

$^{129}\text{Ce } \varepsilon \text{ decay (3.5 min)}$     **[1997Gi08](#),[2001Xi01](#)**

Type	Author	History	Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	Janos Timar and Zoltan Elekes, Balraj Singh		NDS 121, 143 (2014)	31-May-2014

Parent:  $^{129}\text{Ce}$ : E=0.0;  $J^\pi=(5/2^+)$ ;  $T_{1/2}=3.5$  min 3;  $Q(\varepsilon)=5040$  40;  $\% \varepsilon + \% \beta^+$  decay=100.0

$^{129}\text{Ce-Q}(\varepsilon)$ : From [2012Wa38](#).

$^{129}\text{Ce-J}^\pi, T_{1/2}$ : From  $^{129}\text{Ce}$  Adopted Levels. For  $J^\pi$  assignment of  $(5/2^+)$  rather than  $7/2^+$  as proposed in [1998Io01](#) based on  $9/2^-$  for 107.6-keV isomer, see discussion in  $J^\pi$  comments for the 107.6-keV isomer and ground state in  $^{129}\text{Ce}$  Adopted Levels.

**1997Gi08**:  $^{129}\text{Ce}$  from  $^{94}\text{Mo}(^{40}\text{Ca}, n\gamma)$ , E=225 MeV; He-jet, Ge G, semi for ce. Measured  $E\gamma$ ,  $I\gamma$ ,  $\gamma\gamma$ ,  $\beta\gamma$  coin,  $x\gamma$  coin,  $(ce)\gamma$  coin, half-life. Deduced conversion coefficients, levels,  $J$ ,  $\pi$ .

**2001Xi01** (also [1997Xi01](#)):  $^{129}\text{Ce}$  from  $^{117}\text{Sn}(^{16}\text{O}, n\gamma)$ , E=102 MeV; He-jet, chemical separation, Ge G. Measured  $E\gamma$ ,  $I\gamma$ ,  $\gamma\gamma$ ,  $\beta\gamma$  coin,  $x\gamma$  coin. Deduced levels, log  $ft$  values.

Both studies deduced level feeding intensities. There are disagreements between the two studies.

Others: [1993Al03](#), [1969ArZZ](#), [1963La03](#).

Experimental conversion coefficients are taken from [1997Gi08](#).

 $^{129}\text{La}$  Levels

E(level) @	$J^\pi \dagger$	$T_{1/2} \dagger$	E(level) @	$J^\pi \dagger$
0.0	$(3/2^+)$		587.64 # 14	$(1/2^+ \text{ to } 7/2^+)$
68.18 5	$(5/2^+)$		619.60 13	$(3/2^+ \text{ to } 9/2^+)$
216.29 # 23	$(1/2^+ \text{ to } 9/2^+)$		645.39 15	$(9/2^+)$
239.61 8	$(5/2^+)$		652.5 # 3	$(1/2 \text{ to } 9/2^+)$
248.45 8	$(7/2^+)$		706.46 12	$(5/2^+ \text{ to } 9/2^+)$
270.91 # 13	$(1/2 \text{ to } 7/2^+)$		782.3 # 3	$(5/2^+ \text{ to } 9/2^+)$
398.47 9	$(3/2^+, 5/2^+, 7/2^+)$		796.21 # 12	$(3/2^+ \text{ to } 7/2^+)$
440.26 13	$(7/2^+)$		832.31 # 15	$(3/2^+ \text{ to } 9/2^+)$
446.34 12	$(9/2^+)$		928.87 # 19	$(7/2^+ \text{ to } 11/2^+)$
464.02 12	$(5/2^+, 7/2^+)$		934.92 # 18	$(1/2 \text{ to } 9/2^+)$
472.21 # 14	$(1/2^+ \text{ to } 7/2^+)$		966.34 # 14	$(1/2 \text{ to } 7/2^+)$
556.00 # 20	$(1/2 \text{ to } 7/2^+)$		1015.26 # 15	$(1/2 \text{ to } 7/2^+)$

$\dagger$  From Adopted Levels.

