

$^{128}\text{La } \varepsilon \text{ decay (<1.4 min)}$ **1997As05**

Type	Author	History	Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	Zoltan Elekes and Janos Timar		NDS 129, 191 (2015)	28-Feb-2015

Parent: ^{128}La : E=0.0+x; $J^\pi=(1^+, 2^-)$; $T_{1/2}<1.4$ min; $Q(\varepsilon)=6.75\times 10^3$ 5; % $\varepsilon+\beta^+$ decay=100.0

1997As05: $^{nat}\text{Mo}+^{36}\text{Ar}$, E=195 MeV; La isomer from $^{128}\text{Ce } \varepsilon$ decay; on-line mass separation; γ , $\gamma\gamma$, $\gamma\gamma(\theta)$, $\gamma(t)$; 5 HPGe detectors.

1992SiZZ: $^{116}\text{Sn}(^{14}\text{N}, 2n)$; B(E2).

α : Additional information 1.

$\gamma\gamma$ -angular correlation coefficient
to 0 - 2 - 0 spin sequences ([1997As05](#))

cascade (keV)	A ₂	A ₄
658.5 γ - 284.1 γ	0.326(21)	1.086(38)
1426 γ - 284.1 γ	0.43(11)	0.96(19)
1934.8 γ - 284.1 γ	0.259(35)	1.042(62)
2345 γ - 284.1 γ	0.51(9)	1.21(15)

 $^{128}\text{Ba Levels}$

The decay scheme is on the basis results of $\gamma\gamma$ -coincidence and E γ sums from [1997As05](#). Levels at 763.3, 884.5, and 1321.6 are added on the bases of results from [1992SiZZ](#).

E(level) [†]	J^π [‡]	$T_{1/2}$ [‡]
0.0	0 ⁺	2.43 d 5
284.10 8	2 ⁺	
763.41 13	4 ⁺	5.34 ps 24
884.55 15	2 ⁺	3.4 ps 4
942.2 6	0 ⁺	
1321.1 5	2 ⁺	
1710.1 10	0 ⁺	
2218.9 5	0 ⁺	
2347.3 5	2 ⁺	
2629.1 10	0 ⁺	

[†] E(levels) are based on a least-squares fit to the E γ 's.

[‡] From Adopted Levels.

 ε, β^+ radiations

E(decay)	E(level)	I β^+ [‡]	I ε [‡]	Log ft	I($\varepsilon+\beta^+$) ^{†‡}	Comments
(4.12×10 ³ 5)	2629.1	14 3	5.6 23	6.05 23	20 3	av E β =1359 187; εK =0.24 8; εL =0.032 11; εM +=0.009 3
(4.40×10 ³ 5)	2347.3	≥5	≥1	≤6.7	≥6	av E β =1490 188; εK =0.19 7; εL =0.026 9; εM +=0.0073 25
(4.53×10 ³ 5)	2218.9	59 11	15 6	5.69 22	74 12	av E β =1550 188; εK =0.18 6; εL =0.024 8; εM +=0.0067 22
(5.04×10 ³ 5)	1710.1	10 2	1.8 6	6.72 21	12 2	
(5.81×10 ³ 5)	942.2	91 3	9 3	6.13 17	100	av E β =2153 191; εK =0.080 21; εL =0.011 3;

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

^{128}La ε decay (<1.4 min) 1997As05 (continued) ϵ, β^+ radiations (continued)

E(decay)	E(level)	I $\beta^+ \dagger$	I $\epsilon \ddagger$	Log ft	I($\epsilon + \beta^+$) †‡	Comments
(6.75×10^3 5)	0.0	≥ 46	≥ 2.8	≤ 6.8	≥ 49	$\epsilon M+=0.0030$ 8 av $E\beta=2603$ 193; $\epsilon K=0.049$ 11; $\epsilon L=0.0065$ 15; $\epsilon M+=0.0018$ 4

[†] From 1997As05, unless otherwise noted. For intensity per 100 decays, multiply by 0.1444.[‡] Absolute intensity per 100 decays. $\gamma(^{128}\text{Ba})$

E $\gamma \dagger$	E $_i$ (level)	J $^\pi_i$	E $_f$	J $^\pi_f$	Mult. [@]	α	Comments
284.10 [#] 8	284.10	2 ⁺	0.0	0 ⁺	E2	0.0538	
378.5 [‡]	1321.1	2 ⁺	942.2	0 ⁺			
436.7 [‡]	1321.1	2 ⁺	884.55	2 ⁺			$I_\gamma: <0.74$ for $I(378.5\gamma)=100$ (1992SiZZ).
479.31 [#] 10	763.41	4 ⁺	284.10	2 ⁺	E2	0.01108	
557.4 [‡]	1321.1	2 ⁺	763.41	4 ⁺			$I_\gamma: 0.26$ 22 for $I(378.5\gamma)=100$ (1992SiZZ).
600.5 [#] 2	884.55	2 ⁺	284.10	2 ⁺	M1+E2	0.00836	
658.0 [#] 6	942.2	0 ⁺	284.10	2 ⁺	E2	0.00479	$E_\gamma:$ unplaced in 1977Zo02.
884.5 [#] 2	884.55	2 ⁺	0.0	0 ⁺	E2	0.00237	
943.1 ^{&}	942.2	0 ⁺	0.0	0 ⁺			$E_\gamma:$ from 1992SiZZ. $I_\gamma: <0.18$ for $I(658.5\gamma)=100$ (1992SiZZ).
1037.1 [‡]	1321.1	2 ⁺	284.10	2 ⁺			$I_\gamma: <3.24$ for $I(378.5\gamma)=100$ (1992SiZZ).
1321.6 [‡]	1321.1	2 ⁺	0.0	0 ⁺			$I_\gamma: =0.9$ 3 for $I(378.5\gamma)=100$ (1992SiZZ).
1426 <i>I</i>	1710.1	0 ⁺	284.10	2 ⁺	E2	9.26×10^{-4}	
1934.8 5	2218.9	0 ⁺	284.10	2 ⁺	E2	7.52×10^{-4}	
2063.2 5	2347.3	2 ⁺	284.10	2 ⁺			
2345 <i>I</i>	2629.1	0 ⁺	284.10	2 ⁺	E2	8.05×10^{-4}	

[†] From 1997As05, unless otherwise noted. Uncertainties are assumed by evaluator.[‡] From 1992SiZZ. I_γ are calculated from relative $B(E2)(378.5\gamma)=100$ (evaluator).[#] From Adopted Gammas.[@] From $\gamma\gamma(\theta)$ and decay scheme.[&] Placement of transition in the level scheme is uncertain.

^{128}La ε decay (<1.4 min) 1997As05

Legend

Decay Scheme- - - - - γ Decay (Uncertain)