

$^{128}\text{Cd} \beta^-$ decay 1988FoZX

Type	Author	History	Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	Zoltan Elekes and Janos Timar		NDS 129, 191 (2015)	28-Feb-2015

Parent: ^{128}Cd : $E=0.0$; $J^\pi=0^+$; $T_{1/2}=0.28$ s 4; $Q(\beta^-)=690 \times 10^1$ 15; $\% \beta^-$ decay=100.0

The decay scheme is that from the work by B. Ekstrom quoted in 1988FoZX.

J: from Adopted Levels.

 ^{128}In Levels

E(level) [†]	J^π	$T_{1/2}$
0.0	(3) ⁺	0.84 s 6
247.87 10	(1) ⁻	‡
315.86 13	(1) ⁻	
710.37 24		
1172.88 14	1 ⁺	

[†] Energy values are based on a least-squares fit to the E_γ 's by evaluator.

[‡] $10 \mu\text{s} < T_{1/2} < 20$ ms (1988FoZX).

 β^- radiations

E(decay)	E(level)	$I\beta^-$ [†]	Log ft	Comments
5.90×10^3 29	1172.88	93 9	4.03 9	av $E\beta=2537$ 72 E(decay): from (β)(857 γ) coincidence (1987Sp09).
(6.19×10^3) 15)	710.37	<2.0	>5.8	av $E\beta=2757$ 72
(6.58×10^3) 15)	315.86	<12	>5.2	av $E\beta=2944$ 72
(6.65×10^3) 15)	247.87	<17	>5.1	av $E\beta=2977$ 72

[†] Absolute intensity per 100 decays.

 $\gamma(^{128}\text{In})$

I_γ normalization: from the branching of 247 γ (75% 7) quoted in 1986Go10 and from assumption of no β^- feeding to g.s. from 0⁺ parent.

E_γ [†]	I_γ ^{†‡&}	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Mult. [@]	α^a	Comments
68.02 10	38 4	315.86	(1) ⁻	247.87	(1) ⁻	(M1)	1.536	$\alpha(\text{exp})=1.55$ $\alpha(\text{K})=1.328$ 20; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.1689$ 25; $\alpha(\text{M})=0.0328$ 5; $\alpha(\text{N})=0.00601$ 9; $\alpha(\text{O})=0.000442$ 7
247.92 10	100	247.87	(1) ⁻	0.0	(3) ⁺	(M2,E3)	0.25 4	$\alpha(\text{exp})=0.25$ 4 $\alpha(\text{K})=0.196$ 15; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.042$ 16; $\alpha(\text{M})=0.009$ 4; $\alpha(\text{N})=0.0015$ 6; $\alpha(\text{O})=7.7 \times 10^{-5}$ 10
462.7 3	4.8 [#] 10	710.37		247.87	(1) ⁻			
462.7 3	3.9 [#] 13	1172.88	1 ⁺	710.37				
857.05 10	95 10	1172.88	1 ⁺	315.86	(1) ⁻			
925.0 3	12.4 12	1172.88	1 ⁺	247.87	(1) ⁻			
1172.4 3	10.8 11	1172.88	1 ⁺	0.0	(3) ⁺			

[†] From data quoted in 1988FoZX; uncertainties are assumed by evaluator.

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

^{128}Cd β^- decay [1988FoZX](#) (continued)

$\gamma(^{128}\text{In})$ (continued)

‡ Relative to $I(247.92\gamma)=100$ (quoted in [1988FoZX](#)), uncertainties are assumed as 10%.

Divided on the basis of $I\beta$ (to 710 level) by evaluators.

@ From $\alpha(\text{exp})$ from the work by B. Ekstrom quoted in [1988FoZX](#).

& For absolute intensity per 100 decays, multiply by 0.76 3.

^a Total theoretical internal conversion coefficients, calculated using the BrIcc code ([2008Ki07](#)) with Frozen orbital approximation based on γ -ray energies, assigned multiplicities, and mixing ratios, unless otherwise specified.

$^{128}\text{Cd} \beta^- \text{ decay } 1988\text{FoZX}$

Decay Scheme

Intensities: $I_{(\gamma+ce)}$ per 100 parent decays

Legend

