

$^9\text{Be}(^6\text{Li},\text{d}),(^7\text{Li},\text{t})$ 

Type	Author	History	Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	J. H. Kelley, C. G. Sheu and J. E. Purcell		NDS 198,1 (2024)	1-Aug-2024

**1964Ca05:**  $^9\text{Be}(^7\text{Li},\text{t})$  E=3.2 MeV; populated the first eight states of  $^{13}\text{C}$  (not all resolved). No triton groups are observed to the previously reported  $^{13}\text{C}$  states at 5.51 and 6.10 MeV.

**1969Sn02:**  $^9\text{Be}(^7\text{Li},\text{t})$  E=5.6,5.8,6.0,6.2 MeV; measured  $\sigma(E;\theta)$ . Absolute differential cross sections at  $\theta=10^\circ-170^\circ$  have been measured;  $^{13}\text{C}$  levels observed.

**1971Go24:**  $^9\text{Be}(^6\text{Li},\text{d}),(^7\text{Li},\text{t})$  E=24,30 MeV; measured  $\sigma(E_d,\theta)$ ,  $\sigma(t,\theta)$ .  $^{13}\text{C}$  deduced levels,  $\alpha$ -particle structure.

**1989As01:**  $^9\text{Be}(^6\text{Li},\text{d})$  E=30 MeV; measured  $\sigma(E_d)$ ,  $\sigma(\theta)$ ; deduced optical model parameters.  $^{13}\text{C}$  deduced levels, L, J,  $\pi$ , spectroscopic strengths.

**1998Le17:**  $^7\text{Li}(^9\text{Be},\text{t})$  E≈6.5-9.0 MeV/nucleon; measured  $E_\alpha$  vs angle following compound nucleus decay.  $^{13}\text{C}$  deduced levels, widths,  $\alpha$ -decay branching ratios.

**2010RoZR, 2010RoZZ:**  $^9\text{Be}(^6\text{Li},\text{d})$  E=25.5 MeV; measured  $E_d$ ,  $I_d(\theta)$ ; deduced  $\sigma(\theta)$ ; calculated  $\sigma(\theta)$  using DWBA.

**2011Bo17:**  $^9\text{Be}(^6\text{Li},\text{d})$  E=25.5 MeV; measured reaction products, deuteron spectra; deduced  $\sigma(\theta)$ , J,  $\pi$ . Comparison with DWBA calculations.

**2012Wh01:** XUNDL dataset compiled by TUNL, 2012.

The authors studied the decay modes of  $^{13}\text{C}$  states by measuring deuterons from the  $^9\text{Be}(^6\text{Li},\text{d})^{13}\text{C}$  reaction in coincidence with  $^{12}\text{C}$  or  $\alpha$  particles from breakup of  $^{13}\text{C}$  into  $^{12}\text{C}+\text{n}$  or  $\alpha+^9\text{Be}$ , respectively.

A beam of 42 MeV  $^6\text{Li}$  ions impinged on a 240  $\mu\text{g}/\text{cm}^2$   $^9\text{Be}$  target at the Munich MLL tandem laboratory. Recoiling deuterons were detected in the Q3D spectrometer, which was optimized for sensitivity to  $E_x=11550$  keV (10.6 to 13.0 MeV) or 13280 keV (12.4 to 15.1 MeV). Charged particle ejectiles from breakup of correlated  $^{13}\text{C}^*$  were detected in an array of four 50 mm×50 mm position sensitive Si strip detectors that covered 12° to 91° and -36° to 39° in the vertical and horizontal planes, respectively. The  $^{12}\text{C}+\text{n}_0/\text{n}_1$  and  $^9\text{Be}+\alpha_0$  breakup paths were analyzed.

The absolute particle widths were determined for  $\text{n}_0$ ,  $\text{n}_1$  and  $\alpha_0$  decay reactions by correlating deuterons in the Q3D focal plane with events in the strip detector array.

