 23; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.00265$ 5; $\alpha(\text{M})=0.000550$ 8; $\alpha(\text{N}+..)=0.0001368$ 25 $\alpha(\text{N})=0.0001179$ 19; $\alpha(\text{O})=1.77\times 10^{-5}$ 6; $\alpha(\text{P})=1.15\times 10^{-6}$ 20
420.12@ 10 480.51 2	0.017 5 100	1007.99? 480.525	5/2 ⁺	587.817 0.0	3/2 ⁺ 3/2 ⁺	M1+E2	+1.6 +5-4	0.0120 5	$\alpha(\text{K})=0.0102$ 5; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.00146$ 4; $\alpha(\text{M})=0.000304$ 7; $\alpha(\text{N}+..)=7.54\times 10^{-5}$ 18 $\alpha(\text{N})=6.51\times 10^{-5}$ 15; $\alpha(\text{O})=9.7\times 10^{-6}$ 3; $\alpha(\text{P})=6.3\times 10^{-7}$ 4 Additional information 4. $\alpha(\text{K})_{\text{exp}}=0.0094$ 5, K/L+M+=5.5 1. δ : from K/L+M+ and $\gamma\gamma(\theta)$ (1971Be72). I_γ : ≤ 0.0009 (1971Ba18). $\alpha(\text{K})=0.0065$ 6; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.00088$ 5; $\alpha(\text{M})=0.000181$ 10; $\alpha(\text{N}+..)=4.52\times 10^{-5}$ 25 $\alpha(\text{N})=3.89\times 10^{-5}$ 21; $\alpha(\text{O})=5.9\times 10^{-6}$ 4; $\alpha(\text{P})=4.1\times 10^{-7}$ 4 Additional information 7. $\alpha(\text{K})_{\text{exp}}=0.0066$ 4, K/L+M+=5.4 5. $\alpha(\text{K})=0.0057$ 6; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.00075$ 6; $\alpha(\text{M})=0.000155$ 11; $\alpha(\text{N}+..)=3.9\times 10^{-5}$ 3 $\alpha(\text{N})=3.34\times 10^{-5}$ 25; $\alpha(\text{O})=5.1\times 10^{-6}$ 5; $\alpha(\text{P})=3.7\times 10^{-7}$ 5 Additional information 9. $\alpha(\text{K})_{\text{exp}}=0.0059$ 7. Additional information 1.
499.36@ 6 587.83 2	0.012 4 7.29 5	979.963 587.817	3/2 ⁺ ,5/2 ⁺ 3/2 ⁺	480.525 0.0	5/2 ⁺ 3/2 ⁺	M1+E2	1.0 4	0.0076 6	
634.05 2	1.36 4	855.000	3/2 ⁺	220.955	1/2 ⁺	M1(+E2)	<1.3	0.0067 7	
^x 653.83@ 8 689& 758.94 9 787.9 5 855.00 2	0.005 2 0.046 10 0.007 2 1.24 4	909.63? 979.963 1007.99? 855.000	1/2 ⁺ 3/2 ⁺ ,5/2 ⁺ 1/2 ⁺ 3/2 ⁺	220.955 220.955 220.955 0.0	1/2 ⁺ 1/2 ⁺ 1/2 ⁺ 3/2 ⁺	M1(+E2)	<0.5	0.00349 12	$\alpha(\text{K})=0.00301$ 10; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.000382$ 12; $\alpha(\text{M})=7.85\times 10^{-5}$ 23; $\alpha(\text{N}+..)=1.97\times 10^{-5}$ 6 $\alpha(\text{N})=1.69\times 10^{-5}$ 5; $\alpha(\text{O})=2.60\times 10^{-6}$ 8; $\alpha(\text{P})=1.93\times 10^{-7}$ 7 Additional information 10. $\alpha(\text{K})_{\text{exp}}=0.0042$ 12.

¹³⁵La ε decay (19.5 h) 1971Ba18,1983Dz04 (continued)

γ(¹³⁵Ba) (continued)

E_γ ‡	I_γ † ^b	E_i (level)	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Mult. [#]	α^c	Comments
874.51 2	10.8 2	874.524	7/2 ⁺	0.0	3/2 ⁺	E2	0.00244	$\alpha(K)=0.00209$ 3; $\alpha(L)=0.000279$ 4; $\alpha(M)=5.74\times 10^{-5}$ 8; $\alpha(N+..)=1.434\times 10^{-5}$ 20 $\alpha(N)=1.234\times 10^{-5}$ 18; $\alpha(O)=1.87\times 10^{-6}$ 3; $\alpha(P)=1.289\times 10^{-7}$ 18 Additional information 11. $\alpha(K)_{exp}=0.0018$ 2. $I_\gamma: <0.001$ (1971Ba18).
909.63 @ 11	0.015 4	909.63?	1/2 ⁺	0.0	3/2 ⁺			
979.98 2	0.34 2	979.963	3/2 ⁺ , 5/2 ⁺	0.0	3/2 ⁺			
1008.4 5	0.0011 6	1007.99?		0.0	3/2 ⁺			
^x 1130.89 @ 11	0.024 6							
^x 1173.89 @ 9	0.050 9							

† Average of values of 1971Ba18 and 1983Dz04.

‡ From 1971Ba18, except as noted; a calibration uncertainty of 15 eV is added in quadrature.

From ce data.

@ From 1983Dz04.

& From 2006Fe06.

^a Isomeric transition. $I_\gamma=0.00003$ 2 was given by 1971Ba18. They assume the population of 268.2 level presumably arises from the decay of higher energy levels.

^b For absolute intensity per 100 decays, multiply by 0.0152 24.

^c Total theoretical internal conversion coefficients, calculated using the BrIcc code (2008Ki07) with Frozen orbital approximation based on γ-ray energies, assigned multipolarities, and mixing ratios, unless otherwise specified.

^d Placement of transition in the level scheme is uncertain.

^x γ ray not placed in level scheme.

¹³⁵La ε decay (19.5 h) 1971Ba18,1983Dz04

Legend

- I_γ < 2% × I_γ^{max}
- I_γ < 10% × I_γ^{max}
- I_γ > 10% × I_γ^{max}
- - - γ Decay (Uncertain)
- Coincidence

Decay Scheme

Intensities: I_(γ+ce) per 100 parent decays

¹³⁵La₇₈ 5/2⁺ 0.0 19.5 h 2
 Q_ε=1200 10
 %ε + %β⁺=100.0