# Reported only in [1997Gi08](#).

# Reported only in [2001Xi01](#).

@ From least-squares fit to  $E\gamma$  data.

 $\varepsilon, \beta^+$  radiations

E(decay)	E(level)	$I\beta^+ @$	$I\varepsilon @$	$\log ft \ddagger$	$I(\varepsilon + \beta^+) \dagger @$	Comments
$(4.02 \times 10^3$ 4)	1015.26	0.70 14	0.30 6	6.8	1.0 2	av $E\beta=1360$ 19; $\varepsilon K=0.252$ 8; $\varepsilon L=0.0344$ 10; $\varepsilon M+=0.0097$ 3
$(4.07 \times 10^3$ 4)	966.34	0.6 1	0.2 1	6.9	0.8 2	av $E\beta=1383$ 19; $\varepsilon K=0.244$ 7; $\varepsilon L=0.0333$ 10; $\varepsilon M+=0.0093$ 3
$(4.11 \times 10^3$ 4)	934.92	0.86 22	0.34 8	6.7	1.2 3	av $E\beta=1398$ 19; $\varepsilon K=0.238$ 7; $\varepsilon L=0.0326$ 10; $\varepsilon M+=0.0091$ 3
$(4.11 \times 10^3$ 4)	928.87	0.5 1	0.2 1	7.0	0.7 2	av $E\beta=1401$ 19; $\varepsilon K=0.237$ 7; $\varepsilon L=0.0324$ 10; $\varepsilon M+=0.0091$ 3
$(4.21 \times 10^3$ 4)	832.31	0.89 15	0.31 5	6.8	1.2 2	av $E\beta=1445$ 19; $\varepsilon K=0.222$ 7; $\varepsilon L=0.0303$ 9; $\varepsilon M+=0.00850$ 24
$(4.24 \times 10^3$ 4)	796.21	1.3 1	0.46 5	6.6	1.8 2	av $E\beta=1462$ 19; $\varepsilon K=0.216$ 6; $\varepsilon L=0.0295$ 9; $\varepsilon M+=0.00829$ 24

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

---

 **$^{129}\text{Ce } \varepsilon$  decay (3.5 min)    1997Gi08, 2001Xi01 (continued)**


---

 $\varepsilon, \beta^+$  radiations (continued)