 $^{13}\text{C}$  Levels

E(level) <sup>†</sup>	J <sup>π</sup> <sup>†</sup>	$\Gamma^{\ddagger\#}$	L <sup>†</sup>	Spectroscopic Strength <sup>†</sup>	Comments
0 3089	1/2 <sup>-</sup> 1/2 <sup>+</sup>		2 1	1.9 2.3	E(level): From (1964Ca05,1969Sn02,1971Go24,1989As01). E(level): From (1964Ca05,1969Sn02,1971Go24,1989As01, 2010RoZZ).
3685	3/2 <sup>-</sup>		0+2	1.7	E(level): From (1964Ca05,1971Go24,2010RoZZ); see also (1969Sn02,1989As01: unresolved doublet).
3854	5/2 <sup>+</sup>		1	1.4	L: From (2010RoZZ, 2011Bo17), L=0 in (1989As01).
6864	5/2 <sup>+</sup>		1+3	0.19	E(level): From (1964Ca05,2010RoZZ); see also (1969Sn02,1989As01: unresolved doublet). E(level): See (1964Ca05,1969Sn02,1971Go24,1989As01, 2010RoZZ).
7492	(7/2 <sup>+</sup> )				Spectroscopic Strength: for L=1 transfer; S=0.38 for L=3 transfer. E(level): From (2010RoZZ); see also (1964Ca05,1969Sn02: unresolved doublet) and (1971Go24,1989As01: unresolved levels).
7547	5/2 <sup>-</sup>				E(level): From (2010RoZZ); see also (1964Ca05,1969Sn02: unresolved doublet).
7686	3/2 <sup>+</sup>				E(level): From (1964Ca05,1969Sn02).
8860	1/2 <sup>-</sup>				E(level): From (^7Li,t) (1971Go24).
9500	9/2 <sup>+</sup>		3	0.55	E(level): From (1969Sn02,1989As01,2010RoZZ); see also (1971Go24: unresolved levels).
9897	3/2 <sup>-</sup>		0+2	7.2	E(level): From (1969Sn02,1989As01,2010RoZZ); see also (1971Go24: unresolved levels). Spectroscopic Strength: for L=0 transfer; S=1.45 for L=2 transfer.

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

**$^9\text{Be}(^6\text{Li},\text{d}),(^7\text{Li},\text{t})$  (continued)** **$^{13}\text{C}$  Levels (continued)**

E(level) <sup>†</sup>	J <sup>π</sup> <sup>†</sup>	$\Gamma^{\ddagger\#}$	L <sup>†</sup>	Spectroscopic Strength <sup>†</sup>	Comments
10772	7/2 <sup>-</sup>	61 keV	4	24.6	E(level): From (2010RoZR,2010RoZZ,2011Bo17,2012Wh01 (the experimental resolution is 82 keV)); see also (1971Go24: unresolved levels), (1989As01: the centroid of the peak is 10750 keV 18 with $\Gamma=130$ keV after removal of the 70 keV experimental resolution, more likely, the doublet $E_x=10.753$ and 10.818 MeV are populated) and (1998Le17: $E_x=10768$ keV with energy separation for fitting fixed at 70 keV). $\Gamma$ : $\Gamma_{\alpha_0}/\Gamma<(0.05)$ , $\Gamma_{n0}/\Gamma=0.91$ 11, $\Gamma_{n1}/\Gamma\leq 0.13$ (2012Wh01). See also $\Gamma=55$ keV (1998Le17: $\Gamma_{\text{exp}}$ was fixed at $\Gamma_{\text{exp}}=(\Gamma^2+50^2)^{1/2}$ , using $\Gamma$ values from (1991Aj01)). L: From (2011Bo17); L=2 in (1989As01).
10816	(5/2 <sup>-</sup> )	35 keV	4		E(level): From (2010RoZR,2010RoZZ,2011Bo17,2012Wh01 (the experimental resolution is 82 keV)); see also (1989As01: unresolved doublet) and (1998Le17: $E_x=10838$ keV with energy separation for fitting fixed at 70 keV). $\Gamma$ : $\Gamma_{\alpha_0}/\Gamma<(0.02)$ , $\Gamma_{n0}/\Gamma=0.51$ 4, $\Gamma_{n1}/\Gamma=0.51$ 4 (2012Wh01). See also $\Gamma=25$ keV (1998Le17: $\Gamma_{\text{exp}}$ was fixed at $\Gamma_{\text{exp}}=(\Gamma^2+50^2)^{1/2}$ , using $\Gamma$ values from (1991Aj01)). L: From (2011Bo17).
10969	1/2 <sup>+</sup>	33 keV 5			E(level): From (1998Le17); see also $E_x=11010$ keV (2012Wh01). $\Gamma$ : From (1998Le17); see also $\Gamma=85$ keV, $\Gamma_{\alpha_0}/\Gamma<(0.08)$ , $\Gamma_{n0}/\Gamma=0.68$ 3, $\Gamma_{n1}/\Gamma=0.42$ 2 (2012Wh01).
11080	1/2 <sup>-</sup>	<15 keV			E(level): From (2010RoZZ,2011Bo17); see also $E_x=11112$ keV (1998Le17). $\Gamma$ : From (1998Le17).
11711		38 keV 10			E(level): From (1998Le17); see also $E_x=11700$ keV); the authors compared with (1991Aj01) Adopted Levels and suggested $E_x=11.74$ MeV 1 and $\Gamma=40$ keV 10.
11841	7/2 <sup>+</sup>	46 keV 9			$\Gamma$ : Average of 33 keV 10 and 43 keV 10 (1998Le17). E(level): From (1998Le17,2012Wh01)(the experimental resolution is 82 keV); see also (1989As01: unresolved doublet whose peaks have widths that are consistent with proposed 3/2 <sup>-</sup> strength at 11.85 MeV and a 5/2 <sup>-</sup> state at 12.13 MeV (1986Aj01)) and (1998Le17). $\Gamma$ : From (1998Le17); see also 238 keV ((2012Wh01)). $\Gamma_{\alpha_0}/\Gamma<(0.10)$ , $\Gamma_{n0}/\Gamma=0.49$ 8, $\Gamma_{n1}/\Gamma=0.71$ 11 (2012Wh01).
11959		240 keV 30			E(level): From (1998Le17); see also $E_x=11969$ keV); the authors compared with (1991Aj01) Adopted Levels and suggested $E_x=11.96$ MeV 3. See also (1971Go24). $\Gamma$ : From (1998Le17); see also $\Gamma=235$ keV 40.
12123	5/2 <sup>-</sup>	219 keV			E(level): From (2012Wh01: the experimental resolution is 82 keV); see also (1989As01: unresolved doublet). $\Gamma$ : $\Gamma_{\alpha_0}/\Gamma<(0.17)$ , $\Gamma_{n0}/\Gamma=0.49$ 8, $\Gamma_{n1}/\Gamma=0.53$ 8 (2012Wh01).
12300	1/2 <sup>-</sup>		2		E(level): From (2011Bo17,2010RoZR). J <sup>π</sup> : From (2010KaZZ: $^{13}\text{C}(\alpha,\alpha')$ ; $E_x=12.5$ MeV); see discussions in (2010RoZR,2011Bo17). L: From (2011Bo17).

