

^{132}Cd β^- decay (84 ms) [2000Ha55](#)

Type	Author	History Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	Balraj Singh	ENSDF	28-Feb-2018

Parent: ^{132}Cd : $E=0$; $J^\pi=0^+$; $T_{1/2}=84$ ms 5; $Q(\beta^-)=12150$ SY; $\% \beta^-$ decay=100.0

^{132}Cd - $T_{1/2}$: From ^{132}Cd Adopted Levels.

^{132}Cd - $Q(\beta^-)$: 12150 210 (syst,[2017Wa10](#)).

^{132}Cd - $\% \beta^-$ decay: $\% \beta^-$ n=60 15 ([2000Ha55](#)).

^{132}Cd produced and identified by [2000Ha55](#) (also [2001Ha39](#)) using $^{238}\text{U}(p,F)$ $E=1$ GeV (target=uranium carbide/graphite) reaction followed by LASER ionization and mass separation at CERN/ISOLDE facility. Measured β and β -delayed neutron spectra. Deduced levels in ^{132}In . No γ rays were reported.

 ^{132}In Levels

E(level)	J^π^\dagger	Comments
≈ 800	(1^-)	Probable configuration= $\nu f_{7/2} \otimes \pi g_{9/2}^{-1}$.
≈ 1200	(1^+)	Probable configuration= $\nu p_{3/2} \otimes \pi p_{1/2}^{-1}$.
$\approx 5000^\ddagger$	(1^-)	Probable configuration= $\nu h_{11/2} \otimes \pi g_{9/2}^{-1}$.
$\approx 5200^\ddagger$	(1^+)	Probable configuration= $\nu f_{7/2} \otimes \pi f_{5/2}^{-1}$.
$\approx 5900^\ddagger$	(1^+)	Probable configuration= $\nu g_{7/2} \otimes \pi g_{9/2}^{-1}$.
$\approx 8100^\ddagger\#$	(1^+)	
$\approx 8600^\ddagger\#$	(1^+)	
$\approx 9300^\ddagger\#$	(1^+)	

† As proposed by [2000Ha55](#), based on shell-model predictions and estimated $\log ft$ values from 0^+ . The parentheses have been added by the evaluators.

‡ Neutron-decaying level to ^{131}In .

$\#$ Member of configuration= $\nu g_{7/2}^{-1} \otimes \pi g_{9/2}^{-1}$.

 β^- radiations

E(decay)	E(level)	$I\beta^-^\ddagger\#$	$\log ft^\dagger$
(2850 SY)	≈ 9300	≈ 0.4	≈ 4.7
(3550 SY)	≈ 8600	≈ 2	≈ 4.4
(4050 SY)	≈ 8100	≈ 0.3	≈ 5.5
(6250 SY)	≈ 5900	≈ 25	≈ 4.4
(6950 SY)	≈ 5200	≈ 4	≈ 5.4
(7150 SY)	≈ 5000	≈ 6	≈ 5.2
(10950 SY)	≈ 1200	< 2	> 6.5
(11350 SY)	≈ 800	≈ 30	≈ 5.4

† From [2000Ha55](#), based on the authors' β strength distributions.

‡ Estimated (evaluators) based on $\log ft$'s quoted by [2000Ha55](#). In deducing these feedings, uncertainty of 500 keV was assumed for $Q(\beta^-)$ and 100 keV for level energy. About 70% of the β strength is accounted for in the branches shown by [2000Ha55](#).

$\#$ Absolute intensity per 100 decays.