

$^{128}\text{Sn} \beta^-$  decay (59.07 min)    1976Nu01,1975Im01

Type	Author	History	Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	Zoltan Elekes and Janos Timar		NDS 129, 191 (2015)	28-Feb-2015

Parent:  $^{128}\text{Sn}$ : E=0.0;  $J^\pi=0^+$ ;  $T_{1/2}=59.07$  min 14;  $Q(\beta^-)=1268$  14; % $\beta^-$  decay=100.0

1976Nu01:  $^{235}\text{U}(\text{n},\text{F})$  chemical separation; semi  $\gamma$ ,  $\gamma\gamma$ ; semi-scintillator  $\beta\gamma$ .

1975Im01:  $^{235}\text{U}(\text{n},\text{F})$  chemical separation; semi  $\gamma$ ,  $\gamma\gamma$ .

The decay scheme of  $^{128}\text{Sb}$  is that proposed by 1976Nu01. Level energy of the lowest state in this decay scheme has not been fixed (see the comment in  $^{128}\text{Sb}$  IT decay).

 $^{128}\text{Sb}$  Levels

E(level) <sup>†</sup>	$J^\pi$	Comments
0.0	$8^-$	
0.0+x	$5^+$	
45.70+x 20	$4^+$	
77.8+x 3	$3^+$	
152.7+x 3	$(2,3)^+$	
482.4+x 3	$(2^+,3^+)$	
635.2+x 3	$1^+$	
751.6+x? 4		E(level): cascade order of $80.9\gamma$ and $115.9\gamma$ has not been determined.
833.0+x 3	$1^+$	

<sup>†</sup> E(levels) are based on a least-squares fit to the E $\gamma$ 's from 1976Nu01. Assumed that  $80.9\gamma$  precedes  $115.9\gamma$ .

 $\beta^-$  radiations

I $\beta$  normalization: based on I $\beta^-$ (to 635.2+x level)+I $\beta^-$ (to 833.0+x level)=100%.

E(decay)	E(level)	I $\beta^-$ <sup>†</sup>	Log ft	Comments
430	833.0+x	16.1 25	4.62 8	av E $\beta$ =139 4 E(decay): from $\beta\gamma$ .
630	635.2+x	84 10	4.44 6	av E $\beta$ =211 4 E(decay): from $\beta\gamma$ .

<sup>†</sup> Absolute intensity per 100 decays.

 $\gamma(^{128}\text{Sb})$ 

E $\gamma$ <sup>†</sup>	I $\gamma$ @	E <sub>i</sub> (level)	$J_i^\pi$	E <sub>f</sub>	$J_f^\pi$	Mult.	#	$\alpha$ &	Comments
32.1 2	6.8 4	77.8+x	$3^+$	45.70+x	$4^+$	M1	16.5 4	$\alpha(K)=14.2$ 3; $\alpha(L)=1.89$ 5; $\alpha(M)=0.374$ 9; $\alpha(N)=0.0720$ 17; $\alpha(O)=0.00705$ 17	
45.7 2	22 1	45.70+x	$4^+$	0.0+x	$5^+$	M1	5.94 12	$\alpha(K)=5.11$ 10; $\alpha(L)=0.665$ 13; $\alpha(M)=0.132$ 3; $\alpha(N)=0.0254$ 5; $\alpha(O)=0.00249$ 5	
75.1 2	47 3	152.7+x	$(2,3)^+$	77.8+x	$3^+$	M1	1.403 23	$\alpha(K)=1.209$ 20; $\alpha(L)=0.1562$ 25; $\alpha(M)=0.0309$ 5; $\alpha(N)=0.00597$ 10; $\alpha(O)=0.000587$ 10	
80.9 <sup>‡</sup> 2	0.3 1	833.0+x	$1^+$	751.6+x?					
115.9 <sup>‡</sup> 2	0.3 1	751.6+x?		635.2+x	$1^+$				

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

**$^{128}\text{Sn}$   $\beta^-$  decay (59.07 min)    1976Nu01,1975Im01 (continued)** $\gamma(^{128}\text{Sb})$  (continued)

$E_\gamma^\dagger$	$I_\gamma @$	$E_i(\text{level})$	$J_i^\pi$	$E_f$	$J_f^\pi$	Mult. <sup>#</sup>	$a^&$	Comments
152.7 2	11 1	635.2+x	1 <sup>+</sup>	482.4+x	(2 <sup>+,3<sup>+</sup></sup> )	[M1,E2]	0.28 9	$\alpha(K)=0.23\ 7; \alpha(L)=0.043\ 22; \alpha(M)=0.009\ 5;$ $\alpha(N)=0.0016\ 9; \alpha(O)=0.00014\ 6$
404.4 2	10 1	482.4+x	(2 <sup>+,3<sup>+</sup></sup>	77.8+x	3 <sup>+</sup>			
436.7 2	7 1	482.4+x	(2 <sup>+,3<sup>+</sup></sup>	45.70+x	4 <sup>+</sup>			
482.3 2	100 5	635.2+x	1 <sup>+</sup>	152.7+x	(2,3) <sup>+</sup>	[M1]	0.00944	$\alpha(K)=0.00819\ 12; \alpha(L)=0.001008\ 15;$ $\alpha(M)=0.000199\ 3; \alpha(N)=3.84\times10^{-5}\ 6;$ $\alpha(O)=3.82\times10^{-6}\ 6$
557.3 2	28 3	635.2+x	1 <sup>+</sup>	77.8+x	3 <sup>+</sup>			
680.5 1	27 3	833.0+x	1 <sup>+</sup>	152.7+x	(2,3) <sup>+</sup>			

<sup>†</sup> From 1976Nu01.<sup>‡</sup> Placement uncertain.# The multipolarities of 32.1 $\gamma$ , 45.8 $\gamma$ , 75.1 $\gamma$  are limited to M1 from intensity balance at the respective levels and sum peak analysis of K $\alpha$  x ray+K $\alpha$  x ray, K $\alpha$  x ray+45.8 $\gamma$ , K $\alpha$  x ray+75.1 $\gamma$ .

@ For absolute intensity per 100 decays, multiply by 0.590 60.

& Total theoretical internal conversion coefficients, calculated using the BrIcc code (2008Ki07) with Frozen orbital approximation based on  $\gamma$ -ray energies, assigned multipolarities, and mixing ratios, unless otherwise specified.

$^{128}\text{Sn}$   $\beta^-$  decay (59.07 min) 1976Nu01,1975Im01