#### $^{126}$ Sb $\beta^-$ decay (12.35 d) 1975Ba17,1972Bu28

	History		
Туре	Author	Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	H. Iimura, J. Katakura, S. Ohya	NDS 180, 1 (2022)	1-Oct-2021

Parent: <sup>126</sup>Sb: E=0.0;  $J^{\pi}=(8^{-})$ ;  $T_{1/2}=12.35$  d 6;  $Q(\beta^{-})=3670 \ 30$ ;  $\%\beta^{-}$  decay=100.0

The decay scheme is that proposed by 1975Ba17 on the basis of  $\gamma\gamma$ -coin and E $\gamma$  sums.

**1972Bu28**: semi *γ*, *γγ*.

1972SoZQ: Te( $\gamma$ ,pxn) chem;semi  $\gamma$ ,  $\gamma\gamma$ .

1972Kr15: U(n,F) chem, oriented nuclei,  $\gamma(\theta)$ .

1974Li14: <sup>124</sup>Sn( $\alpha$ ,pn) chem; semi  $\gamma$ ,  $\gamma\gamma$ . 1975Ba17: <sup>128</sup>Te(d, $\alpha$ ) chem; semi  $\gamma$ ,  $\gamma\gamma$ ;  $\gamma\gamma(\theta)$ . 1975So09: <sup>128</sup>Te(d, $\alpha$ ) chem; semi  $\gamma$ ,  $\gamma\gamma$ ; scin-scin  $\beta\gamma(t)$ ,  $\gamma\gamma(t)$ .

1975Ba46: <sup>128</sup>Te(d, $\alpha$ ) chem; scin-scin  $\beta\gamma$ (t).

### <sup>126</sup>Te Levels

E(level) <sup>†</sup>	$J^{\pi \ddagger}$	T <sub>1/2</sub>	Comments
0.0	$0^{+}$	stable	
666.5 <i>3</i>	2+		
1361.5 4	4+		
1776.1 4	6+	68 ps 2	$T_{1/2}$ : unweighted av of 66 ps 3 from ( $\approx 600\beta$ )( $\approx 700\gamma$ )(t) (1975Ba46) and 69 ps 2 from (1370-1500 $\beta$ )(695 $\gamma$ )(t) (1975So09).
2218.3 4	5-		
2396.2 6	6+		
2496.7 5	7-	0.152 ns 5	$T_{1/2}$ : from $(1200\beta)(\approx 700\gamma)(t)$ (1975Ba46); other: 0.15 ns 2 from (610 <e<math>\beta&lt;720)(695<math>\gamma</math>,720<math>\gamma</math>)(t) (1975So09).</e<math>
2514.7 5	5-		
2765.7 5	8+		
2811.6 5	$(7^{-})$		
2837.4 5			
2839.7 7	$(6)^+$		
2974.3 10	$10^{+}$		
2989.4 5	$(8^{+})$		
3070.8 5	5-,6,7-		
3171.4 6			
3193.7 5	9-		
3450.4 6	$6^+, 7^-$		
3473.0 9			

<sup> $\dagger$ </sup> E(levels) are based on a least-squares fit (by evaluators).

<sup>±</sup> Spin and parity values are those given under Adopted Levels.

 $\beta^{-}$  radiations

E(decay)	E(level)	$I\beta^{-\dagger}$	Log ft		Comments
$(2.0 \times 10^2 3)$	3473.0	0.5 1	7.43 25	av Eβ=54 9	
$(2.2 \times 10^2 \ 3)$	3450.4	2.09 14	6.96 21	av Eβ=61 9	
$(4.8 \times 10^2 \ 3)$	3193.7	29 7	6.92 15	av Eβ=145 11	
$(5.0 \times 10^2 \ 3)$	3171.4	5.9 10	7.68 12	av Eβ=153 11	
$(6.0 \times 10^2 \ 3)$	3070.8	8.4 4	7.80 8	av Eβ=190 12	
$(6.8 \times 10^2 \ 3)$	2989.4	4.2 4	8.30 8	av Eβ=220 12	
$(7.0 \times 10^2 \ 3)$	2974.3	0.5 2	9.54 <sup>1</sup> <i>u</i> 20	av Eβ=243 12	