E(decay)	E(level)	I $\beta^+$ @	I $\varepsilon$ @	Log $f\tau^\ddagger$	I( $\varepsilon + \beta^+$ ) $^\dagger$ @	Comments
(4.26×10 <sup>3</sup> 4)	782.3	2.4 4	0.81 15	6.4	3.2 6	av E $\beta$ =1469 19; $\varepsilon$ K=0.214 6; $\varepsilon$ L=0.0293 9; $\varepsilon$ M+=0.00821 24
(4.33×10 <sup>3</sup> 4)	706.46	3.3 5	1.0 1	6.3	4.3 6	av E $\beta$ =1504 19; $\varepsilon$ K=0.203 6; $\varepsilon$ L=0.0278 8; $\varepsilon$ M+=0.00779 22
(4.39×10 <sup>3</sup> 4)	652.5	1.8 4	0.53 12	6.6	2.3 5	av E $\beta$ =1529 19; $\varepsilon$ K=0.196 6; $\varepsilon$ L=0.0268 8; $\varepsilon$ M+=0.00751 21
(4.39×10 <sup>3</sup> & 4)	645.39	1.5 2	0.46 7	6.7#	2.0 3	av E $\beta$ =1533 19; $\varepsilon$ K=0.195 6; $\varepsilon$ L=0.0266 8; $\varepsilon$ M+=0.00747 21
(4.42×10 <sup>3</sup> 4)	619.60	4.0 7	1.1 2	6.3	5.1 9	av E $\beta$ =1545 19; $\varepsilon$ K=0.192 6; $\varepsilon$ L=0.0262 8; $\varepsilon$ M+=0.00734 21
(4.45×10 <sup>3</sup> 4)	587.64	1.7 2	0.48 7	6.6	2.2 3	av E $\beta$ =1560 19; $\varepsilon$ K=0.188 6; $\varepsilon$ L=0.0256 7; $\varepsilon$ M+=0.00718 20
(4.48×10 <sup>3</sup> & 4)	556.00?	0.7 1	0.2 1	7.0	0.9 1	av E $\beta$ =1574 19; $\varepsilon$ K=0.184 5; $\varepsilon$ L=0.0251 7; $\varepsilon$ M+=0.00703 20
(4.57×10 <sup>3</sup> 4)	472.21	1.2 2	0.31 4	6.9	1.5 2	av E $\beta$ =1614 19; $\varepsilon$ K=0.174 5; $\varepsilon$ L=0.0237 7; $\varepsilon$ M+=0.00665 18
(4.58×10 <sup>3</sup> 4)	464.02	2.0 4	0.51 10	6.6	2.5 5	av E $\beta$ =1617 19; $\varepsilon$ K=0.173 5; $\varepsilon$ L=0.0236 7; $\varepsilon$ M+=0.00661 18
(4.59×10 <sup>3</sup> & 4)	446.34	1.3 3	0.32 8	6.8#	1.6 4	av E $\beta$ =1626 19; $\varepsilon$ K=0.171 5; $\varepsilon$ L=0.0233 7; $\varepsilon$ M+=0.00653 18
(4.60×10 <sup>3</sup> 4)	440.26	3.7 7	0.92 18	6.4	4.6 9	av E $\beta$ =1629 19; $\varepsilon$ K=0.170 5; $\varepsilon$ L=0.0232 7; $\varepsilon$ M+=0.00650 18
(4.64×10 <sup>3</sup> 4)	398.47	1.0 5	0.25 12	7.0	1.3 6	av E $\beta$ =1648 19; $\varepsilon$ K=0.165 5; $\varepsilon$ L=0.0226 6; $\varepsilon$ M+=0.00633 17
(4.77×10 <sup>3</sup> 4)	270.91	0.7 2	0.2 1	7.2	0.9 2	av E $\beta$ =1708 19; $\varepsilon$ K=0.152 4; $\varepsilon$ L=0.0207 6; $\varepsilon$ M+=0.00582 16
(4.79×10 <sup>3</sup> 4)	248.45	3.4 5	0.72 11	6.5	4.1 6	av E $\beta$ =1719 19; $\varepsilon$ K=0.150 4; $\varepsilon$ L=0.0204 6; $\varepsilon$ M+=0.00573 16
(4.80×10 <sup>3</sup> 4)	239.61	11 2	2.3 4	6.0	13 2	av E $\beta$ =1723 19; $\varepsilon$ K=0.149 4; $\varepsilon$ L=0.0203 6; $\varepsilon$ M+=0.00570 15
(4.82×10 <sup>3</sup> & 4)	216.29	<0.2	<0.05	>7.7	<0.3	av E $\beta$ =1734 19; $\varepsilon$ K=0.147 4; $\varepsilon$ L=0.0200 6; $\varepsilon$ M+=0.00562 15
(4.97×10 <sup>3</sup> 4)	68.18	15 3	2.8 5	6.0	18 3	av E $\beta$ =1803 19; $\varepsilon$ K=0.134 4; $\varepsilon$ L=0.0182 5; $\varepsilon$ M+=0.00511 14
(5.04×10 <sup>3</sup> 4)	0.0	22 6	3.9 11	5.84 13	26 7	av E $\beta$ =1836 19; $\varepsilon$ K=0.128 4; $\varepsilon$ L=0.0175 5; $\varepsilon$ M+=0.00489 13

I( $\varepsilon + \beta^+$ ): estimated by 2001Xi01 from growth-decay curve for 278.6y from  $^{129}\text{La}$  decay.

