

^{132}Nd ε decay (94 s) 1995Bu11

Type	Author	History	Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	Yu. Khazov, A. A. Rodionov and S. Sakharov, Balraj Singh		NDS 104, 497 (2005)	10-Feb-2005

Parent: ^{132}Nd : $E=0.0$; $J^\pi=0^+$; $T_{1/2}=94$ s; $Q(\varepsilon)=3790$ 60; % ε +% β^+ decay=100.01995Bu11 (also 1994Bu18): measured $E\gamma$, $I\gamma$, $\gamma\gamma(t)$, $X\gamma(t)$, ce , $ce\gamma(t)$, $T_{1/2}$ (^{132}Nd isotope).Others: 1977Bo02 (measured $T_{1/2}$ of ^{132}Nd g.s.). ^{132}Pr Levels

E(level)	$J^\pi \dagger$	Comments
0.0	(2) ⁺	E(level): probably the g.s. of ^{132}Pr , however the relative spacing between this state and a possible (5 ⁺) isomer is unknown.
147.72 11	1 ⁺	
284.53 13	(1,2) ⁻	
288.16 12	(0 to 3) ⁺	J^π : 1 ⁺ (1995Bu11).
342.90 13	(0 to 3) ⁺	J^π : 1 ⁺ (1995Bu11).
387.20 18	(0 to 3) ⁺	J^π : 1 ⁺ (1995Bu11).
405.82 16	(0 to 3 ⁺)	
501.34 16	(0 to 3) ⁺	J^π : 1 ⁺ (1995Bu11).
526.15 17	(0 to 3) ⁺	
567.49 20	(0 to 3 ⁺)	
587.44 24	(0 to 3) ⁺	J^π : (1 ⁺) (1995Bu11).
630.42 24	(0 to 3 ⁺)	
714.94 15	1 ⁺	
724.83 16	(≤3)	
854.9 3	(0 to 3 ⁺)	
861.23 22	(0 to 4 ⁻)	
981.85 17	(0 ⁺ to 3 ⁺)	
1330.1 3	(0 to 3 ⁺)	
1440.7 6	(0 to 3 ⁺)	

[†] From Adopted Levels. Possible allowed $\varepsilon+\beta^+$ feedings from 0⁺ suggest 1⁺ for most levels. However, log ft values are not considered (by the evaluators) as definitive when feedings are low (<10%).

 ε, β^+ radiations

E(decay)	E(level)	$I\beta^+ \dagger$	$I\varepsilon \dagger$	Log ft	$I(\varepsilon+\beta^+) \dagger$	Comments
(2.35×10 ³ 6)	1440.7	<0.2	<1.1	>5.4	<1.3	av $E\beta=599$ 27; $\varepsilon K=0.733$ 15; $\varepsilon L=0.1034$ 22; $\varepsilon M+=0.0293$ 7
(2.46×10 ³ 6)	1330.1	0.77 11	3.8 3	4.9	4.6 4	av $E\beta=648$ 27; $\varepsilon K=0.705$ 17; $\varepsilon L=0.0993$ 24; $\varepsilon M+=0.0282$ 7
(2.81×10 ³ 6)	981.85	2.2 2	5.4 5	4.9	7.6 6	av $E\beta=804$ 27; $\varepsilon K=0.603$ 19; $\varepsilon L=0.085$ 3; $\varepsilon M+=0.0240$ 8
(2.93×10 ³ 6)	861.23	0.53 8	1.1 1	5.7	1.6 2	av $E\beta=859$ 28; $\varepsilon K=0.566$ 19; $\varepsilon L=0.079$ 3; $\varepsilon M+=0.0225$ 8
(2.94×10 ³ 6)	854.9	0.3	0.5 1	6.0	0.8 1	av $E\beta=861$ 28; $\varepsilon K=0.564$ 19; $\varepsilon L=0.079$ 3; $\varepsilon M+=0.0224$ 8
(3.07×10 ³ 6)	724.83	1.6 3	2.6 5	5.3	4.2 8	av $E\beta=920$ 28; $\varepsilon K=0.524$ 19; $\varepsilon L=0.073$ 3; $\varepsilon M+=0.0208$ 8
(3.08×10 ³ 6)	714.94	8.9 6	14 1	4.6	23 1	av $E\beta=925$ 28; $\varepsilon K=0.521$ 19; $\varepsilon L=0.073$ 3; $\varepsilon M+=0.0207$ 8
(3.16×10 ³ 6)	630.42	0.66 21	0.9 3	5.8	1.6 5	av $E\beta=963$ 28; $\varepsilon K=0.496$ 18; $\varepsilon L=0.069$ 3; $\varepsilon M+=0.0197$ 8

