

$^{127}\text{In IT decay (9 } \mu\text{s)}$     **2004Sc42**

Type	Author	History Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	A. Hashizume	NDS 112, 1647 (2011)	1-Oct-2009

Parent:  $^{127}\text{In}$ : E=2364 60;  $J^\pi=(29/2^+)$ ;  $T_{1/2}=9 \mu\text{s}$  2; %IT decay=100.02004Sc42:  $^{239}\text{Pu}(n,\text{F}), ^{241}\text{Pu}(n,\text{F})$  E=th, on-line mass separation; fission fragment-ce(t), fission fragment- $\gamma$ (t), X-ce coin,  $\gamma\gamma$  coin,  $\gamma\gamma$ (t). $^{127}\text{In Levels}$ 

E(level) <sup>†</sup>	$J^\pi$ <sup>‡</sup>	$T_{1/2}$ <sup>#</sup>	Comments
0.0	(9/2 <sup>+</sup> )		
1863 58	(21/2 <sup>-</sup> )	1.04 s 10	$T_{1/2}$ : From the adopted value.
2085? 58	(23/2 <sup>-</sup> )		E(level): The order of 221.3-233.4 $\gamma$ -ray cascade is uncertain. E(level)=2097 is possible.
2317? 59	(25/2 <sup>+</sup> )		
2364? 60	(29/2 <sup>+</sup> )	9 $\mu\text{s}$ 2	

<sup>†</sup> From Adopted Levels.<sup>‡</sup> From the comparison with shell model and systematics (2004Sc42).# From fission fragments- $\gamma$  delayed coincidence method. $\gamma(^{127}\text{In})$ 

$E_\gamma$	$I_\gamma$ <sup>†#</sup>	$E_i(\text{level})$	$J_i^\pi$	$E_f$	$J_f^\pi$	Mult.	$\alpha$ <sup>‡</sup>	Comments
47.0 5	4.05 24	2364?	(29/2 <sup>+</sup> )	2317?	(25/2 <sup>+</sup> )	E2	23.1 10	$\alpha(K)=10.7$ 4; $\alpha(L)=10.0$ 6; $\alpha(M)=2.05$ 11; $\alpha(N+..)=0.351$ 19 $\alpha(N)=0.343$ 19; $\alpha(O)=0.0076$ 4
221.3 5		2085?	(23/2 <sup>-</sup> )	1863	(21/2 <sup>-</sup> )			Mult.: From X-ray/ce(L)=1.2 3.
233.4 5		2317?	(25/2 <sup>+</sup> )	2085?	(23/2 <sup>-</sup> )			

<sup>†</sup> Normalized to total transition intensity=100 (evaluator).<sup>‡</sup> Theoretical conversion coefficients are calculated using BrIcc code for the multipolarity indicated.

# For absolute intensity per 100 decays, multiply by 1.00 6.

$^{127}\text{In}$  IT decay (9  $\mu\text{s}$ )    2004Sc42

Legend

Decay SchemeIntensities:  $I_{(\gamma+ce)}$  per 100 parent decays  
%IT=100.0

●      Coincidence