<sup>†</sup> Values treated by the evaluators as approximate since there are several disagreements between the data from 1997Gi08 and 2001Xi01. The  $\beta$  feeding to ground-state in 2001Xi01 seems to be only an estimated value.

<sup>‡</sup> Values are treated as only approximate and not used for  $J^\pi$  assignments.

<sup>#</sup> Value of ≈6.8 is too low to be realistic for  $5/2^+$  to  $9/2^+$   $\beta$  transition.

<sup>@</sup> Absolute intensity per 100 decays.

<sup>&</sup> Existence of this branch is questionable.

<sup>129</sup>Ce  $\varepsilon$  decay (3.5 min) 1997Gi08,2001Xi01 (continued)

$\gamma(^{129}\text{La})$								
$E_\gamma^{\dagger}$	$I_\gamma^{\ddagger a}$	$E_i(\text{level})$	$J_i^\pi$	$E_f$	$J_f^\pi$	Mult.	$\alpha^b$	Comments
68.20 6	30.7	68.18	(5/2 <sup>+</sup> )	0.0	(3/2 <sup>+</sup> )	M1	3.25	$\alpha(L)\text{exp}=0.36$ 3 $\alpha(K)=2.78$ 4; $\alpha(L)=0.378$ 6; $\alpha(M)=0.0786$ 12 $\alpha(N)=0.01728$ 25; $\alpha(O)=0.00281$ 4; $\alpha(P)=0.000217$ 3 $I_\gamma$ : calculated from $I(\gamma+ce)=100$ (2001Xi01) using $\alpha=3.25$ from BrIcc code.
127.6@ 3	0.3 <i>I</i>	398.47	(3/2 <sup>+</sup> ,5/2 <sup>+</sup> ,7/2 <sup>+</sup> )	270.91	(1/2 to 7/2 <sup>+</sup> )	[D,E2]	0.48 36	
148.2@ 3	1.3 <i>I</i>	216.29	(1/2 <sup>+</sup> to 9/2 <sup>+</sup> )	68.18	(5/2 <sup>+</sup> )	[D,E2]	0.29 21	
158.9 <i>I</i>	2.2 <i>I</i>	398.47	(3/2 <sup>+</sup> ,5/2 <sup>+</sup> ,7/2 <sup>+</sup> )	239.61	(5/2 <sup>+</sup> )	M1,E2	0.34 5	$\alpha(K)=0.269$ 18; $\alpha(L)=0.058$ 25; $\alpha(M)=0.013$ 6 $\alpha(N)=0.0027$ 12; $\alpha(O)=0.00041$ 16; $\alpha(P)=1.83\times 10^{-5}$ 13 $\alpha(K)\text{exp}=0.24$ 5; $K/L=4.5$ 6 $\alpha(K)=0.203$ 3; $\alpha(L)=0.0273$ 4; $\alpha(M)=0.00567$ 8 $\alpha(N)=0.001247$ 18; $\alpha(O)=0.000203$ 3; $\alpha(P)=1.582\times 10^{-5}$ 23 $\alpha(K)\text{exp}=0.176$ 9; $K/L=6.5$ 9
171.5 <i>I</i>	30.3 2	239.61	(5/2 <sup>+</sup> )	68.18	(5/2 <sup>+</sup> )	M1	0.238	
179.1# 4	2.1& 10	619.60	(3/2 <sup>+</sup> to 9/2 <sup>+</sup> )	440.26	(7/2 <sup>+</sup> )	[D,E2]	0.16 11	
180.4 <i>I</i>	13.9 2	248.45	(7/2 <sup>+</sup> )	68.18	(5/2 <sup>+</sup> )	M1	0.207	$\alpha(K)=0.1771$ 25; $\alpha(L)=0.0237$ 4; $\alpha(M)=0.00493$ 7 $\alpha(N)=0.001084$ 16; $\alpha(O)=0.0001764$ 25; $\alpha(P)=1.376\times 10^{-5}$ 20 $\alpha(K)\text{exp}=0.147$ 11; $K/L=7.1$ 12
