

Adopted Levels, Gammas

Type	Author	History	Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	Yu. Khazov, A. A. Rodionov and S. Sakharov, Balraj Singh		NDS 104,497 (2005)	10-Feb-2005

$Q(\beta^-)=3575$ 4; $S(n)=6332$ 5; $S(p)=7781$ 4; $Q(\alpha)=-3498$ 20 [2012Wa38](#)

Note: Current evaluation has used the following Q record 3581 6 6327 6 7779 6 -3516 26 [2003Au03](#).

Spin, moments and hyperfine-structure measurements: [1979Oo01](#), [1974Ja22](#), [1973De42](#), [1969Si06](#), [1962Wh11](#), [1960Wh06](#), [1960Ja12](#).

^{252}Cf (SF decay): prompt γ 's: [1972Ho08](#), [1971Ho29](#), [1969WiZX](#): only one γ ray at 228.5 reported (most likely from 279 level).

$^{238}\text{U}(\alpha,\text{F})$: [2003Na35](#).

Additional information 1.

 ^{132}I Levels**Cross Reference (XREF) Flags**

- A ^{132}Te β^- decay (3.204 d)
 B ^{132}I IT decay (1.387 h)

E(level)	J $^\pi$	T _{1/2}	XREF	Comments
0.0	4 ⁺	2.295 h 13	AB	% β^- =100 $\mu=3.088$ 7 (1960Wh06 , 1962Wh11 , 1989Ra17) $Q=0.08$ 1 (1960Wh06 , 1989Ra17) J^π : atomic beam (1960Ga12 , 1959Sh64); E2-M1 γ cascade from 1 ⁺ . $T_{1/2}$: unweighted average of 2.259 h 8 (1954Em27), 2.30 h 5 (1955Wa35), 2.292 h 7 (1958Ke26), 2.34 h 2 (1965An05), 2.2846 h 4 (1966Ma56). Others: 1965Si17 , 1962Wi14 , 1957Aa04 , 1939Ab02 , 1939Ha13 .
22? 11 (5 ⁺)			B	Additional information 2. μ, Q : atomic beam (1960Wh06 , 1962Wh11); signs of μ and Q are opposite. Q recalculated by 2001StZZ based on revised $Q=0.689$ 15 (2000Ha64) for ^{127}I g.s. J^π : E3 γ from (8 ⁻); systematics. $Q=0.20$ 7 (1979Oo01 , 1989Ra17) J^π : M1 γ to 4 ⁺ ; E2 γ from 1 ⁺ . $T_{1/2}$: $\gamma\gamma(t)$ (1981Yo02). Others: 2.94 ns 11 (1999Da03), 0.93 ns 4 (1969Ho42), 0.96 ns 4 (1966Go23). μ : $g=+0.74$ 10 was obtained using IPAC (1969Si06) and $T_{1/2}=0.951$ ns 22, which gives $\mu=+2.24$ 30 (also adopted by 1989Ra17). However, $\gamma\gamma(t)$ and $\gamma\gamma(\theta,t)$ measurements of 1981Yo02 cast doubt on this value, since 1981Yo02 obtain $T_{1/2}=7.14$ ns 14 and perturbation coefficient of 0.41 9 for the 228-49 cascade as opposed to unperturbed correlation assumed by 1969Si06 .
49.72 1 3 ⁺		7.14 ns 14	A	Q : from IPAC (1979Oo01). Q recalculated by 2001StZZ based on revised $Q=0.689$ 15 (2000Ha64) for ^{127}I g.s. % β^- =14 2; %IT=86 2 (1974Di03) E(level): from difference in $Q(\beta^-)$ values for ^{132}I isomers. J^π : from systematics of 8 ⁻ levels in neighboring nuclei. $T_{1/2}$: weighted average of 1.385 h 17 (1976La14) and 1.393 h 28 (1974Di03 , 1973Di14). %IT: obtained by 1974Di03 from relative intensities of pairs of γ -rays from decay of only isomer and from decay of both isomer and ground state. J^π : M1+E2 γ from 1 ⁺ ; M1+E2 γ to 3 ⁺ . $T_{1/2}$: from 1981Yo02 . Other: 0.55 ns 4 (1999Da03), although, slope in authors' figure 1b seems to correspond to 1.14 ns.
120 20 (8 ⁻)		1.387 h 15	B	$T_{1/2}$: from ^{132}Te β^- decay. $\mu=+0.74$ 10 was obtained using IPAC (1969Si06) and $T_{1/2}=0.951$ ns 22, which gives $\mu=+2.24$ 30 (also adopted by 1989Ra17). However, $\gamma\gamma(t)$ and $\gamma\gamma(\theta,t)$ measurements of 1981Yo02 cast doubt on this value, since 1981Yo02 obtain $T_{1/2}=7.14$ ns 14 and perturbation coefficient of 0.41 9 for the 228-49 cascade as opposed to unperturbed correlation assumed by 1969Si06 .
161.52 7 2 ⁺		3.57 ns 7	A	Q : from IPAC (1979Oo01). Q recalculated by 2001StZZ based on revised $Q=0.689$ 15 (2000Ha64) for ^{127}I g.s. % β^- =14 2; %IT=86 2 (1974Di03) E(level): from difference in $Q(\beta^-)$ values for ^{132}I isomers. J^π : from systematics of 8 ⁻ levels in neighboring nuclei. $T_{1/2}$: weighted average of 1.385 h 17 (1976La14) and 1.393 h 28 (1974Di03 , 1973Di14). %IT: obtained by 1974Di03 from relative intensities of pairs of γ -rays from decay of only isomer and from decay of both isomer and ground state. J^π : M1+E2 γ from 1 ⁺ ; M1+E2 γ to 3 ⁺ . $T_{1/2}$: from 1981Yo02 . Other: 0.55 ns 4 (1999Da03), although, slope in authors' figure 1b seems to correspond to 1.14 ns.
277.86 6 1 ⁺		1.42 ns 2	A	$T_{1/2}$: from ^{132}Te β^- decay. $\mu=+1.88$ 11 (1979Oo01 , 1989Ra17) $Q=(-)0.148$ 6 (1979Oo01 , 1989Ra17) J^π : log $f_t=4.7$ from 0 ⁺ . $T_{1/2}$: from 1981Yo02 . Others: 1.42 ns 5 (1966Go23), 1.41 ns 7 (1969Ho42).

