

$^{127}\text{Ba IT decay}$ [2002Sh01,1981Li08,1981LiZK](#)

Type	Author	History	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	A. Hashizume	NDS 112, 1647 (2011)	1-Oct-2009

Parent: ^{127}Ba : E=80.32 11; $J^\pi=7/2^-$; $T_{1/2}=1.93$ s 7; %IT decay=100.0[2002Sh01](#): $^{nat}\text{Mo}+^{32}\text{S}$, E=160 MeV, on-line mass separation; γ , I $_\gamma$, ce, $\gamma(t)$: $\gamma\gamma$ coin.[1981Li08,1981LiZK](#): Ce+ ^3He , E(^3He)=280 MeV, on-line mass separation; γ , K x ray, $\gamma(t)$. $^{127}\text{Ba Levels}$

E(level) [†]	J^π	T _{1/2}	Comments
0.0	1/2 ⁺	12.7 min 4	
56.17 10	3/2 ⁺		
80.33 12	7/2 ⁻	1.93 s 7	T _{1/2} : from γ multiscaling (2002Sh01); others: 1.9 s 2 (1981Li08).

[†] From a least-squares fit to E $_\gamma$'s. $\gamma(^{127}\text{Ba})$ I $_\gamma$ normalization: Assuming I(γ +ce)(24.2 γ)+I(γ +ce)(80.2)=100 from the isomeric state.

E $_\gamma$ [†]	I $_\gamma$ ^{‡&}	E $_i$ (level)	J $^\pi_i$	E $_f$	J $^\pi_f$	Mult. [@]	$\alpha^{\#}$	Comments
24.2 1	1.05 20	80.33	7/2 ⁻	56.17	3/2 ⁺	M2	995 24	$\alpha(L)=775$ 19; $\alpha(M)=176$ 5; $\alpha(N+..)=43.9$ 11 $\alpha(N)=38.0$ 9; $\alpha(O)=5.53$ 14; $\alpha(P)=0.301$ 7
56.2 1	100	56.17	3/2 ⁺	0.0	1/2 ⁺	M1(+E2)	10 6	$\alpha(K)=5.0$ 6; $\alpha(L)=4$ 4; $\alpha(M)=0.9$ 9; $\alpha(N+..)=0.22$ 19 $\alpha(N)=0.20$ 17; $\alpha(O)=0.025$ 22; $\alpha(P)=0.000271$ 23
80.2 2	0.61 7	80.33	7/2 ⁻	0.0	1/2 ⁺	E3	74.8 15	Mult.: intensity balance is consistent with M1. $\alpha(K)=11.75$ 19; $\alpha(L)=49.1$ 10; $\alpha(M)=11.34$ 23; $\alpha(N+..)=2.64$ 6 $\alpha(N)=2.35$ 5; $\alpha(O)=0.297$ 6; $\alpha(P)=0.000471$ 8 Additional information 1 .

[†] From [1981LiZK](#).[‡] From [2002Sh01](#). Relative to I(56.2 γ)=100.

Theoretical conversion coefficients are calculated using BrIcc code for the multipolarity indicated.

@ From $\alpha(K)\exp$ and I(K x ray) ([1981Li08,1981LiZK](#)).

& For absolute intensity per 100 decays, multiply by 0.09 2.

$^{127}\text{Ba IT decay}$ **2002Sh01,1981Li08,1981LiZK**