

^{131}In β^- decay (0.32 s) 2004Fo06,1984Fo03,1984Fo19

Type	Author	History	Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	Yu. Khazov, I. Mitropolsky, A. Rodionov		NDS 107, 2715 (2006)	17-Jul-2006

Parent: ^{131}In : E=3764 88; $J^\pi=(21/2^+)$; $T_{1/2}=0.32$ s 6; $Q(\beta^-)=9177$ 18; $\% \beta^-$ decay=100.0

^{131}In - $\% \beta^-$ decay: $\% \text{IT}<1$ (1984Fo19).

See the ^{131}In β^- decay 0.28 s data set for experimental details. All data are from 2004Fo06, except as noted.

Coincidences shown on drawing are from 2004Fo06.

 ^{131}Sn Levels

E(level)	J^π †	$T_{1/2}$ ‡	Comments
0.0†	(3/2 ⁺)	56.0† s 5	
0+x†	(11/2 ⁻)	58.4† s 5	$\% \beta^- = 100$; $\% \text{IT} = ?$ Additional information 1.
4102.07+x 20	(15/2 ⁻)		From the β^- -spectrum x=69 14; or x=65.1 3 from the level scheme (2004Fo06).
4273.26+x 18	(17/2 ⁺)	<0.2 ns	
4446.45+x 18	(19/2 ⁻)	<0.2 ns	
4557.89+x 20	(19/2 ⁺)	<2 ns	
4605.02+x 21	(23/2 ⁻)	300 ns 20	
4705.6+x 4			
4875.36+x 23			
6653.65+x 23	(19/2 ⁺ , 21/2 ⁺)		

† From the Adopted Levels.

‡ From $\gamma\gamma(t)$ (1984Fo19), except as noted.

 β^- radiations

$I\beta, \log ft$ From net γ feeding of each level.

E(decay)	E(level)	$I\beta$ †	Log ft	Comments
(3×10^3)‡ 3)	6653.65+x	36.4 25	4.67 10	av $E\beta=2769$ 50 E(decay): 6169 109 from $\beta(2096\gamma+2380\gamma)$ coin. E(decay): 6252 95 from $\beta(285\gamma)$ coin.
(4×10^3)‡ 4)	4875.36+x	8.1 8	5.81 10	av $E\beta=3610$ 50
(4×10^3)‡ 4)	4705.6+x	1.9 4	6.48 13	av $E\beta=3691$ 50
(4×10^3)‡ 4)	4605.02+x	26.0 19	5.37 9	av $E\beta=3738$ 50
(4×10^3)‡ 4)	4557.89+x	15 3	5.62 13	av $E\beta=3760$ 50
(4×10^3)‡ 4)	4446.45+x	12 3	5.74 14	av $E\beta=3813$ 50
(4×10^3)‡ 4)	4273.26+x	<7	>6.0	av $E\beta=3895$ 50
(4×10^3)‡ 5)	4102.07+x	3.2 14	6.39 21	av $E\beta=3975$ 50

† Absolute intensity per 100 decays.

‡ Estimated for a range of levels.

^{131}In β^- decay (0.32 s) 2004Fo06,1984Fo03,1984Fo19 (continued) $\gamma(^{131}\text{Sn})$

I_γ normalization: from $I_\gamma(4273\gamma)=100-\% \beta^- n$; $\% \beta^- n \leq 0.03$ see comment for ^{131}In Adopted Levels dataset.

Relative intensities in delayed spectrum (gated 100-900 ns after prompt $\beta\gamma$ events) are given in the comments (1984Fo19).

$I(\text{Sn } K\alpha)=31$ 6; relative intensity in delayed spectrum (1984Fo19).