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

Adopted Levels, Gammas (continued) **^{132}I Levels (continued)**

E(level)	J^π	$T_{1/2}$	XREF	Comments					
μ, Q : TDPAC (1979Oo01). Q recalculated by 2001StZZ based on revised $Q=0.689$ 15 (2000Ha64) for ^{127}I .									
									$\gamma^{(132)\text{I}}$
$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_γ	I_γ^{\dagger}	E_f	J_f^π	Mult.	δ	α^{\ddagger}	Comments
22?	(5 ⁺)	(22 11)	100	0.0	4 ⁺	M1		5.71	$B(M1)(W.u.)=0.00374$ 12 $\alpha(K)=4.90$ 15; $\alpha(L)=0.645$ 20; $\alpha(M)=0.129$ 4
49.72	3 ⁺	49.72 1		0.0	4 ⁺				
120	(8 ⁻)	98.0 10	100	22?	(5 ⁺)	E3		21.6	$B(E3)(W.u.)=1.00 \times 10^{-4}$ 8 $\alpha(K)=6.97$ 21; $\alpha(L)=11.5$ 4; $\alpha(M)=2.54$ 8; $\alpha(N+..)=0.598$ 18 Additional information 3.
161.52	2 ⁺	111.76 8	100	49.72 3 ⁺	M1+E2	0.58 10	0.71 5		$B(M1)(W.u.)=0.00193$ 19; $B(E2)(W.u.)=34$ 9 $\alpha(K)=0.57$ 2; $\alpha(L)=0.116$ 14; $\alpha(M)=0.024$ 3; $\alpha(N+..)=0.0056$ 7
277.86	1 ⁺	116.30 8	2.23 6	161.52 2 ⁺	M1+E2	0.60 9	0.64 4		$B(M1)(W.u.)=0.000142$ 14; $B(E2)(W.u.)=2.4$ 6 $\alpha(K)=0.509$ 19; $\alpha(L)=0.102$ 11; $\alpha(M)=0.0210$ 22; $\alpha(N+..)=0.0050$ 5
		228.16 6	100 2	49.72 3 ⁺	E2		0.100		$B(E2)(W.u.)=14.2$ 5 $\alpha(K)=0.0807$ 25; $\alpha(L)=0.0152$ 5; $\alpha(M)=0.00311$ 10; $\alpha(N+..)=0.00073$ 2

[†] Relative photon branching from each level.[‡] Total theoretical internal conversion coefficients, calculated using the BrIcc code ([2008Ki07](#)) with Frozen orbital approximation based on γ -ray energies, assigned multipolarities, and mixing ratios, unless otherwise specified.

Adopted Levels, Gammas

Legend

Level Scheme

Intensities: Relative photon branching from each level

- - - - - ► γ Decay (Uncertain)