

$^{130}\text{Pr}$   $\varepsilon$  decay:high J + low J    1990Ko25,1988Ba42

Type	Author	History Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	Balraj Singh	NDS 93, 33 (2001)	11-May-2001

Parent:  $^{130}\text{Pr}$ : E=0+x;  $J^\pi=\text{HIGH J}$ ;  $T_{1/2}=40.0 \text{ s } 4$ ;  $Q(\varepsilon)=8091 \text{ SY}$ ; % $\varepsilon+\%$  $\beta^+$  decay=100.0Parent:  $^{130}\text{Pr}$ : E=0+y;  $J^\pi=\text{LOW J}$ ;  $T_{1/2}=40.0 \text{ s } 4$ ;  $Q(\varepsilon)=8091 \text{ SY}$ ; % $\varepsilon+\%$  $\beta^+$  decay=100.0 $^{130}\text{Pr}(0+y)-T_{1/2}$ :  $T_{1/2}=40.0 \text{ s } 4$  is most likely combined for both high-J and low-J isomers.**1990Ko25** (also **1987Ko24**): measured  $E\gamma$ ,  $I\gamma$ ,  $\gamma\gamma$ ,  $T_{1/2}$ .**1988Ba42** (also **1991GiZY**): measured  $E\gamma$ ,  $\gamma\gamma$ .**Additional information 1.****1986KuZQ**: measured ce for decay of high-spin continuum states.**1997As05**: measured  $(772\gamma)(254\gamma)(\theta)$ .**1994GiZZ**: measured ce.log  $ft$ 's cannot be deduced due to incomplete information about  $I\gamma$ 's, large gap ( $\approx 6$  MeV) between  $Q(\varepsilon)$  value and maximum energy level known in this decay, and inability to separate the level scheme from two isomers from available data. **1991GiZY** give % $\varepsilon$  feedings and associated log  $ft$  values, but these cannot be valid for reasons given above. $T_{1/2}$ ( $^{130}\text{Pr}$  isotope): **1977Bo02**, **1964PeZY**. $^{130}\text{Ce}$  Levels

E(level)	$J^\pi$ <sup>†</sup>	Comments
0.0	0 <sup>+</sup>	
253.72 16	2 <sup>+</sup>	
710.39 21	4 <sup>+</sup>	
834.48 16	(2 <sup>+</sup> )	
1025.5 11	0 <sup>+</sup>	E(level): from <b>1997As05</b> and <b>1987Ko24</b> . Not given in <b>1990Ko25</b> . $J^\pi$ : $\gamma\gamma(\theta)$ ( <b>1997As05</b> ).
1177.32 21	(2 <sup>+,3,4<sup>+</sup>)<sup>‡</sup></sup>	$J^\pi$ : (3 <sup>+</sup> ) proposed by <b>1988Ba42</b> .
1322.96 23	(4 <sup>+</sup> )	
1324.4 3	6 <sup>+</sup>	
1671.94 21	(2 <sup>+,3,4<sup>+</sup>)<sup>‡</sup></sup>	$J^\pi$ : (3,4) proposed by <b>1988Ba42</b> .
1755.4 4	<sup>‡</sup>	$J^\pi$ : (5 <sup>+</sup> ) proposed by <b>1988Ba42</b> .
1899.7 7	(6 <sup>+</sup> )	
1954.5 4	(5 <sup>-</sup> )	
2115.9 3	(2 <sup>+,3,4<sup>+</sup>)</sup>	
2454 1	(7 <sup>-</sup> )	
2624.2 4		

<sup>†</sup> From Adopted Levels.<sup>‡</sup> Argument is lacking for assignment (listed under comment) proposed by **1988Ba42**. $\gamma(^{130}\text{Ce})$ 

$E_\gamma$ <sup>†</sup>	$I_\gamma$ <sup>†</sup>	$E_i$ (level)	$J_i^\pi$	$E_f$	$J_f^\pi$
253.7 2	100 5	253.72	2 <sup>+</sup>	0.0	0 <sup>+</sup>
283 <sup>‡@</sup>		1954.5	(5 <sup>-</sup> )	1671.94 (2 <sup>+,3,4<sup>+</sup>)</sup>	
342.7 6	1.0 3	1177.32	(2 <sup>+,3,4<sup>+</sup>)</sup>	834.48 (2 <sup>+</sup> )	
456.7 2	38 3	710.39	4 <sup>+</sup>	253.72 2 <sup>+</sup>	
467.2 6	1.3 4	1177.32	(2 <sup>+,3,4<sup>+</sup>)</sup>	710.39 4 <sup>+</sup>	
488.5 2	1.8 3	1322.96	(4 <sup>+</sup> )	834.48 (2 <sup>+</sup> )	
494.7 2	2.0 6	1671.94	(2 <sup>+,3,4<sup>+</sup>)</sup>	1177.32 (2 <sup>+,3,4<sup>+</sup>)</sup>	
499 <sup>‡</sup>		2454	(7 <sup>-</sup> )	1954.5 (5 <sup>-</sup> )	