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

# <sup>126</sup>Sb β<sup>-</sup> decay (12.35 d) 1975Ba17,1972Bu28 (continued)

### $\beta^-$ radiations (continued)

E(decay)	E(level)	$I\beta^{-\dagger}$	Log ft		Comments	
$(8.3 \times 10^{2} \ddagger 3)$	2839.7	0.8 7	9.7 <sup>1</sup> <i>u</i> 4	av Eβ=294 12		
$(8.6 \times 10^2 \ 3)$	2811.6	8.1 6	8.37 7	av E $\beta$ =289 12		
$(9.0 \times 10^2 \ 3)$	2765.7	4.9 4	8.67 7	av Eβ=307 12		
$(1.17 \times 10^3 \ 3)$	2496.7	16 8	8.57 23	av Eβ=418 <i>13</i>		
$(1.27 \times 10^3 \ 3)$	2396.2	0.9 4	10.68 <sup>1</sup> <i>u</i> 21	av Eβ=471 13		
$(1.45 \times 10^3 \ 3)$	2218.3	3.0 13	9.65 20	av Eβ=537 <i>13</i>		
$(1.89 \times 10^3 \ 3)$	1776.1	20 4	10.31 <sup>1</sup> <i>u</i> 10	av Eβ=734 <i>13</i>		

<sup>†</sup> Absolute intensity per 100 decays.
<sup>‡</sup> Existence of this branch is questionable.

# $\gamma(^{126}\text{Te})$

Iv normalization: The evaluators assume no  $\beta^-$  feedings to gs, 666.5(2<sup>+</sup>) and 1362.1(4<sup>+</sup>) levels, so Iv(666.5v)=Iv(695.0v)=100.