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

**$^9\text{Be}(^6\text{Li},\text{d}),(^7\text{Li},\text{t})$  (continued)** **$^{13}\text{C}$  Levels (continued)**

E(level) <sup>†</sup>	$J^\pi$ <sup>†</sup>	$\Gamma$ <sup>#</sup>	Comments
12830		1 MeV	E(level), $\Gamma$ : From (1998Le17); the authors compared with (1991Aj01) Adopted Levels and suggested $E_x=12.8$ MeV 2 and $\Gamma=1.0$ MeV 2.
13270		315 keV 30	E(level): From (1998Le17): see also $E_x=13360$ keV; the authors compared with (1991Aj01) Adopted Levels and suggested $E_x=13.28$ MeV 3 and $\Gamma=310$ keV 30. See also $E_x=13300$ keV (1971Go24).
13410	(9/2 <sup>-</sup> )	33 keV 10	$\Gamma$ : From (1998Le17): see also $\Gamma=380$ keV 40.
13570?		$\approx$ 75 keV	E(level): From (1998Le17): see also $E_x=13530$ keV; see also $E_x=13500$ keV (2011Bo17).
13779?	(5/2,3/2) <sup>+</sup>	77 keV 30	$\Gamma$ : Average of (65) keV and (85) keV (1998Le17). E(level): From (1971Go24, 2012Wh01)(the experimental resolution is 82 keV); see also $E_x=13730$ keV (1998Le17).
13920		100 keV 25	$\Gamma$ : From (1998Le17); see also 117 keV (2012Wh01). $\Gamma_{n0}/\Gamma=0.54$ 2, $\Gamma_{n0}/\Gamma<(0.10)$ , $\Gamma_{n1}/\Gamma=0.45$ 2 (2012Wh01).
14120		160 keV 20	E(level): From (1998Le17); the authors compared with (1991Aj01) Adopted Levels and suggested recommended values of $E_x=13.92$ MeV 3 and $\Gamma=100$ keV 30.
14360		115 keV 35	$\Gamma$ : From (1998Le17).
14582?	(7/2 <sup>+</sup> ,9/2 <sup>+</sup> )	130 keV	E(level): From (1971Go24,2011Bo17,2012Wh01)(the experimental resolution is 82 keV). $\Gamma$ : $\Gamma_{n0}/\Gamma=0.94$ 3, $\Gamma_{n0}/\Gamma<(0.12)$ , $\Gamma_{n1}/\Gamma=0.13$ 2 (2012Wh01).
15100			E(level): From (2011Bo17).
15200 <sup>@</sup>			E(level): From (1971Go24).
16700 <sup>@</sup>			E(level): From (1971Go24).
18500 <sup>@</sup>			E(level): From (1971Go24).

<sup>†</sup> Values mainly from DWBA analysis of spectroscopic strengths in (1989As01)  $^9\text{Be}(^6\text{Li},\text{d})$ .

<sup>‡</sup> From (2012Wh01) except where noted; the experimental resolution of 82 keV has been removed from the quoted  $\Gamma_{\text{total}}$  value.

<sup>#</sup> Widths shown in Fig. 6 in (1998Le17) are  $\Gamma_{\text{exp}}$ , while the width listed here are  $\Gamma=(\Gamma_{\text{exp}}^2-(50 \text{ keV})^2)^{1/2}$ , taking the experimental resolution as 50 keV from state 4 (1998Le17).

<sup>@</sup> Some states are not associated with Adopted Levels because inadequate details for association are given in the literature.