

$^9\text{Be}(^{238}\text{U},\text{F}\gamma)$  2016Re03

Type	Author	History	Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	H. Iimura, J. Katakura, S. Ohya		NDS 180, 1 (2022)	1-Oct-2021

$E(^{238}\text{U})=1.29$  GeV on a  $10\ \mu\text{m}$  thick Be target. Fragments separated with the VAMOS++ magnetic spectrometer and identified on an event-by-event basis using measurements of time-of-flight, magnetic rigidity, energy loss and total energy. Measured  $E\gamma$ ,  $I\gamma$ , recoil- $\gamma$ ,  $\gamma\gamma$  coincidences using the EXOGAM array. Deduced level energies,  $J^\pi$ ,  $B(\text{M1})/B(\text{E2})$  ratios. Comparison to large-scale, shell-model calculations.

 $^{126}\text{In}$  Levels

2016Re03 gives level energies relative to the lowest ( $9^-$ ) state, setting its excitation energy equal to zero.

E(level)	$J^\pi$ <sup>†</sup>	Comments
0+x	( $9^-$ )	
202+x	( $10^-$ )	
1067+x	( $11^-$ )	$B(\text{M1})/B(\text{E2})=0.0004$ 1 (2016Re03).
1325+x	( $12^-$ )	$B(\text{M1})/B(\text{E2})=0.008$ 2 (2016Re03).

<sup>†</sup> From 2016Re03 based on decay patterns, comparison to shell model calculations and systematics of the energy difference between ( $10^-$ ) and ( $9^-$ ) states in the In isotopes.

 $\gamma(^{126}\text{In})$ 

$E_\gamma$ <sup>†</sup>	$E_i(\text{level})$	$J_i^\pi$	$E_f$	$J_f^\pi$	Mult. <sup>‡</sup>	Comments
202	202+x	( $10^-$ )	0+x	( $9^-$ )	M1	
258	1325+x	( $12^-$ )	1067+x	( $11^-$ )	M1	$I\gamma(258\gamma)/I\gamma(1123\gamma)=1.1$ 3 from $B(\text{M1})/B(\text{E2})$ .
865	1067+x	( $11^-$ )	202+x	( $10^-$ )	M1	$I\gamma(865\gamma)/I\gamma(1067\gamma)=2.7$ 7 from $B(\text{M1})/B(\text{E2})$ .
1067	1067+x	( $11^-$ )	0+x	( $9^-$ )	E2	
1123	1325+x	( $12^-$ )	202+x	( $10^-$ )	E2	

<sup>†</sup> Deduced by the evaluators from level energies given by 2016Re03.

<sup>‡</sup> As experimental setup is only sensitive to states with lifetimes less than 2 ns, 2016Re03 assume E2 multipolarity for all  $\Delta J=2$  transitions. With the observation of crossover transitions, 2016Re03 assume  $\Delta J=1$  in the sequence of adjacent levels and assume such transitions are M1 which are favored over E2 for low-energy transitions.

$^9\text{Be}(^{238}\text{U},\text{F}\gamma)$  2016Re03Level Scheme