

^{133}Ce ε decay (97 min) [1978He16](#)

Type	Author	History	Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	Yu. Khazov and A. Rodionov, F. G. Kondev		NDS 112,855 (2011)	31-Oct-2010

Parent: ^{133}Ce : $E=0.0$; $J^\pi=1/2^+$; $T_{1/2}=97$ min 4; $Q(\varepsilon)=3071$ 30; $\% \varepsilon + \% \beta^+$ decay=100

[1978He16](#): ^{133}Ce ε (97 min) [from $\text{Ba}(\alpha, \text{xn})$]; measured E_γ , I_γ , $\gamma\gamma$, ce; deduced levels, $\alpha(\text{exp})$, $\log ft$. Ge(Li) detectors, Compton-suppressed system, magnetic spectrometer.

[1984Gr30](#): ^{133}Ce ε (97 min) [from $\text{Gd}(\text{p}, \text{X})$, $E=660$ MeV]; measured ce; deduced $\alpha(\text{exp})$, δ . Magnetic spectrograph.

Others: [1966Ab05](#), [1968Ge01](#).

 ^{133}La Levels

$E(\text{level})^\dagger$	$J^\pi^\ddagger$	$T_{1/2}^\ddagger$
0.0	$5/2^+$	3.912 h 8
97.261 10	$3/2^+$	<0.4 ns
174.1 4	$1/2^+$	0.83 ns 18

† From a least-squares fit to E_γ 's.

‡ From 'Adopted Levels'.

 ε, β^+ radiations

$E(\text{decay})$	$E(\text{level})$	$I\beta^+^\dagger$	$I\varepsilon^\dagger$	$\log ft$	$I(\varepsilon + \beta^+)^\dagger$	Comments
(2897 30)	174.1	19 3	34 5	5.86 7	53 8	av $E\beta=843$ 15; $\varepsilon K=0.545$ 11; $\varepsilon L=0.0750$ 15; $\varepsilon M+=0.0211$ 5
(2974 30)	97.261	18 7	29 10	5.95 16	47 17	av $E\beta=878$ 15; $\varepsilon K=0.520$ 11; $\varepsilon L=0.0715$ 15; $\varepsilon M+=0.0201$ 4

† Absolute intensity per 100 decays.

¹³³Ce ε decay (97 min) **1978He16** (continued)

$\gamma(^{133}\text{La})$

I γ normalization: from $\Sigma(I(\gamma+\text{ce}))=100$ and by assuming that there is no direct feeding to g.s.

$E_\gamma^\dagger$	$I_\gamma^{\ddagger\#}$	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Mult. ‡	$\delta^\ddagger$	$\alpha^\text{@}$	Comments
76.9 5	350 50	174.1	1/2 ⁺	97.261	3/2 ⁺	M1+E2	0.057 +10-19	2.31 6	ce(K)=685 67; $\alpha(\text{K})_{\text{exp}}=1.96$ $\alpha(\text{K})=1.96$ 5; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.272$ 8; $\alpha(\text{M})=0.0567$ 16; $\alpha(\text{N}+..)=0.0146$ 4 $\alpha(\text{N})=0.0124$ 4; $\alpha(\text{O})=0.00202$ 5; $\alpha(\text{P})=0.000153$ 4 $\alpha(\text{L}1)=0.244$ 6; $\alpha(\text{L}2)=0.0215$ 11; $\alpha(\text{L}3)=0.0071$ 12 L1:L2:L3=8.6 8:0.90 9:0.24 3 (1984Gr30). δ : calculated by evaluators from M1+(0.32 +14-18)%E2 (1984Gr30).
97.261 10	100 $\times$ 10 ¹ 15	97.261	3/2 ⁺	0.0	5/2 ⁺	M1+E2	0.157 17	1.195 18	ce(K)=110 $\times$ 10 ¹ 10; ce(L1)=140 13; ce(L2)=17.5 18; ce(L3)<6; $\alpha(\text{K})_{\text{exp}}=1.10$ 10 (1984Gr30) $\alpha(\text{K})=1.007$ 15; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.149$ 4; $\alpha(\text{M})=0.0311$ 8; $\alpha(\text{N}+..)=0.00799$ 20 $\alpha(\text{N})=0.00682$ 17; $\alpha(\text{O})=0.001092$ 25; $\alpha(\text{P})=7.78\times 10^{-5}$ 11 δ : from M1+(2.4 5)%E2 in 1984Gr30 .
174.0 5	9 2	174.1	1/2 ⁺	0.0	5/2 ⁺	E2		0.286 5	ce(K)=1.94 30; $\alpha(\text{K})_{\text{exp}}=0.22$ 6 (1984Gr30) $\alpha(\text{K})=0.214$ 4; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.0570$ 11; $\alpha(\text{M})=0.01237$ 23; $\alpha(\text{N}+..)=0.00305$ 6 $\alpha(\text{N})=0.00265$ 5; $\alpha(\text{O})=0.000391$ 8; $\alpha(\text{P})=1.293\times 10^{-5}$ 22 L2:L3=0.17 2:0.16 2.
^x 376.7 3	≈ 20					M1,E2		0.026 3	ce(K)=0.5 2; $\alpha(\text{K})_{\text{exp}}\approx 0.026$ (1984Gr30) $\alpha(\text{K})=0.022$ 3; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.00327$ 6; $\alpha(\text{M})=0.000684$ 10; $\alpha(\text{N}+..)=0.000175$ 3 $\alpha(\text{N})=0.0001495$ 23; $\alpha(\text{O})=2.38\times 10^{-5}$ 8; $\alpha(\text{P})=1.6\times 10^{-6}$ 3
^x 557.7 3	250 50					(E2)		0.00769	ce(K)=1.6 3; ce(L)=0.26 3; $\alpha(\text{K})_{\text{exp}}=0.0066$ 16 (1984Gr30) $\alpha(\text{K})=0.00647$ 10; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.000964$ 14; $\alpha(\text{M})=0.000202$ 3; $\alpha(\text{N}+..)=5.15\times 10^{-5}$ 8 $\alpha(\text{N})=4.40\times 10^{-5}$ 7; $\alpha(\text{O})=6.98\times 10^{-6}$ 10; $\alpha(\text{P})=4.63\times 10^{-7}$ 7

[†] From **1978He16**. I γ 's are relative to I γ (97.261 γ)=1000.

[‡] From $\alpha(\text{exp})$ and δ in **1984Gr30**, Ice's are normalized by evaluators in accordance with the calculated $\alpha(\text{K})=1.964$ for 76.9 γ , whose multipolarity M1+0.32%E2 is deduced from L-subshell ratios in **1984Gr30**.

[#] For absolute intensity per 100 decays, multiply by 0.0455 8.

[@] Total theoretical internal conversion coefficients, calculated using the BrIcc code (**2008Ki07**) with Frozen orbital approximation based on γ -ray energies, assigned multiplicities, and mixing ratios, unless otherwise specified.

^x γ ray not placed in level scheme.

¹³³Ce ε decay (97 min) 1978He16

Decay Scheme

Intensities: Relative I_γ

