

$^{127}\text{In } \beta^- \text{ decay (3.67 s)}$     [2004Ga24](#), [1980De35](#)

Type	Author	History	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	A. Hashizume	NDS 112, 1647 (2011)	1-Oct-2009

Parent:  $^{127}\text{In}$ : E=420 65;  $J^\pi=(1/2^-)$ ;  $T_{1/2}=3.67$  s 4;  $Q(\beta^-)=6510$  30; % $\beta^-$  decay=100.0

[2004Ga24](#):  $^{235}\text{U}(\text{n},\text{F})$  E=th, on-line mass separation;  $\gamma$ ,  $\beta$ ,  $\gamma\gamma$  coin,  $\beta\gamma$  coin.

[1980De35](#):  $^{235}\text{U}(\text{n},\text{F})$  E=th, on-line mass separation;  $\gamma$ ,  $\beta$ , ce,  $\gamma\gamma$  coin,  $\beta\gamma$  coin.

[1986Go10](#):  $^{235}\text{U}(\text{n},\text{F})$  E=th, on-line mass separation;  $\gamma$ ,  $\beta$ ,  $\gamma(t)$ .

Others: [1975DeZU](#), [1978Al18](#).

The decay scheme is that proposed by [2004Ga24](#). Because of the large difference between the  $\beta$ -decay Q-value and the reported maximum level energy, evaluator considers that the decay scheme is not yet complete.

 $^{127}\text{Sn}$  Levels

Configuration from [2004Ga24](#).

E(level) <sup>†</sup>	$J^\pi$ <sup>‡</sup>	$T_{1/2}$	Comments
0.0	$11/2^-$	2.10 h 4	Configuration=( $\nu$ $h_{11/2}$ ).
4.9 4	$3/2^+$	4.13 min 3	Configuration=( $\nu$ $d_{3/2}$ ). E(level): from $^{127}\text{In } \beta^-$ decay (1.09 s).
257.6 4	$(1/2)^+$		Configuration=( $\nu$ $s_{1/2}$ ).
646.33 4	$(9/2)^-$		Configuration=( $^{128}\text{Sn}$ $2^+$ )( $\nu$ ( $h_{11/2}$ ) $^{-1}$ ).
809.9 4	$(5/2)^+$		
953.9 4	$(1/2,3/2)$		Configuration=( $^{128}\text{Sn}$ $2^+$ )( $\nu$ ( $d_{3/2}$ ) $^{-1}$ ) and/or ( $^{128}\text{Sn}$ $2^+$ )( $\nu$ ( $s_{1/2}$ ) $^{-1}$ ).
963.73 10	$(7/2^-)$		Configuration=( $^{128}\text{Sn}$ $2^+$ )( $\nu$ ( $h_{11/2}$ ) $^{-1}$ ).
1090.5 4	$(1/2,3/2)$		Configuration=( $^{128}\text{Sn}$ $2^+$ )( $\nu$ ( $d_{3/2}$ ) $^{-1}$ ) and/or ( $^{128}\text{Sn}$ $2^+$ )( $\nu$ ( $s_{1/2}$ ) $^{-1}$ ).
1233.4 4	$(3/2^+)$		Configuration=( $^{128}\text{Sn}$ $2^+$ )( $\nu$ ( $d_{3/2}$ ) $^{-1}$ ) and/or ( $^{128}\text{Sn}$ $2^+$ )( $\nu$ ( $s_{1/2}$ ) $^{-1}$ ).
1331.4 4	$(5/2^+)$		Configuration=( $\nu$ $d_{5/2}$ ).
1819.8 5	$(1/2,3/2)$		
2260.2 10	$(1/2,3/2)$		
2886.1 7	$(1/2,3/2)$		
3333.3 4	$(3/2)$		
3397.5 4	$(1/2,3/2)$		
3564.4 6	$(3/2)$		

<sup>†</sup> From a least-squares fit to E( $\gamma$ 's).

<sup>‡</sup> From Adopted Levels.