192.0 3	0.2 <i>I</i>	440.26	(7/2 <sup>+</sup> )	248.45	(7/2 <sup>+</sup> )	[M1,E2]	0.189 16	
197.9 2	2.6 <i>I</i>	446.34	(9/2 <sup>+</sup> )	248.45	(7/2 <sup>+</sup> )	M1,E2	0.173 12	$\alpha(K)=0.139$ 3; $\alpha(L)=0.026$ 8; $\alpha(M)=0.0056$ 18 $\alpha(N)=0.0012$ 4; $\alpha(O)=0.00019$ 5; $\alpha(P)=9.7\times 10^{-6}$ 10 $\alpha(K)\text{exp}=0.15$ 4; $K/L>5$
201.0# 5	0.76& 15	440.26	(7/2 <sup>+</sup> )	239.61	(5/2 <sup>+</sup> )	[M1,E2]	0.165 11	
215.6 2	1.5 4	464.02	(5/2 <sup>+</sup> ,7/2 <sup>+</sup> )	248.45	(7/2 <sup>+</sup> )	M1,E2	0.133 6	$\alpha(K)=0.1082$ 18; $\alpha(L)=0.019$ 5; $\alpha(M)=0.0041$ 12 $\alpha(N)=0.00089$ 23; $\alpha(O)=0.00014$ 3; $\alpha(P)=7.6\times 10^{-6}$ 9 $\alpha(K)\text{exp}=0.11$ 2; $K/L>4$
221.5@ 3	1.5 4	619.60	(3/2 <sup>+</sup> to 9/2 <sup>+</sup> )	398.47	(3/2 <sup>+</sup> ,5/2 <sup>+</sup> ,7/2 <sup>+</sup> )	[D,E2]	0.08 5	
239.5 2	2.1 <i>I</i>	239.61	(5/2 <sup>+</sup> )	0.0	(3/2 <sup>+</sup> )	[M1,E2]	0.097 2	
242.5# 5	1.2& 3	706.46	(5/2 <sup>+</sup> to 9/2 <sup>+</sup> )	464.02	(5/2 <sup>+</sup> ,7/2 <sup>+</sup> )	[D,E2]	0.06 4	$\alpha(K)=0.0683$ 10; $\alpha(L)=0.01415$ 21; $\alpha(M)=0.00303$ 5 $\alpha(N)=0.000653$ 10; $\alpha(O)=9.88\times 10^{-5}$ 15; $\alpha(P)=4.42\times 10^{-6}$ 7 $\alpha(K)\text{exp}=0.09$ 3; $K/L\approx 4$
248.5 2	2.5 <i>I</i>	248.45	(7/2 <sup>+</sup> )	0.0	(3/2 <sup>+</sup> )	(E2)	0.0862	
254.0# 5	2.4& 6	652.5	(1/2 to 9/2 <sup>+</sup> )	398.47	(3/2 <sup>+</sup> ,5/2 <sup>+</sup> ,7/2 <sup>+</sup> )	[D,E2]	0.05 3	
256.0@ 3	1.3 2	472.21	(1/2 <sup>+</sup> to 7/2 <sup>+</sup> )	216.29	(1/2 <sup>+</sup> to 9/2 <sup>+</sup> )	[D,E2]	0.05 3	
260.0@ 2	0.3 <i>I</i>	706.46	(5/2 <sup>+</sup> to 9/2 <sup>+</sup> )	446.34	(9/2 <sup>+</sup> )	[D,E2]	0.05 3	
271.0@ 2	4.3 <i>I</i>	270.91	(1/2 to 7/2 <sup>+</sup> )	0.0	(3/2 <sup>+</sup> )	[D,E2]	0.043 27	
308.1 3	1.6 5	706.46	(5/2 <sup>+</sup> to 9/2 <sup>+</sup> )	398.47	(3/2 <sup>+</sup> ,5/2 <sup>+</sup> ,7/2 <sup>+</sup> )			
318.0# 5	2.7& 6	782.3	(5/2 <sup>+</sup> to 9/2 <sup>+</sup> )	464.02	(5/2 <sup>+</sup> ,7/2 <sup>+</sup> )			

