

Adopted Levels

Type	Author	History	Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	J. H. Kelley, C. G. Sheu and J. E. Purcell		NDS 198,1 (2024)	1-Aug-2024

$Q(\beta^-)=17037~10$ ;  $S(n)=-450~10$ ;  $S(p)=22700~30$ ;  $Q(\alpha)=-9770~50$

$S_{2n}=2720~\text{keV}~10$ .

$S(n)$ : Here we use  $S_n=-0.45~\text{MeV}~10$  as described below, and we compute relevant values using mass values from (2021Wa16). In AME (2021Wa16) the value  $S_n=-0.51~\text{MeV}~10$ , based only on (2010Ko17), is used.

See shell model analyses in: 1983Va31, 1984Va06, 1985Po10, 1987Sa15, 1992Go17, 1996Wa35, 2007Gu03.

See Mean Field model analyses in: 1996Su24, 1997Ba23, 1997Ba54, 1997Re07, 2005Ar12, 2006Sh20, 2020Al27.

See Cluster Model and AMD analyses in: 1981Se06, 1994De32, 1995De31, 2005Ne03, 2005Th06, 2012Ka10, 2013Ma53, 2021Co07.

See other analyses in: 1995Ta32, 1999Ka67, 2000Bh07, 2004De60, 2004La24, 2004Ne16, 2004Sa50, 2006Ko02, 2007Bl02.

See discussion on low-lying  $^{13}\text{Be}$  levels and possible level inversion in: 1985Po10, 1995De31, 1997Re07, 1999La20, 2004Ta03, 2008Ha16, 2009BIZZ, 2010Bl12, 2012Bo15, 2012Fo22, 2013Fo03, 2014Fo21, 2014Ho08, 2015Fo06, 2018Fo07, 2019Fo02.

In the present analysis the data are somewhat discrepant. The experimental approaches often provide incomplete measurements of the reaction observables and result in what appear as incompatible observations. The  $^{14}\text{C}(^{11}\text{B},^{12}\text{N})$  and  $^{14}\text{C}(\pi^-, p)$  results have been used to guide an initial level scheme since these results are insensitive to ambiguities present in level energy determination based on  $n+^{12}\text{Be}$  momentum reconstruction. The modern results where  $n+^{12}\text{Be}$  kinematics are measured provide meritorious information on levels energies and decay modes and have been heavily consulted.

In surveying the results a trend appears where two groups around  $E_{\text{rel}}(n+^{12}\text{Be}) \approx 0.5-0.7~\text{MeV}$  and  $2.3-2.5~\text{MeV}$  can reasonably fit the energy spectrum. However in studies utilizing  $\gamma+n+^{12}\text{Be}$  coincidence events, where  $^{12}\text{Be}$  excited states are considered, evidence is found for a larger number of neutron groups corresponding to levels at  $E_{\text{res}}(n+^{12}\text{Be}_{\text{g.s.}}) \approx 0.4, 0.8, 2.0$  and  $3.0~\text{MeV}$ .

The importance of including  $\gamma$  emission in analyzing the  $n+^{12}\text{Be}$  spectra is highlighted below with comments on two measurements.

First, in (2001Th01) the  $^9\text{Be}(^{18}\text{O}, ^{13}\text{Be} \rightarrow ^{12}\text{Be}+n)$  reaction was measured; evidence for two components, at  $E_{\text{res}} \approx 0$  and  $2.0~\text{MeV}$ , was found in the relative energy spectrum; however subsequent understanding supports the interpretation that these groups are connected to a  $E_{\text{res}}(n+^{12}\text{Be}) \approx 2~\text{MeV}$  level that decays to  $^{12}\text{Be}_{\text{g.s.}}$  with  $E_n \approx 2~\text{MeV}$  and also decays to the high energy tail of  $^{12}\text{Be}^*(2.1~\text{MeV})$ . Second, in the initial analysis of the  $^1\text{H}(^{14}\text{Be}, ^{13}\text{Be} \rightarrow ^{12}\text{Be}+n)$  resonance spectrum of (2010Ko17), resonances at  $E_{\text{res}}=0.51~\text{MeV}~1$  with  $\Gamma=0.45~\text{MeV}~3$  and  $E_{\text{res}}=2.39~\text{MeV}~5$  with  $\Gamma=2.4~\text{MeV}~2$  were found, but the discussion indicated the  $E_{\text{res}}=2.39~\text{MeV}$  region could also be reproduced with groups at  $E_{\text{res}}=2.0~\text{MeV}$  and  $E_{\text{res}}=2.9~\text{MeV}$  as suggested by (1992Os04, 1998Be28). A reanalysis of (2010Ko17) in (2013Ak02) found evidence for 5 levels that decay to  $^{12}\text{Be}$  ground and excited states.