E_γ	I_γ^\ddagger	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Mult.	$\alpha^\#$	Comments
158.57 10	25.9 [†] 13	4605.02+x	(23/2 ⁻)	4446.45+x	(19/2 ⁻)	E2	0.313	$\alpha(\text{K})_{\text{exp}}=0.33$ 7 $\alpha(\text{K})=0.249$ 4; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.0514$ 8; $\alpha(\text{M})=0.01034$ 15; $\alpha(\text{N}+..)=0.00197$ 3 $\alpha(\text{N})=0.00186$ 3; $\alpha(\text{O})=0.0001040$ 15 $B(\text{E}2)(\text{W.u.})=0.363$ 25 $\alpha(\text{K})_{\text{exp}}=(I_\gamma(\text{Sn } K\alpha)-\omega\alpha(\text{K})(173\gamma)I_\gamma(173\gamma))/$ $\omega I_\gamma(158\gamma)$ using delayed intensities; here $\omega=\omega_{\text{K}}(\text{Sn})=0.86$, the fluorescent yield (1996Sc06). $I_\gamma(\text{delayed})=77$ 4. Mult.: D,E2,(M3) from comparison to RUL; E2 based on $\alpha(\text{K})_{\text{exp}}$ deduced from Sn $K\alpha$ observed in delayed spectrum.
171.1 3	1.3 4	4273.26+x	(17/2 ⁺)	4102.07+x	(15/2 ⁻)			
173.185 23	38 [†] 2	4446.45+x	(19/2 ⁻)	4273.26+x	(17/2 ⁺)	(E1)	0.0384	$\alpha(\text{K})=0.0334$ 5; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.00411$ 6; $\alpha(\text{M})=0.000800$ 12; $\alpha(\text{N}+..)=0.0001607$ 23 $\alpha(\text{N})=0.0001488$ 21; $\alpha(\text{O})=1.186 \times 10^{-5}$ 17 $B(\text{E}1)(\text{W.u.})>0.00020$ $I_\gamma(\text{delayed})=98$ 10. E_γ : from 1979Bo26 (curved crystal spect). Assigned to 0.32 s β^- decay by evaluators based on agreement with 173.28 10 (2004Fo06). Mult.: D from comparison to RUL; $\Delta\pi$ =yes from decay scheme (evaluators).
259.2 3	1.9 4	4705.6+x		4446.45+x	(19/2 ⁻)			
270.34 10	8.1 8	4875.36+x		4605.02+x	(23/2 ⁻)			
284.67 10	36 2	4557.89+x	(19/2 ⁺)	4273.26+x	(17/2 ⁺)	(M1,E2)	0.037 5	$\alpha(\text{K})=0.032$ 4; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.0045$ 10; $\alpha(\text{M})=0.00089$ 21; $\alpha(\text{N}+..)=0.00018$ 4 $\alpha(\text{N})=0.00017$ 4; $\alpha(\text{O})=1.27 \times 10^{-5}$ 14 Mult.: D,E2 from comparison to RUL; $\Delta\pi$ =no from decay scheme (evaluators).
344.40 10	5.7 5	4446.45+x	(19/2 ⁻)	4102.07+x	(15/2 ⁻)			
*2078.8 5	5.4 12							E_γ : possibly in coin with a 4273 γ from 4338 level. The 2078.8 γ may as well belong to the decay of the 1/2 ⁻ 0.35 s isomer of ^{131}In .

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

^{131}In β^- decay (0.32 s) [2004Fo06](#),[1984Fo03](#),[1984Fo19](#) (continued) $\gamma(^{131}\text{Sn})$ (continued)

E_γ	I_γ^\ddagger	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Comments
2095.9 2	21.9 20	6653.65+x	(19/2 ⁺ ,21/2 ⁺)	4557.89+x	(19/2 ⁺)	
2380.2 2	14.5 15	6653.65+x	(19/2 ⁺ ,21/2 ⁺)	4273.26+x	(17/2 ⁺)	
4102.3 5	10.2 12	4102.07+x	(15/2 ⁻)	0+x	(11/2 ⁻)	
4273.20 20	87 9	4273.26+x	(17/2 ⁺)	0+x	(11/2 ⁻)	$I_\gamma(\text{delayed})=100$ 10.
4446.0 5	3.2 5	4446.45+x	(19/2 ⁻)	0+x	(11/2 ⁻)	

[†] $\beta\gamma$ coincidence spectra showed that I_γ 's for the 158 and 173 approximately 0% and 35%, respectively, of the total intensities were in prompt coincidences with the β 's ([1984Fo19](#)).

[‡] Absolute intensity per 100 decays.

[#] Total theoretical internal conversion coefficients, calculated using the BrIcc code ([2008Ki07](#)) with Frozen orbital approximation based on γ -ray energies, assigned multipolarities, and mixing ratios, unless otherwise specified.

^x γ ray not placed in level scheme.

$^{131}\text{In} \beta^-$ decay (0.32 s) 2004Fo06,1984Fo03,1984Fo19

Decay Scheme

Intensities: I_γ per 100 parent decays

Legend

- $I_\gamma < 2\% \times I_\gamma^{max}$
- $I_\gamma < 10\% \times I_\gamma^{max}$
- $I_\gamma > 10\% \times I_\gamma^{max}$
- Coincidence