<sup>129</sup>Ce  $\varepsilon$  decay (3.5 min)    1997Gi08,2001Xi01 (continued)

<u><math>\gamma(^{129}\text{La})</math></u> (continued)								
$E_\gamma^{\dagger}$	$I_\gamma^{\ddagger a}$	$E_i(\text{level})$	$J_i^\pi$	$E_f$	$J_f^\pi$	Mult.	$\alpha^b$	Comments
330.3 2	4.3 2	398.47	(3/2 <sup>+</sup> ,5/2 <sup>+</sup> ,7/2 <sup>+</sup> )	68.18	(5/2 <sup>+</sup> )	M1,E2	0.038 4	$\alpha(K)\exp=0.04$ 2 $\alpha(K)=0.032$ 4; $\alpha(L)=0.00485$ 23; $\alpha(M)=0.00102$ 6 $\alpha(N)=0.000222$ 11; $\alpha(O)=3.52\times 10^{-5}$ 9; $\alpha(P)=2.3\times 10^{-6}$ 4
336.0# 5	2.7& 6	782.3	(5/2 <sup>+</sup> to 9/2 <sup>+</sup> )	446.34	(9/2 <sup>+</sup> )			
342# 1	0.6& 3	782.3	(5/2 <sup>+</sup> to 9/2 <sup>+</sup> )	440.26	(7/2 <sup>+</sup> )			
348.5@ 3	1.1 2	619.60	(3/2 <sup>+</sup> to 9/2 <sup>+</sup> )	270.91	(1/2 to 7/2 <sup>+</sup> )			
370.7 5	0.6& 3	619.60	(3/2 <sup>+</sup> to 9/2 <sup>+</sup> )	248.45	(7/2 <sup>+</sup> )			
372.2# 3	7.0& 7	440.26	(7/2 <sup>+</sup> )	68.18	(5/2 <sup>+</sup> )	M1	0.0302	$\alpha(K)=0.0259$ 4; $\alpha(L)=0.00340$ 5; $\alpha(M)=0.000704$ 10 $\alpha(N)=0.0001549$ 22; $\alpha(O)=2.53\times 10^{-5}$ 4; $\alpha(P)=1.99\times 10^{-6}$ 3 $\alpha(K)\exp=0.036$ 13; K/L>5 In 2001Xi01 the strong 371.7 keV $\gamma$ is considered as a single $\gamma$ , in 1997Gi08 it is resolved to 370.7 and 372.2 keV $\gamma$ rays in $\gamma\gamma$ coin.
378.0 2	3.8 4	446.34	(9/2 <sup>+</sup> )	68.18	(5/2 <sup>+</sup> )	[E2]	0.0230	
380.1 2	1.9 4	619.60	(3/2 <sup>+</sup> to 9/2 <sup>+</sup> )	239.61	(5/2 <sup>+</sup> )			
395.8 2	6.2 2	464.02	(5/2 <sup>+</sup> ,7/2 <sup>+</sup> )	68.18	(5/2 <sup>+</sup> )	M1,E2	0.023 3	$\alpha(K)\exp=0.023$ 6 $\alpha(K)=0.019$ 3; $\alpha(L)=0.00283$ 9; $\alpha(M)=0.000591$ 13 $\alpha(N)=0.000129$ 4; $\alpha(O)=2.06\times 10^{-5}$ 10; $\alpha(P)=1.4\times 10^{-6}$ 3
397# 1	1.5& 3	645.39	(9/2 <sup>+</sup> )	248.45	(7/2 <sup>+</sup> )			