Lastly, the virtual play-by-play analysis of experimental results given in the discussion of Fortune's (2012-2019) articles provides insight into the evolution of our understanding of this nucleus. In these articles, extensive discussion on experimental work is given along-side a simple potential model analysis. Early on, the statement is made that, "The (lowest)  $s$  state in  $^{13}\text{Be}$  is unbound, and unbound neutron  $s$  states are notoriously hard to handle." Throughout the series of articles, listed above, the discussion focuses on likely structure configurations, the order of low-lying level spins and reasonable widths, and decay modes that can reasonably explain the data. See related discussion in (2021Co07).

 $^{13}\text{Be}$  LevelsCross Reference (XREF) Flags

A	$^1\text{H}(^{14}\text{Be}, ^{13}\text{Be}):1$	G	$^9\text{Be}(^{18}\text{O}, ^{13}\text{Be})$	M	$^{14}\text{C}(\pi^-, p)$
B	$^1\text{H}(^{14}\text{Be}, ^{13}\text{Be}):2$	H	$^9\text{Be}(^{48}\text{Ca}, X)$	N	$^{14}\text{C}(\pi^-, pd)$
C	$^1\text{H}(^{14}\text{B}, ^{13}\text{Be})$	I	$\text{C}(^{14}\text{Be}, ^{13}\text{Be})$	O	$^{14}\text{C}(^{7}\text{Li}, ^{8}\text{B})$
D	$^2\text{H}(^{12}\text{Be}, p)$	J	$\text{C}(^{14}\text{B}, ^{13}\text{Be})$	P	$^{14}\text{C}(^{11}\text{B}, ^{12}\text{N})$
E	$^2\text{H}(^{12}\text{Be}, ^{13}\text{Be})$	K	$^{13}\text{C}(\pi^-, \pi^+)$	Q	$\text{U}(p, X), ^{232}\text{Th}(^{15}\text{N}, X)$
F	$^9\text{Be}(^{13}\text{B}, ^{13}\text{Be})$	L	$^{13}\text{C}(^{14}\text{C}, ^{14}\text{O})$		