			$\gamma\gamma(\theta)$	data					
cascade	2	A <sub>2</sub>	197 A <sub>4</sub>	5Ba17	ca	scade	A <sub>2</sub>	<b>A</b> 4	
224-990 297-857 857-696	) 0. 7 0. 6 –0.	.13 5 .16 4 .04 2	-0.01 0.01 0.03	4 2 2	27 59 58	78-857 93-857 87-667	-0.10 6 -0.08 3 -0.08 2	0.10 5 0.03 3 -0.01 2	
${\rm E_{\gamma}}^{\dagger}$	Ι <sub>γ</sub> ‡&	E <sub>i</sub> (level)	${ m J}_i^\pi$	$\mathrm{E}_{f}$	$\mathbf{J}_{f}^{\pi}$	Mult. <sup>#</sup>	δ <sup>#</sup>	$\alpha^{a}$	Comments
148.7 <i>9</i> 208.6 <i>8</i>	0.4 2 0.5 2	2989.4 2974.3	(8 <sup>+</sup> ) 10 <sup>+</sup>	2839.7 2765.7	$(6)^+$ 8 <sup>+</sup>	E2		0.130 3	$E_{\gamma}$ , $I_{\gamma}$ : $E_{\gamma}$ and RI from $\gamma\gamma$ coin. $\alpha$ (K)=0.1049 20; $\alpha$ (L)=0.0199 4; $\alpha$ (M)=0.00408 9 $E_{\gamma}$ , $I_{\gamma}$ : $E_{\gamma}$ and RI from $\gamma\gamma$ coin.
223.9 7	1.4 <i>1</i>	2989.4	(8+)	2765.7	8+				
278.2 <i>3</i>	2.4 6	2496.7	7-	2218.3	5-	E2		0.0493	$\alpha(K)=0.0409$ 6; $\alpha(L)=0.00681$ 10; $\alpha(M)=0.001382$ 20
296.5 <i>3</i>	4.5 4	2514.7	5-	2218.3	5-	M1+E2	-7.0 7	0.0400	$\alpha(K)=0.03335; \alpha(L)=0.005398; \alpha(M)=0.00109216$ $\delta: -0.251 \text{ or } -5.4+20-55 \text{ in } \gamma\gamma(\theta) (1975Ba17).$
296.8	0.5 2	2811.6	(7-)	2514.7	5-				$E_{\gamma},I_{\gamma}$ : This transition was found from $\gamma\gamma$ coin. $E\gamma$ from the difference of the level energies assigned to the transition. RI from $\gamma\gamma$ coin (1975Ba17).
414.7 2	83.6 21	1776.1	6+	1361.5	4+	E2		0.0140 5	$\alpha(K)=0.01188 \ 17; \ \alpha(L)=0.001740 \ 25; \ \alpha(M)=0.000350 \ 5 \ \alpha(K)\exp=0.015 \ (19710r04). Obtained from ratio of  \alpha(K)\exp(414 \ 8\gamma) \ to \ \alpha(K)\exp(666 \ 3\gamma) \ (19710r04).$
415.3	1.0 3	2811.6	(7 <sup>-</sup> )	2396.2	6+				$E_{\gamma}$ , $I_{\gamma}$ : This transition was found from $\gamma\gamma$ coin. $E\gamma$ from the difference of the level energies assigned to the transition. RI from $\gamma\gamma$ coin (1975Ba17)
55633	172	3070.8	5-67-	2514.7	5-				
573 9 3	673	3070.8	$5^{-}67^{-}$	2496.7	7- 7-				
593.2.3	7.5.4	2811.6	$(7^{-})$	2218.3	, 5 <sup>-</sup>	E2		0.00511	
619.9 4	0.9 1	2396.2	6+	1776.1	6 <sup>+</sup>	M1(+E2)	-0.17 + 6 - 8	0.00011	
638.8.8	0.9 1	3450.4	$6^+.7^-$	2811.6	$(7^{-})$		0117 10 0		
656.3 6	2.2 1	3171.4	0,,	2514.7	5-				
666.5 3	100	666.5	2+	0.0	0+	E2		0.00378 6	$\alpha$ (K)=0.00324 5; $\alpha$ (L)=0.000429 6; $\alpha$ (M)=8.58×10 <sup>-5</sup> 12 $\alpha$ (K)exp=0.0034 (assumed as E2 (1971Or04)).
674.8 <i>3</i>	3.7 10	3171.4		2496.7	7-				
684.7 <i>10</i>	0.9	3450.4	$6^+, 7^-$	2765.7	8+				$E_{\gamma}$ , $I_{\gamma}$ : from 2010Fe02.
695.0 2	100	1361.5	4+	666.5	2+	E2		0.00340 5	$\alpha$ (K)=0.00292 5; $\alpha$ (L)=0.000384 6; $\alpha$ (M)=7.67×10 <sup>-5</sup> 11 E <sub><math>\gamma</math></sub> : from 1972Bu28. The authors of 1975Ba17 quoted E $\gamma$ =694.8 2

