

Adopted Levels, Gammas

Type	Author	History Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	Balraj Singh	ENSDF	28-Feb-2018

$Q(\beta^-)=14140\ 60$; $S(n)=2460\ 60$; $S(p)=1448\times 10^1\ 12$; $Q(\alpha)=-10220\ SY$ [2017Wa10](#)
 Estimated uncertainty ([2017Wa10](#)): 310 for $Q(\alpha)$.
 $S(2n)=8670\ 70$, $S(2p)=31290\ 500$ (syst), $Q(\beta^-n)=6780\ 60$ ([2017Wa10](#)). $Q(\beta^-2n)=1578\ 60$ (deduced by evaluator from masses in [2017Wa10](#)).
[1973Ke06](#): ^{132}In identified in separation of fission fragments.
[2002Di12](#): ^{132}In from $^{238}\text{U}(p,X)$ $E=1$ or 1.4 GeV; CERN/ISOLDE facility with the use of ISOLDE Resonance Ionization Laser Ion Source.
 Mass deduced from $Q(\beta^-)$ measurement: [1995Me16](#).
[2015Lo04](#): ^{132}In nuclide produced at RIBF-RIKEN facility in $^9\text{Be}(^{238}\text{U},F)$ reaction at $E=345$ MeV/nucleon with an average intensity of 6×10^{10} ions/s. Identification of ^{132}In was made by determining atomic Z and mass-to-charge ratio A/Q , where Q =charge state of the ions. The selectivity of ions was based on magnetic rigidity, time-of-flight and energy loss. The separated nuclei were implanted at a rate of 50 ions/s in a stack of eight double-sided silicon-strip detector (WAS3ABi), surrounded by EURICA array of 84 HPGe detectors. Correlations were recorded between the implanted ions and β rays. The half-life of ^{132}In isotope was measured from the correlated ion- β decay curves and maximum likelihood analysis technique as described in [2014Xu07](#) (Phys. Rev. Lett. 113, 032505). Comparison of measured half-lives with FRDM+QRPA, KTUY+GT2 and DF3+CQRPA theoretical calculations.
 Theoretical nuclear structure calculations for ^{132}In : consult Nuclear Science References (NSR) database at www.nndc.bnl.gov/nsr/ for five articles.
[Additional information 1](#).

 ^{132}In Levels**Cross Reference (XREF) Flags**

- A** ^{132}Cd β^- decay (84 ms)
B ^{133}Cd β^-n decay (64 ms)

E(level)	J $\pi^{\#}$	T $_{1/2}$	XREF	Comments
0.0 ‡	(7 $^-$) ‡	0.200 s 2	B	$\% \beta^- = 100$; $\% \beta^-n = 7.4\ 14$; $\% \beta^-2n = ?$ Theoretical T $_{1/2}$ =81 ms, $\% \beta^-n = 10.6$, $\% \beta^-2n = 0.01$ (2003Mo09). Theoretical T $_{1/2}$ =173 ms, $\% \beta^-n = 59.8$, $\% \beta^-2n = 0.2$ (2016Ma12). $\% \beta^-n$: weighted average of 6.8% 14 (1986ReZU) and 10.7% 33 (1993Ru01 , earlier value was 4.2 9 in 1980Lu04). Others: 1986ReZU and 1986ReZS (1986Wa17 from the same group), 1984Ma39 , 1982Ru01 and 1976Lu02 (same group as 1980Lu04 and 1993Ru01). Additional information 2 . T $_{1/2}$: weighted average of 0.198 s 2 (2015Lo04 , analysis of the (implanted ions) β correlated decay curve in time and position); 0.206 s 6 (2002Di12); 0.221 s 11 (1993Ru01); 0.186 s 22 (1986Bj01); 0.204 s 6 (1986Wa17 , 1986ReZU , 1986ReZS). Others: 0.22 s 3 (1980Lu04), 0.12 s 2 (1973Ke06 , 1973Ke27), 0.3 s 1 (1976Lu02).
25? ‡ 25	(6 $^-$) ‡		B	
75? ‡ 25	(5 $^-$) ‡		B	
161? ‡ 25	(4 $^-$) ‡		B	
264? ‡ 25	(3 $^-$) ‡		B	
491? ‡ 25	(2 $^-$) ‡		B	
≈ 800	(1 $^-$)		A	Probable configuration= $\nu f_{7/2} \otimes \pi g_{9/2}^{-1}$.
848? ‡ 25	(1 $^-$) ‡		B	E(level): this level may be the same as ≈ 800 from ^{132}Cd β^- decay.
≈ 1200	(1 $^+$)		A	Probable configuration= $\nu p_{3/2} \otimes \pi p_{1/2}^{-1}$.

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

Adopted Levels, Gammas (continued) ^{132}In Levels (continued)

E(level)	J^π [#]	XREF	Comments
≈ 5000 @	(1 ⁻)	A	Probable configuration= $\nu h_{11/2} \otimes \pi g_{9/2}^{-1}$.
≈ 5200 @	(1 ⁺)	A	Probable configuration= $\nu f_{7/2} \otimes \pi f_{5/2}^{-1}$.
≈ 5900 @	(1 ⁺)	A	Probable configuration= $\nu g_{7/2} \otimes \pi g_{9/2}^{-1}$.
≈ 8100 @&	(1 ⁺)	A	
≈ 8600 @&	(1 ⁺)	A	
≈ 9300 @&	(1 ⁺)	A	

[†] From plot (solid line in red) in Fig. 4a and text of [2016Ju02](#), based on the assignment of 357-227-103-86-50-(25) γ cascade to a $\Delta J=1$ sequence of levels from $J^\pi=(1^-)$ to (7^-) ground state forming members of $\pi g_{9/2}^{-1} \otimes \nu f_{7/2}$ multiplet from shell model calculations for four different 2-qp configurations for relevant valence protons and neutrons, as described by [2016Ju02](#) in their Fig. 4a (black solid lines) and text. Evaluator indicates all the excited levels as tentative since the assignment of γ rays to ^{132}In from the β^- -n decay of ^{133}Cd and the ordering of the γ rays in the proposed cascade seems tenuous at the moment in the absence of observation of (^{133}Cd) γ correlated events and $\gamma\gamma$ -coincidence relationships. Based on a statement by [2005Kr20](#), [2016Ju02](#) assume 100% β^- -n decay of ^{133}Cd to ^{132}In , however, evaluator's perusal of [2005Kr20](#) paper indicates that authors of [2005Kr20](#) do not seem to claim 100% β^- -n decay branch of ^{133}Cd based on solid experimental facts.

[‡] Member of $\pi 0g_{9/2}^{-1} \otimes \nu 1f_{7/2}$ multiplet.

[#] Probable shell-model configurations and estimated $\log ft$ values for excited states.

@ Level decays by neutrons to ^{131}In .

& Member of configuration= $\nu g_{7/2}^{-1} \otimes \pi g_{9/2}^{-1}$.

 $\gamma(^{132}\text{In})$

$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_γ	E_f	J_f^π
25?	(6 ⁻)	(25 25)	0.0	(7 ⁻)
75?	(5 ⁻)	50 [†]	25?	(6 ⁻)
161?	(4 ⁻)	86 [†]	75?	(5 ⁻)
264?	(3 ⁻)	103 [†]	161?	(4 ⁻)
491?	(2 ⁻)	227 [†]	264?	(3 ⁻)
848?	(1 ⁻)	357 [†]	491?	(2 ⁻)

[†] Placement of transition in the level scheme is uncertain.

Adopted Levels, Gammas

Legend

Level Scheme

 - - - - - ➤ γ Decay (Uncertain)