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

^{132}Nd ε decay (94 s) 1995Bu11 (continued) ϵ, β^+ radiations (continued)

E(decay)	E(level)	$I\beta^+ \dagger$	$I\varepsilon \ddagger$	Log ft	$I(\varepsilon + \beta^+) \ddagger$	Comments
(3.20×10^3 6)	587.44	0.47 13	0.63 17	6.0	1.1 3	av $E\beta=983$ 28; $\varepsilon K=0.483$ 18; $\varepsilon L=0.068$ 3; $\varepsilon M+=0.0191$ 8
(3.22×10^3 6)	567.49	0.4 1	0.5 1	6.1	0.9 2	av $E\beta=992$ 28; $\varepsilon K=0.477$ 18; $\varepsilon L=0.067$ 3; $\varepsilon M+=0.0189$ 8
(3.26×10^3 6)	526.15	1.5 1	1.8 1	5.5	3.3 2	av $E\beta=1011$ 28; $\varepsilon K=0.465$ 18; $\varepsilon L=0.0651$ 25; $\varepsilon M+=0.0184$ 7
(3.29×10^3 6)	501.34	2.8 2	3.3 3	5.3	6.1 4	av $E\beta=1022$ 28; $\varepsilon K=0.458$ 18; $\varepsilon L=0.0640$ 25; $\varepsilon M+=0.0181$ 7
(3.40×10^3 6)	387.20	2.2 3	2.3 3	5.5	4.5 5	av $E\beta=1074$ 28; $\varepsilon K=0.426$ 17; $\varepsilon L=0.0595$ 24; $\varepsilon M+=0.0169$ 7
(3.45×10^3 6)	342.90	2.6 5	2.5 5	5.4	5.1 9	av $E\beta=1094$ 28; $\varepsilon K=0.414$ 17; $\varepsilon L=0.0579$ 23; $\varepsilon M+=0.0164$ 7
(3.50×10^3 6)	288.16	1.1 6	0.9 6	5.9	2.0 12	av $E\beta=1119$ 28; $\varepsilon K=0.400$ 16; $\varepsilon L=0.0558$ 23; $\varepsilon M+=0.0158$ 7
($3.51 \times 10^3 \dagger$ 6)	284.53	<0.85	<0.75	>6.0	<1.6	av $E\beta=1121$ 28; $\varepsilon K=0.399$ 16; $\varepsilon L=0.0557$ 23; $\varepsilon M+=0.0158$ 7
(3.64×10^3 6)	147.72	19 1	14 1	4.7	33 2	av $E\beta=1184$ 28; $\varepsilon K=0.365$ 15; $\varepsilon L=0.0509$ 21; $\varepsilon M+=0.0144$ 6

[†] Absolute intensity per 100 decays.[‡] Existence of this branch is questionable. $\gamma(^{132}\text{Pr})$ I γ normalization: $\Sigma I(\gamma + ce)$ of γ 's to g.s.=100; assuming no $\varepsilon+\beta^+$ feeding to ^{132}Pr g.s.

