

$^{124}\text{Sn}(\alpha,3n\gamma)$ 1972Ke19

Type	Author	History Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	J. Katakura	NDS 112, 495 (2011)	1-Jan-2010

1972Ke19: E=26-37 MeV, enriched target 95%, excitation function, semi γ , $\gamma\gamma$ -coin, $\gamma(\theta)$.

1988Ar09: E=35-57 MeV, enriched target 96.9%, excitation function, γ -ray multiplicity.

 ^{125}Te Levels

E(level) [†]	J ^π [#]	T _{1/2} [‡]	Comments
0.0	1/2 ⁺	stable	
35.35 10	3/2 ⁺		
144.7 3	11/2 ⁻	57.40 d 15	
321.07 25	9/2 ⁻		
443.60 10	3/2 ⁺		
463.60 12	5/2 ⁺		
525.2 3	7/2 ⁻		
636.06 20	7/2 ⁺		
642.14 20	7/2 ⁺		
840.8 3	15/2 ⁽⁻⁾		
1191.67 23	(11/2 ⁺)		
1310.4 3			
1500.5 3	19/2 ⁽⁻⁾		
1570.1 3	(15/2 ⁺)		
1851.3 4	21/2 ⁽⁻⁾		
1851.3+x			Additional information 1.
2174.7 4			
2375.1 4	(19/2 ⁺)		
2554.7+x 3			
2570.1 5	(23/2 ⁺)		
2611.5+x 3			

[†] From a least-squares fit (by evaluators) to the E γ 's.

[‡] From Adopted Levels.

[#] From 1972Ke19 based on $\gamma(\theta)$ for levels above 840 keV. Pure Q transitions are assumed as E2 and D+Q, generally, as M1+E2. Levels below 840 keV are from Adopted Levels.

 $\gamma(^{125}\text{Te})$

E γ [†]	I γ [‡]	E _i (level)	J _i ^π	E _f	J _f ^π	Mult. [#]	Comments
(35.5 1)		35.35	3/2 ⁺	0.0	1/2 ⁺		
^x 160.4 @	1.1						
176.4 1	14	321.07	9/2 ⁻	144.7	11/2 ⁻	D+Q	A ₂ =-0.48 11, A ₄ =+0.21 14 (1972Ke19).
189.8 2	0.5	1500.5	19/2 ⁽⁻⁾	1310.4			
195.0 3	0.6	2570.1	(23/2 ⁺)	2375.1	(19/2 ⁺)		
^x 201.5	1.5						
204.1 2	0.7	525.2	7/2 ⁻	321.07	9/2 ⁻		
321.1 2	0.6	642.14	7/2 ⁺	321.07	9/2 ⁻		
^x 331.6 @	1.0						
350.7 2	48	1851.3	21/2 ⁽⁻⁾	1500.5	19/2 ⁽⁻⁾	D+Q	A ₂ =-0.42 6, A ₄ =+0.06 7 (1972Ke19).
378.5 2	16	1570.1	(15/2 ⁺)	1191.67	(11/2 ⁺)	(Q)	A ₂ =+0.29 1, A ₄ =-0.15 3 (1972Ke19).
380.6 2	3.4	525.2	7/2 ⁻	144.7	11/2 ⁻		A ₂ =-0.01 2, A ₄ =+0.02 1 (1972Ke19).
^x 391.0	2.3						A ₂ =-0.27 7, A ₄ =+0.15 9 (1972Ke19).

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

$^{124}\text{Sn}(\alpha, 3n\gamma)$ **1972Ke19 (continued)** $\gamma(^{125}\text{Te})$ (continued)

