

$^{131}\text{In } \beta^- \text{ decay (0.28 s)}$     [2004Fo06](#),[1984Fo03](#),[1984Fo19](#)

Type	Author	History	Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	Yu. Khazov, I. Mitropolsky, A. Rodionov		NDS 107, 2715 (2006)	17-Jul-2006

Parent:  $^{131}\text{In}$ : E=0.0;  $J^\pi=(9/2^+)$ ;  $T_{1/2}=0.28$  s  $\beta$ ;  $Q(\beta^-)=9177$  keV; % $\beta^-$  decay=100.0

$^{131}\text{In}$ -% $\beta^-$  decay: % $\beta^-$  n≤2.0  $\beta$  (see comments for  $^{131}\text{In}$  Adopted Levels dataset).

[1963Gr13](#),[1966St25](#),[1974Gr29](#),[1981Hu09](#): measured  $T_{1/2}$ .

[1984Fo19](#),[1984Fo03](#): measured  $\beta'$ s,  $\beta\gamma$ -coin,  $\gamma'$ s,  $\gamma(t)$ ,  $\gamma\gamma(t)$ , and  $\gamma\gamma$ -coin; HPGe, Ge(Li), plastic scin, OSIRIS.

[1995Me16](#),[1999Fo01](#): measured  $\beta^-$  decay energy,  $\beta\gamma$ -coin, Si(Li), HPGe, OSIRIS.

[2004Fo06](#):  $^{131}\text{In}$  isotopes produced continuously by fission in the combined target and ion source of OSIRIS mass spectrometer.

Measured  $E\gamma$ ,  $I\gamma$ ,  $\gamma\gamma$ ,  $E\beta$ ,  $I\beta$ ,  $\beta\gamma$ -coin using two HPGe spectrometers of 80% and 30% relative efficiencies, and an HPGe diode used as  $\beta$  spectrometer.

All data are from [2004Fo06](#), except as noted. Other: [1980De35](#).

Coincidences shown on drawing are from [2004Fo06](#).

 $^{131}\text{Sn}$  Levels

E(level)	$J^\pi \dagger$	Comments
0.0	(3/2 <sup>+</sup> )	
0+x	11/2 <sup>-</sup>	From the $\beta$ -spectrum x=69 $\beta$ ; or x=65.1 $\beta$ from the level scheme ( <a href="#">2004Fo06</a> ). <a href="#">Additional information 1</a> .
331.72 10	(1/2 <sup>+</sup> )	
1654.55 8	(5/2 <sup>+</sup> )	
2434.17 8	(7/2 <sup>+</sup> )	
3989.94 19	(7/2 <sup>+</sup> )	
4098.8?‡ 6		Not supported by <a href="#">2004Fo06</a> .
4262.2 7		
4292.8?‡ 12		Not supported by <a href="#">2004Fo06</a> .
4352.7 7		
4404.8 4		
4487.08 20	(7/2 <sup>+</sup> )	
4770.8?‡ 5		
5111.9 7		
5215.7 5		
5323.1?‡ 20		Not supported by <a href="#">2004Fo06</a> .
5412.5 4		
5591.1 15		

† From the Adopted Levels.

‡ [1984Fo19](#) assumed that these states were populated by the 0.28 s (9/2<sup>+</sup>)  $^{131}\text{In}$   $\beta^-$  decay for simplicity. Some of these states may be populated in 0.32 s (21/2<sup>+</sup>)  $^{131}\text{In}$   $\beta^-$  decay and, therefore, would not deexcite directly to the g.s.

 $\beta^-$  radiations

E(decay)	E(level)	$I\beta^- \dagger$	Log ft	Comments
(3586 18)	5591.1	0.1	6.1	av $E\beta=1519.9$ 85
(3765 18)	5412.5	0.5	5.5	av $E\beta=1604.1$ 85
(3961 18)	5215.7	0.16	6.0	av $E\beta=1696.9$ 85
(4065 18)	5111.9	0.1	6.4	av $E\beta=1745.9$ 86
(4406 18)	4770.8?	0.4	6.0	av $E\beta=1907.2$ 86
4745 34	4487.08	3.4	5.1	av $E\beta=2041.6$ 86
(5×10 <sup>3</sup> ?‡ 5)	0+x <20	>5.6		av $E\beta=4155.6$ 85

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

---

**$^{131}\text{In}$   $\beta^-$  decay (0.28 s)    2004Fo06,1984Fo03,1984Fo19 (continued)**

---

**$\beta^-$  radiations (continued)**

E(decay)	E(level)	$I\beta^-^\dagger$	Log $f\tau$			Comments
(4772 18)	4404.8	0.3	6.2	av E $\beta$ =2080.6 86		
(4824 18)	4352.7	0.1	6.7	av E $\beta$ =2105.2 86		
(4915 18)	4262.2	0.1	6.7	av E $\beta$ =2148.1 86		
5151 37	3989.94	3.6	5.3	av E $\beta$ =2277.2 86		
6795 12	2434.17	90	4.4	av E $\beta$ =3014.9 86		
				E(decay): from $\beta\gamma$ -coincidence (2004Fo06). Significant $\beta$ -feeding suggests allowed transition.		