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

**$^{130}\text{Pr}$   $\varepsilon$  decay:high J + low J    1990Ko25,1988Ba42 (continued)** **$\gamma(^{130}\text{Ce})$  (continued)**

$E_\gamma^\dagger$	$I_\gamma^\dagger$	$E_i(\text{level})$	$J_i^\pi$	$E_f$	$J_f^\pi$	Mult.	$I_{(\gamma+ce)}$	Comments
574.0 <sup>‡</sup>		1899.7	(6 <sup>+</sup> )	1324.4	6 <sup>+</sup>			
576.7 6	1.3 4	1899.7	(6 <sup>+</sup> )	1322.96	(4 <sup>+</sup> )			
578.0 3	0.4 1	1755.4		1177.32	(2 <sup>+,3,4</sup> <sup>+</sup> )			
580.7 2	10.4 10	834.48	(2 <sup>+</sup> )	253.72	2 <sup>+</sup>			
612.5 3	2.6 6	1322.96	(4 <sup>+</sup> )	710.39	4 <sup>+</sup>			
614.0 2	6.5 6	1324.4	6 <sup>+</sup>	710.39	4 <sup>+</sup>			
631.5 <sup>#</sup> 5	1.3 <sup>#</sup> 4	1954.5	(5 <sup>-</sup> )	1322.96	(4 <sup>+</sup> )			
631.5 <sup>#</sup> 5	1.3 <sup>#</sup> 4	1954.5	(5 <sup>-</sup> )	1324.4	6 <sup>+</sup>			
771.8		1025.5	0 <sup>+</sup>	253.72	2 <sup>+</sup>			E <sub><math>\gamma</math></sub> : from 1987Ko24. $\gamma$ not given in 1990Ko25. (772 $\gamma$ )(254 $\gamma$ )(0): A <sub>2</sub> =+0.51 7, A <sub>4</sub> =+1.15 12 (1997As05).
792 <sup>‡@</sup>		2115.9	(2 <sup>+,3,4</sup> <sup>+</sup> )	1322.96	(4 <sup>+</sup> )			
834.5 2	8.0 9	834.48	(2 <sup>+</sup> )	0.0	0 <sup>+</sup>			
837.4 2	7.7 4	1671.94	(2 <sup>+,3,4</sup> <sup>+</sup> )	834.48	(2 <sup>+</sup> )			
923.6 2	13.8 10	1177.32	(2 <sup>+,3,4</sup> <sup>+</sup> )	253.72	2 <sup>+</sup>			
938.5 2	3.4 7	2115.9	(2 <sup>+,3,4</sup> <sup>+</sup> )	1177.32	(2 <sup>+,3,4</sup> <sup>+</sup> )			
952.3 3	5.3 7	2624.2		1671.94	(2 <sup>+,3,4</sup> <sup>+</sup> )			
961.5 4	1.7 6	1671.94	(2 <sup>+,3,4</sup> <sup>+</sup> )	710.39	4 <sup>+</sup>			
1025.5		1025.5	0 <sup>+</sup>	0.0	0 <sup>+</sup>	(E0)	0.0039 12	E <sub><math>\gamma</math></sub> : from level-energy difference. I <sub>(<math>\gamma+ce</math>)</sub> : from 1994GiZZ.
1045.0 <sup>‡</sup>		1755.4		710.39	4 <sup>+</sup>			
1069.4 <sup>‡</sup>		1322.96	(4 <sup>+</sup> )	253.72	2 <sup>+</sup>			
1282 <sup>‡</sup>		2115.9	(2 <sup>+,3,4</sup> <sup>+</sup> )	834.48	(2 <sup>+</sup> )			
1404.9 10	2.9 9	2115.9	(2 <sup>+,3,4</sup> <sup>+</sup> )	710.39	4 <sup>+</sup>			

<sup>†</sup> From 1990Ko25, unless otherwise stated.<sup>‡</sup> From 1991GiZY (also 1988Ba42) only.

# Multiply placed with undivided intensity.

@ Placement of transition in the level scheme is uncertain.

$^{130}\text{Pr}$   $\epsilon$  decay:high J + low J    1990Ko25,1988Ba42

## Legend

- $I_{\gamma} < 2\% \times I_{\gamma}^{\max}$
- $I_{\gamma} < 10\% \times I_{\gamma}^{\max}$
- $I_{\gamma} > 10\% \times I_{\gamma}^{\max}$
- - - - -  $\gamma$  Decay (Uncertain)
- Coincidence

## Decay Scheme

Intensities: Relative  $I_{\gamma}$ 

&amp; Multiply placed: undivided intensity given

