

$^{127}\text{I}(\text{p},2\text{n}\gamma)$ **1975Ku05**

Type	Author	History	Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	H. Iimura, J. Katakura, S. Ohya		NDS 180,1 (2022)	1-Oct-2021

E=17-21.5 MeV. Semi γ , $\gamma\gamma$, $\gamma(\theta)$.

Others: magnetic spectrograph ce: [1965Sa11](#), [1966Ej03](#).

Level scheme is those proposed by [1975Ku05](#).

 ^{126}Xe Levels

E(level) [†]	J ^π @	E(level) [†]	J ^π @	E(level) [†]	J ^π @	E(level) [†]	J ^π @
0.0 [‡]	0 ⁺	941.9 [‡]	4 ⁺	1635.1 [‡]	6 ⁺	2435.8 [‡]	8 ⁺
388.4 [‡]	2 ⁺	1317.4 [#]	3 ⁺	1903.2 [#]	5 ⁺	2561.8 [#]	6 ⁻
879.8 [#]	2 ⁺	1488.5 [#]	4 ⁺	2214.7 [#]	6 ⁺		

[†] Based on a least-squares fit by evaluators to the E γ 's.

[‡] Band member based on the ground state.

[#] Quasi- γ band member based on 2⁺ 879.8-keV state.

@ Spin and parity values are those given under the Adopted Levels.

 $\gamma(^{126}\text{Xe})$

E γ	I γ	E _i (level)	J _i ^π	E _f	J _f ^π	Mult. [†]	δ [‡]	Comments
311.0 [#]	0.9 2	2214.7	6 ⁺	1903.2	5 ⁺			
347.5 [#]	0.7 2	2561.8	6 ⁻	2214.7	6 ⁺			
375.6	2.0 2	1317.4	3 ⁺	941.9	4 ⁺			
388.3	100	388.4	2 ⁺	0.0	0 ⁺	Q		A ₂ =-0.02 4, A ₄ =+0.04 6. A ₂ =+0.109 4, A ₄ =-0.016 5. K/L=6.2 10 (1965Sa11).
414.7	1.6 2	1903.2	5 ⁺	1488.5	4 ⁺			
437.6	9.3 2	1317.4	3 ⁺	879.8	2 ⁺	D+Q		A ₂ =+0.070 13, A ₄ =+0.018 20. δ : +10.2 +60-25 or +0.35 +6-3. K/L=4.8 20 (1965Sa11).
491.3	23.9 2	879.8	2 ⁺	388.4	2 ⁺	D+Q	+11 +8-3	A ₂ =-0.002 9, A ₄ =-0.081 14. K/L=6.3 10 (1965Sa11).
546.4	4.7 2	1488.5	4 ⁺	941.9	4 ⁺	D+Q		A ₂ =+0.005 21, A ₄ =-0.02 3. δ : -0.90 +9-8 or +3.0 +11-7. A ₂ =+0.150 6, A ₄ =-0.049 9. K/L=4.0 10 (1965Sa11).
553.3	57.5 2	941.9	4 ⁺	388.4	2 ⁺	Q		A ₂ =+0.157 18, A ₄ =-0.05 3. A ₂ =+0.116 15, A ₄ =-0.063 22. A ₂ =+0.13 3, A ₄ =-0.02 5. Mult.: 1975Ku05 suggests Q from $\gamma(\theta)$ which is in conflict with E1 from $\gamma(\theta)$ and pol. In ¹²³ Te(α ,n γ).
579.4	1.7 2	2214.7	6 ⁺	1635.1	6 ⁺			
585.8	7.1 2	1903.2	5 ⁺	1317.4	3 ⁺	Q		A ₂ =+0.201 10, A ₄ =-0.041 15. K/L=3.7 10 (1965Sa11).
608.8	8.5 2	1488.5	4 ⁺	879.8	2 ⁺	Q		A ₂ =+0.14 3, A ₄ =-0.01 4.
658.6	3.1 2	2561.8	6 ⁻	1903.2	5 ⁺			
693.0	28.2 3	1635.1	6 ⁺	941.9	4 ⁺	Q		A ₂ =-0.01 4, A ₄ =-0.05 5. A ₂ =+0.22 3, A ₄ =-0.04 4. A ₂ =+0.30 4, A ₄ =-0.06 6. A ₂ =+0.108 25, A ₄ =-0.04 4. A ₂ =+0.194 22, A ₄ =+0.09 3.
726.1	5.1 2	2214.7	6 ⁺	1488.5	4 ⁺	(Q)		
^x 737.7	0.9 2							
^x 758.4	2.9 2							
800.7	7.0 3	2435.8	8 ⁺	1635.1	6 ⁺	(Q)		
^x 858.4	2.3 2							
879.7	6.5 3	879.8	2 ⁺	0.0	0 ⁺			
929.1	8.0 3	1317.4	3 ⁺	388.4	2 ⁺	D+Q	+1.3 +12-5	

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

 $^{127}\text{I}(\text{p},2\text{n}\gamma)$ **1975Ku05 (continued)**

 $\gamma(^{126}\text{Xe})$ (continued)

E_γ	I_γ	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Mult. [†]	Comments
^x 956.6	3.1 4						$A_2=-0.18$ 6, $A_4=+0.03$ 9.
961.2	4.2 3	1903.2	5 ⁺	941.9	4 ⁺	D+Q	$A_2=+0.16$ 4, $A_4=+0.08$ 5.
^x 986.7	2.0 2						
^x 1043.5	3.5 3						$A_2=+0.15$ 4, $A_4=+0.07$ 6.
1100.1	1.7 3	1488.5	4 ⁺	388.4	2 ⁺		
1273.0	0.8 3	2214.7	6 ⁺	941.9	4 ⁺		

[†] From $\gamma(\theta)$.

[‡] From A_2 , A_4 values and attenuation coefficients.

Placement of transition in the level scheme is uncertain.

^x γ ray not placed in level scheme.