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

**Adopted Levels (continued)** **$^{13}\text{Be}$  Levels (continued)**

E(level) <sup>#</sup>	J <sup>π</sup>	Γ	E' (MeV) <sup>†</sup>	XREF					Comments
				AB	e	J	Q		
0	1/2 <sup>+</sup>	0.43 20	0.45 1					%n=100	From $E_{\text{res}}=0.46$ MeV 1 (see fit to (2010Ko17) $^1\text{H}(^{14}\text{Be}, ^{13}\text{Be})$ given in (2013Ak02)), 0.44 MeV 1 (2013Ak02) $^1\text{H}(^{14}\text{Be}, ^{13}\text{Be})$ and 0.40 MeV 3 (2014Ra07) $\text{C}(^{14}\text{B}, ^{13}\text{Be})$ . Also see $E_{\text{res}}=0.51$ MeV 1 initially reported in (2010Ko17).
0.39×10 <sup>3</sup>	3	1/2 <sup>+</sup>	1.78 MeV 16	0.84 3	A C eF	J M P		From $\Gamma=0.11$ MeV 2 (see fit to (2010Ko17) given in (2013Ak02)), 0.39 MeV 5 (2013Ak02) and 0.80 MeV 20 (2014Ra07).	
1.61×10 <sup>3</sup>	3	5/2 <sup>+</sup>	0.40 MeV +4–5	2.06 3	ABCDEFGHIJKLM OP			%n=100	$J^{\pi}=1/2^+$ : From (2010Ko17) whose analysis of the $n+^{12}\text{Be}$ momentum distribution and the resonance width indicate an inversion of the $1s_{1/2}$ and $0p_{1/2}$ shells. The inversion is further supported from analysis of the low-lying $^{14}\text{Be}$ level structures (1999La20). (2007Bi02, 2018Ri05, 2019Fo02) discuss the expectation for a $J^{\pi}=1/2^+$ state to be populated in neutron stripping from $^{14}\text{Be}$ and to be absent in proton stripping from $^{14}\text{B}$ ; hence $J^{\pi}=1/2^+$ is recommended for this resonance.
								XREF: A(0.36E3)F(0.28E3)M(0.20E3).	
								From $E_{\text{res}}=0.81$ MeV 6 (analysis of (2004Le29) $\text{C}(^{14}\text{B}, ^{13}\text{Be})$ given in (2013Ak02)), 0.86 MeV 4 (2018Ri05) $^1\text{H}(^{14}\text{B}, ^{13}\text{Be})$ , 0.85 MeV 15 (2014Ra07) $\text{C}(^{14}\text{B}, ^{13}\text{Be})$ and 0.80 MeV 9 (1998Be28) $^{14}\text{C}(^{11}\text{B}, ^{12}\text{N})$ .	
								Γ: From $\Gamma=2.1$ MeV 3 (see fit to (2010Ko17) given in (2013Ak02)) and $\Gamma=1.70$ MeV 15 (2018Ri05). See other value $\Gamma=0.30$ MeV 30 in (2014Ra07).	
								$J^{\pi}$ : From (2015Ma62) $^9\text{Be}(^{13}\text{B}, ^{13}\text{Be})$ where the $^{12}\text{Be}+n$ resonance is <i>s</i> -wave in character, see additional discussion in (2013Ak02, 2019Fo02).	
								E(level): Decays via $^{12}\text{Be}_{\text{g.s.}}+n$ .	
								%n=100	
								XREF: A(1.50E3)B(1.9E3)F(2.11E3)J(1.90E3)K(2.0E3)M(1.42E3).	
								From $E_{\text{res}}=2.07$ MeV 3 (2010Ko17 in 2013Ak02) $^1\text{H}(^{14}\text{Be}, ^{13}\text{Be})$ , 1.95 MeV 5 (2013Ak02) $^1\text{H}(^{14}\text{Be}, ^{13}\text{Be})$ , 2.11 MeV 5 (2018Ri05) $^1\text{H}(^{14}\text{B}, ^{13}\text{Be})$ , 2.01 MeV 5 (1992Os04) $^{13}\text{C}(^{14}\text{C}, ^{14}\text{O})$ , 1.87 MeV 10 (1998Go30) $^{14}\text{C}(\pi^-, p)$ , 1.9 MeV 5 (1983Al20) $^{14}\text{C}(^{7}\text{Li}, ^{8}\text{B})$ , 2.02 MeV 6 (1998Be28) $^{14}\text{C}(^{11}\text{B}, ^{12}\text{N})$ and 2.22 MeV +4–5 (2023Ko21) $^2\text{H}(^{12}\text{Be}, ^{13}\text{Be})$ .	
								Γ: From (2023Ko21). See also 0.3 MeV 1 in (1998Go30), 0.3 MeV 2 in (1992Os04), ≈0.5 MeV in (2013Ak02), ≈0.4 MeV in (2018Ri05) and ≈0.3 MeV in (2007Si24).	