From ENSDF

				1	<sup>126</sup> Sb	$\beta^-$ decay (1	2.35 d)	975Ba17,197	2Bu28 (continued)
							$\gamma$ <sup>(126</sup> Te)	(continued)	
${\rm E_{\gamma}}^{\dagger}$	Ι <sub>γ</sub> ‡&	E <sub>i</sub> (level)	$\mathbf{J}_i^{\pi}$	$E_f$	$\mathbf{J}_f^{\pi}$	Mult. <sup>#</sup>	δ <b>#</b>	$\alpha^{a}$	Comments
					<u> </u>				in text from 1972SoZQ. However, there is no uncertainty of the E $\gamma$ in 1972SoZQ. I $\gamma$ : I $\gamma$ =129 7 (Sum 695 $\gamma$ and 697 $\gamma$ ) (1975Ba17). I $\gamma$ =100 from 1972Bu28, 1972SoZQ, 1969KIZZ. From the decay scheme and $\alpha$ , I $\gamma$ =100 is apparent and the uncertainty is negligibly small. $\alpha$ (K)exp(695 $\gamma$ +697 $\gamma$ )=0.0030 (1971Or04).
697.0 2	32 6	3193.7	9-	2496.7	7-	E2		0.00337	$E_{\gamma}$ : from 1972Bu28. The authors of 1975Ba17 quoted E $\gamma$ =696.7 <i>3</i> in text from 1972SoZQ. However, there is no uncertainty of the E $\gamma$ in 1972SoZQ. I <sub><math>\gamma</math></sub> : from 1969KIZZ.
720.7 <i>4</i> <sup>x</sup> 726 <sup>@</sup> 1 <sup>x</sup> 730.7 <sup>@</sup> 10	54.0 24 0.05 <sup>@</sup> 0.13 <sup>@</sup>	2496.7	7-	1776.1	6+	E1(+M2)	-0.01 3		
856.8 2 934 1 953 7 4	17.7 <i>9</i> 0.8	2218.3 3450.4 3450.4	5 <sup>-</sup> 6 <sup>+</sup> ,7 <sup>-</sup> 6 <sup>+</sup> 7 <sup>-</sup>	1361.5 2514.7 2496 7	4 <sup>+</sup> 5 <sup>-</sup> 7 <sup>-</sup>	E1+M2	+0.029 6		$E_{\gamma}$ , $I_{\gamma}$ : from 2010Fe02.
958.3 7	0.5 1	3473.0	0,7	2514.7	5-				
989.6 <i>3</i>	6.8 3	2765.7	8+	1776.1	6+	E2		$1.48 \times 10^{-3}$	
1036.2 12	1.00 5	2396.2	6+	1361.5	4+	E2			
1061.3 2	0.4	2837.4		1776.1	6+				$E_{\gamma}$ : from 1972Bu28. However, the authors of 1975Ba15 state
1064.4 15	0.9 6	2839.7	$(6)^{+}$	1776.1	6+				that they do not see the line in $\gamma\gamma$ com.
x1191 <sup>@</sup> 1	$0.27^{@}$								
1213.3 3	2.4 2	2989.4	$(8^{+})$	1776.1	6+	(E2)			
<sup>x</sup> 1290 <sup>@</sup> 1	0.23 <sup>@</sup>								
1476.9 9 <sup>x</sup> 1589 <sup>@</sup> 1	$0.28 \ 3$ $0.09^{@}$	2839.7	(6)+	1361.5	4+				

<sup>†</sup> from 1975Ba17, unless otherwise noted.

<sup>1</sup> From 1975Ba17, unless otherwise noted. <sup>#</sup> From 1975Ba17, unless otherwise noted. <sup>#</sup> From Adopted Levels, gammas. <sup>@</sup> From 2010Fe02 with <sup>126</sup>Sn/<sup>126</sup>Sb equilibrium source whitch include  $\gamma$ -rays from <sup>126</sup>Sb  $\beta^-$  decay (12.35 d) and <sup>126</sup>Sb $\beta^-$  decay (19.15 M). The  $\gamma$ -ray could belong to either, or both decays. In each case, RI's must be corrected.

<sup>&</sup> For absolute intensity per 100 decays, multiply by 0.996 1.

<sup>*a*</sup> Total theoretical internal conversion coefficients, calculated using the BrIcc code (2008Ki07) with Frozen orbital approximation based on  $\gamma$ -ray energies, assigned multipolarities, and mixing ratios, unless otherwise specified.

<sup>x</sup>  $\gamma$  ray not placed in level scheme.

From ENSDF

 $^{126}_{52}$ Te<sub>74</sub>-4

# <sup>126</sup>Sb $\beta$ <sup>-</sup> decay (12.35 d) 1975Ba17,1972Bu28

