

$^{130}\text{Te}(^{64}\text{Ni},\text{X}\gamma)$  **1998Zh09**

Type	Author	History Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	Balraj Singh	NDS 93, 33 (2001)	11-May-2001

E=275 MeV. Measured  $E_\gamma$ ,  $I_\gamma$ ,  $\gamma\gamma(\theta)$ ,  $\gamma\gamma(t)$  using GASP array of 40 Ge detectors.

 $^{130}\text{Te}$  Levels

E(level)	$J^\pi^\dagger$	$T_{1/2}$	Comments
0.0	$0^+$		
839.5 1	$2^+$		
1588.5 2	$2^+$		
1633.0 2	$4^+$		
1815.7 2	$6^+$		
1885.4 4	$2^+$		
1964.8 4	$0^+$		
1981.6 2	$4^+$		
2101.3 2	$5^-$		
2138.8 2	$3^+$		
2146.8 2	$7^-$	115 ns	$T_{1/2}$ : from Adopted Levels.
2331.2 4	$4^+$		
2405.1 3	$6^-$		
2432.3 2	$7^-$		
2435.8 4	$4^-$		
2648.9 3	$8^+$		
2649+x	$(10^+)$	4.2 $\mu\text{s}$ 9	$T_{1/2}$ : from observation of delayed $6^+$ to $4^+$ to $2^+$ cascade between beam bursts (1998Zh09). This value is in disagreement with 1.90 $\mu\text{s}$ 8 from 2001Ge07. E(level): x<90 keV. Other: x<25 keV (2001Ge07).
2878.8 4			
3081.8 4			

$^\dagger$  As given by 1998Zh09, see also Adopted Levels.

 $\gamma(^{130}\text{Te})$ 

$E_\gamma$	$I_\gamma$	$E_i(\text{level})$	$J_i^\pi$	$E_f$	$J_f^\pi$	$I_{(\gamma+ce)}$	Comments
46		2146.8	$7^-$	2101.3	$5^-$	$\approx 0.05$	$E_\gamma$ : existence required by $\gamma\gamma$ coin data. $I_{(\gamma+ce)}$ : branching(46 $\gamma$ ) $\approx 4\%$ (1998Zh09).
182.7 1	10.7 11	1815.7	$6^+$	1633.0	$4^+$		
258.4 3	1.1 1	2405.1	$6^-$	2146.8	$7^-$		
285.5 3	1.7 2	2432.3	$7^-$	2146.8	$7^-$		
303.7 3	1.0 1	2405.1	$6^-$	2101.3	$5^-$		
330.9 3	1.2 1	2146.8	$7^-$	1815.7	$6^+$		
331.0 1	4.8 5	2432.3	$7^-$	2101.3	$5^-$		
334.5 3	2.5 3	2435.8	$4^-$	2101.3	$5^-$		
348.6 1	4.6 5	1981.6	$4^+$	1633.0	$4^+$		
$^x458.3^\dagger$ 3	0.3						
468.3 1	13.7 14	2101.3	$5^-$	1633.0	$4^+$		
502.0 3	0.9 1	2648.9	$8^+$	2146.8	$7^-$		
505.8 3	0.5 1	2138.8	$3^+$	1633.0	$4^+$		
550.8 3	1.4 2	2138.8	$3^+$	1588.5	$2^+$		
$^x601.6^\dagger$ 3	0.3						
698.2 3	0.5 1	2331.2	$4^+$	1633.0	$4^+$		
732.1 3	0.8 1	2878.8		2146.8	$7^-$		
749.0 1	17.3 17	1588.5	$2^+$	839.5	$2^+$		

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

$^{130}\text{Te}(^{64}\text{Ni},\text{X}\gamma)$  **1998Zh09** (continued) $\gamma(^{130}\text{Te})$  (continued)

$E_\gamma$	$I_\gamma$	$E_i(\text{level})$	$J_i^\pi$	$E_f$	$J_f^\pi$	$E_\gamma$	$I_\gamma$	$E_i(\text{level})$	$J_i^\pi$	$E_f$	$J_f^\pi$
793.5 1	100 10	1633.0	4 <sup>+</sup>	839.5	2 <sup>+</sup>	1045.9 3	1.6 2	1885.4	2 <sup>+</sup>	839.5	2 <sup>+</sup>
833.4 3	1.2 1	2648.9	8 <sup>+</sup>	1815.7	6 <sup>+</sup>	1125.3 3	1.0 1	1964.8	0 <sup>+</sup>	839.5	2 <sup>+</sup>
839.5 1	531 53	839.5	2 <sup>+</sup>	0.0	0 <sup>+</sup>	1142.0 3	2.8 3	1981.6	4 <sup>+</sup>	839.5	2 <sup>+</sup>
935.0 3	0.8 1	3081.8		2146.8	7 <sup>-</sup>	1298.9 3	2.8 3	2138.8	3 <sup>+</sup>	839.5	2 <sup>+</sup>

† Above 7<sup>-</sup> isomer.

<sup>x</sup>  $\gamma$  ray not placed in level scheme.

$^{130}\text{Te}(^{64}\text{Ni}, X\gamma)$  1998Zh09

Level Scheme

Intensities: Relative  $I_\gamma$

Legend

- $I_\gamma < 2\% \times I_\gamma^{max}$
- $I_\gamma < 10\% \times I_\gamma^{max}$
- $I_\gamma > 10\% \times I_\gamma^{max}$