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

**Adopted Levels (continued)** **$^{13}\text{Be}$  Levels (continued)**

E(level) <sup>#</sup>	J <sup>π</sup>	Γ	E' (MeV) <sup>†</sup>	XREF	Comments
2.53×10 <sup>3</sup> 4    (5/2 <sup>+</sup> )	≈0.4 MeV	2.98 4	A C    I J    M P		<p>J<sup>π</sup>: From (2013Ak02) <math>^1\text{H}(^{14}\text{Be}, ^{13}\text{Be})</math> where <math>d</math>-wave decay is reported in <math>^{12}\text{Be}_{\text{g.s.}} + \text{n}</math> and <math>s</math>-wave character is reported in <math>^{12}\text{Be}(2^+) + \text{n}</math> decay. In (1998Be28) the <math>^{12}\text{C}(^{11}\text{B}, ^{12}\text{N})</math> and <math>^{14}\text{C}(^{11}\text{B}, ^{12}\text{N})</math> spectra are shown to be similar, which supports <math>5/2^+</math> for this state.</p> <p>E(level): Decays via <math>^{12}\text{Be}_{\text{g.s.}} + \text{n}</math> [<math>E_{\text{res}} = 2.11</math>] and <math>^{12}\text{Be}^*(2.109) + \text{n}</math> [<math>E_{\text{res}} &lt; 0.1</math> MeV] (2013Ak02, 2018Ri05, 2019Co12).</p> <p>%n=100</p> <p>XREF: C(2.47E3)J(1.90E3).</p>
≈3.55×10 <sup>3</sup> (3/2 <sup>+</sup> )	≈0.4 MeV	4.0	C		<p>E(level): From <math>E_{\text{res}} = 2.98</math> MeV 4 (2010Ko17 in 2013Ak02) <math>^1\text{H}(^{14}\text{Be}, ^{13}\text{Be})</math>, 3.02 MeV 9 (2013Ak02) <math>^1\text{H}(^{14}\text{Be}, ^{13}\text{Be})</math>, 2.90 MeV 13 (1998Be28) <math>^{14}\text{C}(^{11}\text{B}, ^{12}\text{N})</math>.</p> <p>Γ: From ≈0.5 MeV (2010Ko17, 2013Ak02), ≈0.4 MeV (2007Si24) and ≤0.15 MeV (1998Go30).</p> <p>J<sup>π</sup>: From (2018Ri05) <math>^1\text{H}(^{14}\text{B}, ^{13}\text{Be})</math>. The state's population in proton knockout, where negative parity states are suppressed, supports a positive parity assignment. The state is thought to decay most strongly to <math>^{12}\text{Be}(0^+_2)</math>, but this branch is difficult to observe; see discussion in (2019Fo02).</p> <p>E(level): Decays via <math>^{12}\text{Be}_{\text{g.s.}} + \text{n}</math> (2013Ak02, 2018Ri05); an unobserved branch via <math>^{12}\text{Be}(0^+_2) + \text{n}</math> is expected.</p> <p>%n=100</p> <p>E(level), J<sup>π</sup>, Γ: From (2018Ri05) <math>^1\text{H}(^{14}\text{B}, ^{13}\text{Be})</math>.</p> <p>J<sup>π</sup>: Populated in proton knockout from <math>^{14}\text{B}</math> indicating <math>\pi=+</math>; see further discussion in (2019Fo02) indicating a <math>J^\pi=3/2^+</math> state is expected in this vicinity with similar decay properties.</p> <p>E(level): Decays via <math>^{12}\text{Be}_{\text{g.s.}} + \text{n}</math> [<math>E_{\text{res}} = 4.0</math>] and <math>^{12}\text{Be}^*(2109) + \text{n}</math> [<math>E_{\text{res}} \approx 2.1</math> MeV].</p> <p>%n=100</p> <p>XREF: A(4.75E3)M(4.51E3)P(4.49E3).</p>
4.63×10 <sup>3</sup> 5    (3/2 <sup>-</sup> , 5/2 <sup>+</sup> )	1.4 MeV 2	5.08 5	A B D    L M P		<p>E(level): From <math>E_{\text{res}} = 5.2</math> MeV 1 (2010Ko17 in 2013Ak02) <math>^1\text{H}(^{14}\text{Be}, ^{13}\text{Be})</math>, 5.1 MeV 13 (2019Co12) <math>^1\text{H}(^{14}\text{Be}, ^{13}\text{Be})</math>, 5.13 MeV 7 (1992Os04) <math>^{13}\text{C}(^{14}\text{C}, ^{14}\text{O})</math>, 4.96 MeV 20 (1998Go30) <math>^{14}\text{C}(\pi^-, \text{p})</math> and 4.94 MeV 8 (1998Be28) <math>^{14}\text{C}(^{11}\text{B}, ^{12}\text{N})</math>.</p> <p>Γ: From (2010Ko17 in 2013Ak02). Others</p>