<sup>†</sup> Absolute intensity per 100 decays.

<sup>‡</sup> Existence of this branch is questionable.

<sup>#</sup> Estimated for a range of levels.

**$\gamma(^{131}\text{Sn})$**

I $\gamma$  normalization: data are not sufficient for calculation of normalization, due to the level scheme is incomplete.

The evaluators treat existence and placement of 2192 $\gamma$  as questionable; in 2004Fo06 that transition is not confirmed.

E $\gamma$	I $\gamma$ <sup>@</sup>	E $_i$ (level)	J $^\pi_i$	E $_f$	J $^\pi_f$	Comments
331.72 10	<0.06	331.72	(1/2 $^+$ )	0.0	(3/2 $^+$ )	E $_\gamma$ ,I $_\gamma$ : from figure 4 of 2004Fo06; not listed amongst transitions in table II. See 0/35 s 1/2 $^-$ $^{131}\text{In}$ decay dataset.
779.64 10	1.7 1	2434.17	(7/2 $^+$ )	1654.55 (5/2 $^+$ )		
1322.9	<0.06	1654.55	(5/2 $^+$ )	331.72 (1/2 $^+$ )		Possible E2 from 1654.55, d <sub>1/2</sub> to 331.72 s <sub>1/2</sub> (2004Fo06).
1555.6 5	0.13 3	3989.94	(7/2 $^+$ )	2434.17 (7/2 $^+$ )		
1654.55 10	1.7 2	1654.55	(5/2 $^+$ )	0.0 (3/2 $^+$ )		
2192.2 <sup>&amp;</sup> 8	0.8 3	2434.17	(7/2 $^+$ )			Data from 1984Fo19 and 1984Fo03; not confirmed by 2004Fo06.
2434.12 <sup>†</sup> 10	90	2434.17	(7/2 $^+$ )	0.0 (3/2 $^+$ )		I $_\gamma$ : $\Delta I_\gamma=+2-20$ . Intensity of the transition represents a normalization from the decay scheme (2004Fo06).
2677.7 7	0.06 2	5111.9		2434.17 (7/2 $^+$ )		
2750.0 5	0.11 2	4404.8		1654.55 (5/2 $^+$ )		
2978.3 3	0.50 5	5412.5		2434.17 (7/2 $^+$ )		
3936.5 15	0.05 2	5591.1		1654.55 (5/2 $^+$ )		
3989.9 <sup>†</sup> 2	3.5 2	3989.94	(7/2 $^+$ )	0.0 (3/2 $^+$ )		
4098.7 <sup>#&amp;</sup> 6	0.24 6	4098.8?		0.0 (3/2 $^+$ )		According to 2004Fo06, has other intensity and placement, see $^{131}\text{In}$ 0.35S decay dataset.
4262.1 <sup>#</sup> 7	0.11 2	4262.2		0.0 (3/2 $^+$ )		
4292.7 <sup>#&amp;</sup> 12	0.29 5	4292.8?		0.0 (3/2 $^+$ )		Not confirmed by 2004Fo06.
4352.6 <sup>#</sup> 7	0.10 2	4352.7		0.0 (3/2 $^+$ )		
4404.9 4	0.17 2	4404.8		0.0 (3/2 $^+$ )		
4487.00 <sup>†#</sup> 20	2.8 2	4487.08	(7/2 $^+$ )	0.0 (3/2 $^+$ )		
4770.8 <sup>#&amp;</sup> 5	0.40 5	4770.8?		0.0 (3/2 $^+$ )		
5215.6 <sup>#</sup> 5	0.16 4	5215.7		0.0 (3/2 $^+$ )		
5323.0 <sup>#&amp;</sup> 20	0.13 4	5323.1?		0.0 (3/2 $^+$ )		Not confirmed by 2004Fo06.

<sup>†</sup> Attributed solely to g.s. decay based on T<sub>1/2</sub> (1984Fo19).

<sup>‡</sup> Assumed to follow the g.s. decay (2004Fo06).

<sup>#</sup> May belong to the decay of (1/2 $^-$ ) 0.35 s 131In isomer (2004Fo06).

---

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

---

---

 **$^{131}\text{In}$   $\beta^-$  decay (0.28 s)    2004Fo06,1984Fo03,1984Fo19 (continued)** **$\gamma(^{131}\text{Sn})$  (continued)**

<sup>a</sup> Absolute intensity per 100 decays.

<sup>&</sup> Placement of transition in the level scheme is uncertain.