 $\beta^-$  radiations

E(decay) <sup>‡</sup>	E(level)	$I\beta^-$ <sup>†#@</sup>	Log ft	Comments
$(3.37 \times 10^3$ 7)	3564.4	0.27 4	6.7	av $E\beta=1416$ 34
$(3.53 \times 10^3$ 7)	3397.5	1.46 20	6.0	av $E\beta=1495$ 34
$(3.60 \times 10^3$ 7)	3333.3	6.9 7	5.4	av $E\beta=1525$ 34
$(4.04 \times 10^3$ 7)	2886.1	0.31 5	7.0	av $E\beta=1736$ 34
$(4.67 \times 10^3$ 7)	2260.2	0.52 10	7.0	av $E\beta=2032$ 34
$(5.11 \times 10^3$ 7)	1819.8	0.08 6	8.0	av $E\beta=2241$ 34
$(5.70 \times 10^3$ 7)	1233.4	1.03 15	7.1	av $E\beta=2519$ 34
$(5.84 \times 10^3$ 7)	1090.5	4.2 5	6.5	av $E\beta=2587$ 34
$(5.98 \times 10^3$ 7)	953.9	1.60 18	7.0	av $E\beta=2652$ 34
$(6.67 \times 10^3$ 7)	257.6	36 3	5.9	av $E\beta=2982$ 34
$(6.93 \times 10^3$ 7)	4.9	52 4	5.8	av $E\beta=3102$ 34

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

$^{127}\text{In}$   $\beta^-$  decay (3.67 s)    2004Ga24,1980De35 (continued) $\beta^-$  radiations (continued)

<sup>†</sup> From intensity balance of transitions. Values are, however, still tentative. It is because unobserved  $\gamma$ 's from higher levels.

<sup>‡</sup> From 1978Al18.

<sup>#</sup> Absolute intensity per 100 decays.

<sup>@</sup> Absolute intensity per 100 decays.

 $\gamma(^{127}\text{Sn})$ 

I $\gamma$  normalization: From  $\Sigma(I\gamma \text{ to g.s.}) + \Sigma(I\gamma \text{ to 4.9 level}) = 100$ , assuming I $\beta$  to 4.9 level is 52 4 per 100 decays and no  $\beta$  feeding to g.s. Others: 0.38 5 from absolute measurement of 252.70 $\gamma$  (1986Go10).