398.5 2	3.6 2	398.47	(3/2 <sup>+</sup> ,5/2 <sup>+</sup> ,7/2 <sup>+</sup> )	0.0	(3/2 <sup>+</sup> )	M1,E2	0.023 3	$\alpha(K)\exp=0.024$ 8 $\alpha(K)=0.019$ 3; $\alpha(L)=0.00277$ 9; $\alpha(M)=0.000580$ 14 $\alpha(N)=0.000127$ 4; $\alpha(O)=2.02\times 10^{-5}$ 10; $\alpha(P)=1.4\times 10^{-6}$ 3
404.0@ 2	0.8 1	472.21	(1/2 <sup>+</sup> to 7/2 <sup>+</sup> )	68.18	(5/2 <sup>+</sup> )			
405.7 2	1.0 1	645.39	(9/2 <sup>+</sup> )	239.61	(5/2 <sup>+</sup> )			
414# 1	0.6& 3	652.5	(1/2 to 9/2 <sup>+</sup> )	239.61	(5/2 <sup>+</sup> )			
440.0 2	4.4 1	440.26	(7/2 <sup>+</sup> )	0.0	(3/2 <sup>+</sup> )	(E2)	0.0147	$\alpha(K)=0.01226$ 18; $\alpha(L)=0.00196$ 3; $\alpha(M)=0.000413$ 6 $\alpha(N)=8.98\times 10^{-5}$ 13; $\alpha(O)=1.408\times 10^{-5}$ 20; $\alpha(P)=8.60\times 10^{-7}$ 12 Mult.: $\alpha(K)\exp=0.019$ 8 gives M1,E2, but $\Delta J^\pi=(2)$ requires E2.
458.5# 5	1.0& 3	706.46	(5/2 <sup>+</sup> to 9/2 <sup>+</sup> )	248.45	(7/2 <sup>+</sup> )			
464.0 2	1.3 2	464.02	(5/2 <sup>+</sup> ,7/2 <sup>+</sup> )	0.0	(3/2 <sup>+</sup> )			
466.7 2	4.3 2	706.46	(5/2 <sup>+</sup> to 9/2 <sup>+</sup> )	239.61	(5/2 <sup>+</sup> )			
472.2@ 2	1.0 1	472.21	(1/2 <sup>+</sup> to 7/2 <sup>+</sup> )	0.0	(3/2 <sup>+</sup> )			
482.5@ 2	0.6 1	928.87	(7/2 <sup>+</sup> to 11/2 <sup>+</sup> )	446.34	(9/2 <sup>+</sup> )			
519.5@ 2	2.4 1	587.64	(1/2 <sup>+</sup> to 7/2 <sup>+</sup> )	68.18	(5/2 <sup>+</sup> )			
536.6@ 3	1.7 5	934.92	(1/2 to 9/2 <sup>+</sup> )	398.47	(3/2 <sup>+</sup> ,5/2 <sup>+</sup> ,7/2 <sup>+</sup> )			
543.1# 5	0.9& 3	782.3	(5/2 <sup>+</sup> to 9/2 <sup>+</sup> )	239.61	(5/2 <sup>+</sup> )			
548.0@ 2	0.3 1	796.21	(3/2 <sup>+</sup> to 7/2 <sup>+</sup> )	248.45	(7/2 <sup>+</sup> )			
551.3 3	3.2 6	619.60	(3/2 <sup>+</sup> to 9/2 <sup>+</sup> )	68.18	(5/2 <sup>+</sup> )			
556.0@ 2	2.0 1	556.00?	(1/2 to 7/2 <sup>+</sup> )	0.0	(3/2 <sup>+</sup> )			Evaluators consider the placement of the 556.0 keV $\gamma$ tentative due to lack of supporting $\gamma\gamma$ coin or other $\gamma$ from the level.

