

¹²⁶Cd β⁻ decay 1978Ga18

Type	Author	History	Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	H. Iimura, J. Katakura, S. Ohya		NDS 180, 1 (2022)	1-Oct-2021

Parent: ¹²⁶Cd: E=0.0; J^π=0⁺; T_{1/2}=0.514 s 8; Q(β⁻)=5554 5; %β⁻ decay=100.0

1975A111: on-line mass separation, measured total absorption γ spectra, deduced β strength functions.

1978Ga18: U(n,F) on-line mass separation, semi γ, γγ.

1987Sp09: on-line mass separation. Observed βγ. β observed with hyperpure Ge.

1986Go10: U(n,F) on-line mass separation, semi γ, β.

The decay scheme is that proposed by 1978Ga18 and is based on Eγ sums and γγ-coin.

¹²⁶In Levels

E(level)	J ^π	T _{1/2}	Comments
0.0	3 ⁽⁺⁾	1.53 s 1	J ^π : from Adopted Levels. T _{1/2} : from Adopted Levels.
260.09 7			
308.1? 6			May be a beta decaying level.
555.40 9			
585.45 23			
625.61 16			
688.23 8	1 ⁺		J ^π : log ft=4.0 1 from 0 ⁺ .

β⁻ radiations

E(decay)	E(level)	Iβ ^{-†}	Log ft	Comments
4798 36	688.23	85 6	4.0 1	av Eβ=2128.4 24 E(decay): from (β)(428γ) coincidence (1987Sp09). Uncertainty contains systematic error of 30 keV (1987Sp09). Iβ ⁻ : from I(γ+ce) balance. Log ft: much smaller than log ft value in this mass region.

† Absolute intensity per 100 decays.

γ(¹²⁶In)

I_γ normalization: No β feeding to g.s. and the conversion coefficient of E1, M1 or E2 for the 260 keV γ are assumed by the evaluators. Other: I(260γ per 100 decays)=79 20 (1986Go10).

E _γ [†]	I _γ [‡]	E _i (level)	J _i ^π	E _f	J _f ^π	Comments
62.93 20	1.6 3	688.23	1 ⁺	625.61		
102.8 3	1.2 4	688.23	1 ⁺	585.45		
260.09 9	100 4	260.09		0.0	3 ⁽⁺⁾	
277.4 5	0.6 2	585.45		308.1?		Coincidence data.
325.3 4	0.6 3	585.45		260.09		Coincidence data.
365.82 20	2.3 6	625.61		260.09		
428.11 6	83.7 28	688.23	1 ⁺	260.09		
555.40 9	4.8 6	555.40		0.0	3 ⁽⁺⁾	
585.6 5	0.9 3	585.45		0.0	3 ⁽⁺⁾	
^x 653.08 19	1.2 4					
688.23 10	5.9 4	688.23	1 ⁺	0.0	3 ⁽⁺⁾	

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

^{126}Cd β^- decay [1978Ga18](#) (continued)

$\gamma(^{126}\text{In})$ (continued)

† From [1978Ga18](#).

‡ For absolute intensity per 100 decays, multiply by 0.87 4.

^x γ ray not placed in level scheme.

$^{126}\text{Cd} \beta^- \text{ decay } 1978\text{Ga18}$

Decay Scheme

Intensities: Relative I_γ

Legend

- $I_\gamma < 2\% \times I_\gamma^{\text{max}}$
- $I_\gamma < 10\% \times I_\gamma^{\text{max}}$
- $I_\gamma > 10\% \times I_\gamma^{\text{max}}$
- Coincidence