$E_\gamma^\dagger$	$I_\gamma^\ddagger$	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Mult. [#]	Comments
^x 412.7@	0.9						
428.4 1	3.8	463.60	5/2 ⁺	35.35	3/2 ⁺	(D)	$A_2=-0.37$ 7, $A_4=-0.18$ 10 (1972Ke19).
443.6 1	1.9	443.60	3/2 ⁺	0.0	1/2 ⁺		
463.0 2	1.9	463.60	5/2 ⁺	0.0	1/2 ⁺		$A_2=+0.45$ 2, $A_4=+0.23$ 4 (1972Ke19).
469.3 2	4	1310.4		840.8	15/2 ⁽⁻⁾		$A_2=+0.38$ 5, $A_4=+0.14$ 8 (1972Ke19).
523.7 2	10	2375.1	(19/2 ⁺)	1851.3	21/2 ⁽⁻⁾	D+Q	$A_2=-0.31$ 5, $A_4=+0.02$ 6 (1972Ke19).
549.6 3	1.9	1191.67	(11/2 ⁺)	642.14	7/2 ⁺		
555.6 2	18	1191.67	(11/2 ⁺)	636.06	7/2 ⁺	(Q)	$A_2=+0.31$ 4, $A_4=-0.04$ 6 (1972Ke19).
^x 566.4@	3						
600.7 2	<31	636.06	7/2 ⁺	35.35	3/2 ⁺		E_γ : Composite peak with γ from $^{116}\text{Sn}(\alpha, 2n\gamma)$. $A_2=+0.33$ 7, $A_4=+0.02$ 10 (1972Ke19).
606.8 2	4	642.14	7/2 ⁺	35.35	3/2 ⁺		$A_2=+0.30$ 6, $A_4=-0.05$ 10 for 605.8($^{116}\text{Sn}(\alpha, 2n)^{118}\text{Te}$)+606.8+615.0($^{116}\text{Sn}(\alpha, 2n)^{118}\text{Te}$) (1972Ke19).
659.9 2	94	1500.5	19/2 ⁽⁻⁾	840.8	15/2 ⁽⁻⁾	Q	$A_2=+0.35$ 2, $A_4=-0.08$ 4 (1972Ke19).
673.9 3	2.1	2174.7		1500.5	19/2 ⁽⁻⁾		
^x 679.6	2.1						$A_2=-0.15$ 10, $A_4=+0.05$ 13 (1972Ke19).
696.1 1	100	840.8	15/2 ⁽⁻⁾	144.7	11/2 ⁻	Q	$A_2=+0.28$ 7, $A_4=-0.30$ 15 (1972Ke19).
703.4 3	11	2554.7+x		1851.3+x		D(+Q)	$A_2=-0.62$ 8, $A_4=-0.16$ 9 (1972Ke19).
718.9 3	11	2570.1	(23/2 ⁺)	1851.3	21/2 ⁽⁻⁾	D	$A_2=-0.20$ 8, $A_4=+0.06$ 12 (1972Ke19).
^x 729.3	4						
760.2 3	7	2611.5+x		1851.3+x		(Q)	$A_2=+0.39$ 1 (1972Ke19).
^x 771.9	0.8						
^x 776.8	1.4						
^x 799.1	3.5					D+Q	$A_2=+0.34$ 13 (1972Ke19).
805.1 3	2.2	2375.1	(19/2 ⁺)	1570.1	(15/2 ⁺)		
^x 822.8	1.1						
^x 828.6	0.6						
^x 844.7	1.1						
864.6 3	1.8	2174.7		1310.4			
874.3 3	2.6	2375.1	(19/2 ⁺)	1500.5	19/2 ⁽⁻⁾		$A_2=+0.20$ 8 (1972Ke19).
^x 909.7	1.7						
^x 1014.8	1.9						
1047.1 3	3.6	1191.67	(11/2 ⁺)	144.7	11/2 ⁻		
^x 1088.2@	8						
^x 1107.7	4						
^x 1132.0	4						
1165.9 3	3	1310.4		144.7	11/2 ⁻		
^x 1264.9	1						
^x 1269.2	1						
^x 1272.9	1						
^x 1594.0	1						

[†] From 1972Ke19. Uncertainties of 0.1 keV for strong γ rays and 0.2-0.3 keV for weak or unresolved γ rays are assigned by the evaluators.

[‡] Relative to $I(696.1\gamma)=100$ at $E(\alpha)=31.5$ MeV.

[#] From $\gamma(\theta)$.

@ Assignment to $(\alpha, 3n\gamma)$ is uncertain.

^x γ ray not placed in level scheme.