E γ	$I\gamma \ddagger$	E i (level)	J $^\pi_i$	E f	J $^\pi_f$	Mult.	$\alpha^\#$	Comments
96.0 3	[†]	501.34	(0 to 3) ⁺	405.82	(0 to 3 ⁺)			
99.1 2	1.6 2	387.20	(0 to 3) ⁺	288.16	(0 to 3) ⁺	M1	1.35	$\alpha(K)=1.15$; $\alpha(L)=0.158$; $\alpha(M)=0.0332$; $\alpha(N+..)=0.0091$ $\alpha(K)\exp=1.26$ 12 $\alpha(L)\exp\leq 0.15$.
102.4 3	0.8 2	387.20	(0 to 3) ⁺	284.53	(1,2) ⁻	[E1]	0.237	$\alpha(K)=0.201$; $\alpha(L)=0.0283$; $\alpha(M)=0.00588$; $\alpha(N+..)=0.00153$
117.9 2	1.2 5	405.82	(0 to 3 ⁺)	288.16	(0 to 3) ⁺			
121.0 5	[†]	526.15	(0 to 3) ⁺	405.82	(0 to 3 ⁺)			
122.0 4	[†]	405.82	(0 to 3 ⁺)	284.53	(1,2) ⁻			
136.9 2	13.5 5	284.53	(1,2) ⁻	147.72	1 ⁺	E1	0.106	$\alpha(K)\exp=0.070$ 10; $\alpha(L)\exp=0.016$ 4 $\alpha(K)=0.091$; $\alpha(L)=0.0124$; $\alpha(M)=0.00259$; $\alpha(N+..)=0.00068$
137.1 3	[†]	724.83	(≤3)	587.44	(0 to 3) ⁺			
140.6 2	11.3 9	288.16	(0 to 3) ⁺	147.72	1 ⁺	M1	0.501	$\alpha(K)\exp=0.44$ 7; $\alpha(L)\exp=0.075$ 13 $\alpha(K)=0.427$; $\alpha(L)=0.0585$; $\alpha(M)=0.0123$; $\alpha(N+..)=0.00336$
147.7 2	100.0 15	147.72	1 ⁺	0.0	(2) ⁺	M1	0.436	$\alpha(K)\exp=0.36$ 4; $\alpha(L)\exp=0.068$ 3; $\alpha(M)\exp=0.015$ 3 $\alpha(K)=0.372$; $\alpha(L)=0.0509$; $\alpha(M)=0.0107$; $\alpha(N+..)=0.00292$
183.2 4	[†]	526.15	(0 to 3) ⁺	342.90	(0 to 3) ⁺			

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

$^{132}\text{Nd } \varepsilon \text{ decay (94 s) 1995Bu11 (continued)}$ $\gamma(^{132}\text{Pr}) \text{ (continued)}$