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

**Adopted Levels (continued)** **$^{13}\text{Be}$  Levels (continued)**

E(level) <sup>#</sup>	$\Gamma$	E' (MeV) <sup>†</sup>	XREF	Comments
$5.43 \times 10^3$ 10		5.88 10	B	are 0.4 MeV 2 ( <a href="#">1992Os04</a> ) and $\approx$ 1.7 MeV ( <a href="#">1998Go30</a> ). $J^\pi$ : From ( <a href="#">2013Ak02</a> ) $^1\text{H}(^{14}\text{Be}, ^{13}\text{Be})$ where <i>s</i> -wave neutron emission from a $3/2^-$ state (or <i>p</i> -wave emission from a $5/2^+$ state) to $^{12}\text{Be}(1^-)$ are preferred. E(level): ( <a href="#">2013Ak02</a> ) report the state decays to $^{12}\text{Be}^*(2.71 \text{ MeV})$ while ( <a href="#">2019Co12</a> ) report the state decays to $^{12}\text{Be}^*(2.1 \text{ MeV})$ .
$6.55 \times 10^3$ @ $8.1 \times 10^3$ @ $\approx 30 \times 10^3$ @	2 2 2	7.0 0.9 MeV 9.0 MeV	D L K	%n=100 E(level): From ( <a href="#">1998Be28</a> ) $^{14}\text{C}(^{11}\text{B}, ^{12}\text{N})$ ; see also $E_{\text{res}}=5.7 \text{ MeV}$ 14 in ( <a href="#">2019Co12</a> ) $^1\text{H}(^{14}\text{Be}, ^{13}\text{Be})$ . E(level): Decays to $^{12}\text{Be}^*(2.71 \text{ MeV}) + n$ ( <a href="#">2019Co12</a> ). E(level): From ( <a href="#">1995Ko10</a> , <a href="#">1995Ko27</a> ) $^2\text{H}(^{12}\text{Be}, p)$ . XREF: P(7.5E3). E(level), $\Gamma$ : From $E_{\text{res}}=8.5 \text{ MeV}$ 2 ( <a href="#">1992Os04</a> ) $^{13}\text{C}(^{14}\text{C}, ^{14}\text{O})$ ; see also 7.9 MeV 2 ( <a href="#">1998Be28</a> ) $^{14}\text{C}(^{11}\text{B}, ^{12}\text{N})$ . E(level): From ( <a href="#">1995Ko10</a> , <a href="#">1995Ko27</a> ) $^2\text{H}(^{12}\text{Be}, p)$ . E(level), $\Gamma$ : From ( <a href="#">1992Wa11</a> ) $^{13}\text{C}(\pi^-, \pi^+)$ . E(level): From ( <a href="#">2016Ko22</a> ) $^{14}\text{C}(\pi^-, pd)$ .
$18.7 \times 10^3$ @		10.0	D	

<sup>†</sup> E' is a relative excitation energy scale with E'=0 at the neutron separation energy. We use this scale because most articles report level energies with respect to the n+ $^{12}\text{Be}_{\text{g.s.}}$  center of mass energy.

<sup>#</sup> The ground state is taken as  $E_{\text{c.m.}}(n+^{12}\text{Be}_{\text{g.s.}})=0.45 \text{ MeV}$  1.

<sup>†</sup> Broad states are reported at  $E_{\text{c.m.}}(n+^{12}\text{Be}_{\text{g.s.}}+n)=2.56 \text{ MeV}$  and  $2.35 \text{ MeV}$  in  $^9\text{Be}(^{13}\text{B}, ^{13}\text{Be})$  and  $\text{C}(^{14}\text{B}, ^{13}\text{Be})$ , respectively. The present evaluation assumes these correspond to a combination of unresolved groups at  $E_{\text{c.m.}}(^{12}\text{Be}_{\text{g.s.}}+n)=2.0 \text{ MeV}$  and  $2.98 \text{ MeV}$ .

<sup>@</sup> Decay mode not reported; likely mode is %n=100.