E $\gamma$ <sup>†</sup>	I $\gamma$ <sup>‡&amp;</sup>	E <sub>i</sub> (level)	J $^\pi_i$	E <sub>f</sub>	J $^\pi_f$	Mult. <sup>#</sup>	$\alpha^{\dagger}$	Comments
137 <sup>@a</sup> 1		1090.5	(1/2,3/2)	953.9	(1/2,3/2)			
144.02 16	0.27 4	953.9	(1/2,3/2)	809.9	(5/2 <sup>+</sup> )	[M1]	0.203	$\alpha(K)=0.175$ 3; $\alpha(L)=0.0222$ 4; $\alpha(M)=0.00435$ 7; $\alpha(N+..)=0.000890$ 13 $\alpha(N)=0.000819$ 12; $\alpha(O)=7.10\times10^{-5}$ 11
252.70 4	100	257.6	(1/2) <sup>+</sup>	4.9	3/2 <sup>+</sup>	M1	0.0446	$\alpha(K)\exp=0.039$ 2 (1980De35); K/L=7.3 4 (1980De35) $\alpha(K)=0.0387$ 6; $\alpha(L)=0.00482$ 7; $\alpha(M)=0.000944$ 14; $\alpha(N+..)=0.000193$ 3 $\alpha(N)=0.0001776$ 25; $\alpha(O)=1.549\times10^{-5}$ 22 I $\gamma$ : 43 3 per 100 decays; weighted average of 43.5 23 (1993RuZW) and 38 5 (1986Go10). Mult.: from $\alpha(K)\exp$ and K/L.
317.61 16	0.032 6	963.73	(7/2 <sup>-</sup> )	646.33 (9/2) <sup>-</sup>		[M1]	0.0247	$\alpha(K)=0.0214$ 3; $\alpha(L)=0.00265$ 4; $\alpha(M)=0.000518$ 8; $\alpha(N+..)=0.0001060$ 15 $\alpha(N)=9.75\times10^{-5}$ 14; $\alpha(O)=8.52\times10^{-6}$ 12
646.34 4	0.032 6	646.33	(9/2) <sup>-</sup>	0.0	11/2 <sup>-</sup>	M1	0.00427 6	$\alpha(K)\exp<0.004$ $\alpha=0.00427$ 6; $\alpha(K)=0.00372$ 6; $\alpha(L)=0.000449$ 7; $\alpha(M)=8.78\times10^{-5}$ 13; $\alpha(N+..)=1.80\times10^{-5}$ 3 $\alpha(N)=1.654\times10^{-5}$ 24; $\alpha(O)=1.456\times10^{-6}$ 21
696.4 3	0.69 7	953.9	(1/2,3/2)	257.6	(1/2) <sup>+</sup>			
805.00 5	0.27 4	809.9	(5/2 <sup>+</sup> )	4.9	3/2 <sup>+</sup>			
832.83 15	5.3 5	1090.5	(1/2,3/2)	257.6	(1/2) <sup>+</sup>			
948.90 17	2.7 3	953.9	(1/2,3/2)	4.9	3/2 <sup>+</sup>			
963.61 12	0.9 2	963.73	(7/2 <sup>-</sup> )	0.0	11/2 <sup>-</sup>			
975.8 4	1.3 2	1233.4	(3/2 <sup>+</sup> )	257.6	(1/2) <sup>+</sup>			
1073.8 8	0.027 7	1331.4	(5/2 <sup>+</sup> )	257.6	(1/2) <sup>+</sup>			
1085.62 18	6.6 6	1090.5	(1/2,3/2)	4.9	3/2 <sup>+</sup>			
1169.7 9	1.2 2	2260.2	(1/2,3/2)	1090.5	(1/2,3/2)			
1228.4 3	1.1 2	1233.4	(3/2 <sup>+</sup> )	4.9	3/2 <sup>+</sup>			
1326.47 9	0.54 6	1331.4	(5/2 <sup>+</sup> )	4.9	3/2 <sup>+</sup>			
1513.0 9	0.43 9	3333.3	(3/2)	1819.8	(1/2,3/2)			
1814.8 3	0.61 10	1819.8	(1/2,3/2)	4.9	3/2 <sup>+</sup>			

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

$^{127}\text{In}$   $\beta^-$  decay (3.67 s)    2004Ga24,1980De35 (continued) $\gamma(^{127}\text{Sn})$  (continued)

$E_\gamma^\ddagger$	$I_\gamma^{\ddagger\&}$	$E_i(\text{level})$	$J_i^\pi$	$E_f$	$J_f^\pi$
2001.9 7	0.57 6	3333.3	(3/2)	1331.4	(5/2 <sup>+</sup> )
2242.8 2	0.96 11	3333.3	(3/2)	1090.5	(1/2,3/2)
2369.5 3	0.95 11	3333.3	(3/2)	963.73	(7/2 <sup>-</sup> )
2628.5 6	0.71 9	2886.1	(1/2,3/2)	257.6	(1/2) <sup>+</sup>
3075.62 10	9.6 10	3333.3	(3/2)	257.6	(1/2) <sup>+</sup>
3139.8 2	3.4 4	3397.5	(1/2,3/2)	257.6	(1/2) <sup>+</sup>
3306.7 4	0.62 7	3564.4	(3/2)	257.6	(1/2) <sup>+</sup>
3328.20 19	3.6 4	3333.3	(3/2)	4.9	3/2 <sup>+</sup>

<sup>†</sup> Theoretical conversion coefficients are calculated using BrIcc code for the multipolarity indicated.

<sup>‡</sup> For unplaced transitions that could belong to 3.67-s, 1.09-s, and/or 1.04-s,  $\beta^-$  decay, see 1.09-s  $\beta^-$  decay.

<sup>#</sup> The multipolarities in brackets were assumed by evaluator to obtain transition intensities, and are not used for spin and parity determination. For the estimation of  $J^\pi$ , see adopted files.

<sup>@</sup> From the drawing of decay scheme (1980De35); weak, no intensity is given. Not reported by 2004Ga24.

<sup>&</sup> For absolute intensity per 100 decays, multiply by 0.40 4.

<sup>a</sup> Placement of transition in the level scheme is uncertain.