E_γ	I_γ^\ddagger	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Mult.	$\alpha^\#$	Comments
195.2 1	8.3 11	342.90	(0 to 3) ⁺	147.72	1 ⁺	M1,E2	0.204	$\alpha(K)\exp=0.22\ 5; \alpha(L)\exp=0.038\ 10$ $\alpha(K)=0.163\ 9; \alpha(L)=0.032\ 9;$ $\alpha(M)=0.0070\ 21; \alpha(N+..)=0.0019\ 6$
199.1 3	0.9 2	724.83	(≤3)	526.15	(0 to 3) ⁺			
213.3 2	4.2 1	501.34	(0 to 3) ⁺	288.16	(0 to 3) ⁺	M1,E2	0.156	$\alpha(K)\exp=0.124\ 17$ $\alpha(K)=0.126\ 10; \alpha(L)=0.024\ 6;$ $\alpha(M)=0.0051\ 13; \alpha(N+..)=0.0014\ 4$
224.6 3	1.3 2	630.42	(0 to 3 ⁺)	405.82	(0 to 3 ⁺)			
237.8 3	1.3 1	526.15	(0 to 3) ⁺	288.16	(0 to 3) ⁺	(M1,E2)	0.113 6	$\alpha(K)=0.092\ 9; \alpha(L)=0.016\ 3;$ $\alpha(M)=0.0035\ 7; \alpha(N+..)=0.00094\ 16$ $\alpha(K)\exp=0.096\ 24 \text{ for } 239.6+237.8.$
239.6 3	2.6 4	387.20	(0 to 3) ⁺	147.72	1 ⁺	(M1,E2)	0.110 6	$\alpha(K)=0.090\ 9; \alpha(L)=0.016\ 3;$ $\alpha(M)=0.0034\ 7; \alpha(N+..)=0.00092\ 16$ $\alpha(K)\exp=0.096\ 24 \text{ for } 239.6+237.8.$
257.4 3	0.6 2	981.85	(0 ⁺ to 3 ⁺)	724.83	(≤3)			
284.5 2	8.8 5	284.53	(1,2) ⁻	0.0	(2) ⁺	E1	0.0149	$\alpha(K)\exp=0.016\ 5$ $\alpha(K)=0.0128; \alpha(L)=0.00169;$ $\alpha(M)=0.00035$
288.2 2	6.2 8	288.16	(0 to 3) ⁺	0.0	(2) ⁺	M1,E2	0.064 7	$\alpha(K)\exp=0.09\ 3$ $\alpha(K)=0.053\ 8; \alpha(L)=0.0087\ 6;$ $\alpha(M)=0.00186\ 16; \alpha(N+..)=0.00050\ 4$
299.0 3	1.8 4	587.44	(0 to 3) ⁺	288.16	(0 to 3) ⁺	M1	0.0642	$\alpha(K)\exp=0.056\ 15$ $\alpha(K)=0.0549; \alpha(L)=0.00738;$ $\alpha(M)=0.00155; \alpha(N+..)=0.00042$
310.0 4	†	714.94	1 ⁺	405.82	(0 to 3 ⁺)			
319.0 4	†	724.83	(≤3)	405.82	(0 to 3 ⁺)			
342.8 3	1.2 6	342.90	(0 to 3) ⁺	0.0	(2) ⁺			
352.8 3	4.2 3	501.34	(0 to 3) ⁺	147.72	1 ⁺			
372.0 4	0.7 2	714.94	1 ⁺	342.90	(0 to 3) ⁺			
378.6 2	5.0 2	526.15	(0 to 3) ⁺	147.72	1 ⁺	M1,E2	0.030 5	$\alpha(K)\exp=0.027\ 7$ $\alpha(K)=0.025\ 5; \alpha(L)=0.00379\ 17;$ $\alpha(M)=0.00080\ 3; \alpha(N+..)=0.00022\ 1$
382.2 4	1.9 3	724.83	(≤3)	342.90	(0 to 3) ⁺			
414.2 3	1.7 2	981.85	(0 ⁺ to 3 ⁺)	567.49	(0 to 3 ⁺)			
419.7 2	3.3 2	567.49	(0 to 3 ⁺)	147.72	1 ⁺			
426.8 2	2.6 2	714.94	1 ⁺	288.16	(0 to 3) ⁺			
430.4 2	13.2 9	714.94	1 ⁺	284.53	(1,2) ⁻	E1	0.00533	$\alpha(K)\exp=0.0076\ 21$ $\alpha=0.00533; \alpha(K)=0.00458;$ $\alpha(L)=0.00059; \alpha(M)=0.00012$
440.0 3	2.3 6	724.83	(≤3)	284.53	(1,2) ⁻			
449.0 4	†	854.9	(0 to 3 ⁺)	405.82	(0 to 3 ⁺)			
455.0 4	†	861.23	(0 to 4 ⁻)	405.82	(0 to 3 ⁺)			
482.7 3	<2.8	630.42	(0 to 3 ⁺)	147.72	1 ⁺			
501.4 3	1.4 4	501.34	(0 to 3) ⁺	0.0	(2) ⁺			
567.0 2	21.7 4	714.94	1 ⁺	147.72	1 ⁺	M1	0.0126	$\alpha(K)\exp=0.013\ 2$ $\alpha(K)=0.0107; \alpha(L)=0.00141$
576.8 2	2.8 3	861.23	(0 to 4 ⁻)	284.53	(1,2) ⁻			
693.7 3	1.9 8	981.85	(0 ⁺ to 3 ⁺)	288.16	(0 to 3) ⁺			
707.2 3	1.3 1	854.9	(0 to 3 ⁺)	147.72	1 ⁺			
725.2 3	2.6 11	724.83	(≤3)	0.0	(2) ⁺			
834.2 3	2.7 2	981.85	(0 ⁺ to 3 ⁺)	147.72	1 ⁺			
981.3 4	6.1 4	981.85	(0 ⁺ to 3 ⁺)	0.0	(2) ⁺			
1041.7 4	2.4 4	1330.1	(0 to 3 ⁺)	288.16	(0 to 3) ⁺			
1045.7 4	3.4 4	1330.1	(0 to 3 ⁺)	284.53	(1,2) ⁻			

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

 ^{132}Nd ε decay (94 s) 1995Bu11 (continued) $\gamma(^{132}\text{Pr})$ (continued)

E_γ	I_γ^{\dagger}	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π
1182.5 4	2.0 3	1330.1	(0 to 3^+)	147.72	1^+
1293.0 5	<2.2	1440.7	(0 to 3^+)	147.72	1^+

[†] Weak transition.

[‡] For absolute intensity per 100 decays, multiply by 0.588 10.

[#] Total theoretical internal conversion coefficients, calculated using the BrIcc code (2008Ki07) with Frozen orbital approximation based on γ -ray energies, assigned multipolarities, and mixing ratios, unless otherwise specified.

$^{132}\text{Nd} \epsilon$ decay (94 s) 1995Bu11

Decay Scheme

Intensities: Relative I_γ

Legend

- $I_\gamma < 2\% \times I_\gamma^{\max}$
- $I_\gamma < 10\% \times I_\gamma^{\max}$
- $I_\gamma > 10\% \times I_\gamma^{\max}$