<sup>129</sup>Ce  $\varepsilon$  decay (3.5 min) 1997Gi08,2001Xi01 (continued) $\gamma(^{129}\text{La})$  (continued)

E $_{\gamma}^{\dagger}$	I $_{\gamma}^{\ddagger a}$	E $_i$ (level)	J $^{\pi}_i$	E $_f$	J $^{\pi}_f$	Comments
577.3 2	1.8 2	645.39	(9/2 $^{+}$ )	68.18	(5/2 $^{+}$ )	
584.0 <sup>#</sup> 5	1.8 <sup>&amp;</sup> 6	652.5	(1/2 to 9/2 $^{+}$ )	68.18	(5/2 $^{+}$ )	
584.0 <sup>@</sup> 2	1.6 1	832.31	(3/2 $^{+}$ to 9/2 $^{+}$ )	248.45	(7/2 $^{+}$ )	
587.6 <sup>@</sup> 2	2.2 2	587.64	(1/2 $^{+}$ to 7/2 $^{+}$ )	0.0	(3/2 $^{+}$ )	
616.7 <sup>@</sup> 2	1.3 3	1015.26	(1/2 to 7/2 $^{+}$ )	398.47	(3/2 $^{+}$ ,5/2 $^{+}$ ,7/2 $^{+}$ )	
638.4 2	0.6 1	706.46	(5/2 $^{+}$ to 9/2 $^{+}$ )	68.18	(5/2 $^{+}$ )	
664.0 <sup>@</sup> 3	0.4 1	934.92	(1/2 to 9/2 $^{+}$ )	270.91	(1/2 to 7/2 $^{+}$ )	
680.5 <sup>@</sup> 3	0.8 4	928.87	(7/2 $^{+}$ to 11/2 $^{+}$ )	248.45	(7/2 $^{+}$ )	
728.0 <sup>@</sup> 2	1.7 2	796.21	(3/2 $^{+}$ to 7/2 $^{+}$ )	68.18	(5/2 $^{+}$ )	
744.5 <sup>@</sup> 2	0.7 1	1015.26	(1/2 to 7/2 $^{+}$ )	270.91	(1/2 to 7/2 $^{+}$ )	
764.0 <sup>@</sup> 2	1.0 3	832.31	(3/2 $^{+}$ to 9/2 $^{+}$ )	68.18	(5/2 $^{+}$ )	
796.0 <sup>@</sup> 2	1.8 2	796.21	(3/2 $^{+}$ to 7/2 $^{+}$ )	0.0	(3/2 $^{+}$ )	
866.6 <sup>@</sup> 3	0.4 2	934.92	(1/2 to 9/2 $^{+}$ )	68.18	(5/2 $^{+}$ )	
897.9 <sup>@</sup> 2	0.9 2	966.34	(1/2 to 7/2 $^{+}$ )	68.18	(5/2 $^{+}$ )	
966.6 <sup>@</sup> 2	0.9 1	966.34	(1/2 to 7/2 $^{+}$ )	0.0	(3/2 $^{+}$ )	
1015.1 <sup>@</sup> 3	0.2 1	1015.26	(1/2 to 7/2 $^{+}$ )	0.0	(3/2 $^{+}$ )	

<sup>†</sup> Weighted average of E $_{\gamma}$  values from 1997Gi08 and 2001Xi01.

<sup>‡</sup> From 2001Xi01, unless if otherwise noted.

<sup>#</sup> Reported only in 1997Gi08.

<sup>@</sup> Reported only in 2001Xi01.

<sup>&</sup> From 1997Gi08, normalized to the 171.5 keV transition.

<sup>a</sup> For absolute intensity per 100 decays, multiply by 0.47 5.

<sup>b</sup> Total theoretical internal conversion coefficients, calculated using the BrIcc code (2008Ki07) with Frozen orbital approximation based on  $\gamma$ -ray energies, assigned multipolarities, and mixing ratios, unless otherwise specified.

$^{129}\text{Ce} \epsilon$  decay (3.5 min) 1997Gi08,2001Xi01

## Decay Scheme

Intensities: Relative  $I_\gamma$ 

## Legend

- $I_\gamma < 2\% \times I_\gamma^{\max}$
- $I_\gamma < 10\% \times I_\gamma^{\max}$
- $I_\gamma > 10\% \times I_\gamma^{\max}$

