

$^{125}\text{Cd}$   $\beta^-$  decay (0.68 s) [1989Hu03](#),[1986Ho24](#)

Type	Author	History Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	J. Katakura	NDS 112, 495 (2011)	1-Jan-2010

Parent:  $^{125}\text{Cd}$ : E=0.0;  $J^\pi=(3/2^+)$ ;  $T_{1/2}=0.68$  s 4;  $Q(\beta^-)=7.12\times 10^3$  eV;  $\% \beta^-$  decay=100.0

Sources: U(n,F), E=fast, on-line mass ([1989Hu03](#))  $^{235}\text{U}$ (n,F), E=th; on-line mass ([1986Ho24](#)).

Measured:  $\gamma$  singles,  $\gamma\gamma$  coin ([1989Hu03](#),[1986Ho24](#));  $T_{1/2}$  ([1989Hu03](#)).

The decay scheme is that proposed by [1989Hu03](#). [1986Ho24](#) report decay only of the  $(3/2^+)$ , 0.65-s g.s.

 $^{125}\text{In}$  Levels

E(level) <sup>†</sup>	$J^\pi$ <sup>‡</sup>	$T_{1/2}$ <sup>‡</sup>	Comments
0.0	$9/2^+$	2.36 s 4	$\% \beta^- = 100$
360.12 <sup>#</sup> 9	$1/2^{(-)}$	12.2 s 2	$\% \beta^- = 100$ <a href="#">Additional information 1.</a>
796.46 10	$(3/2^-)$		
1099.49 3	$(5/2^+)$		
1219.72 10	$(1/2,3/2,5/2^-)$		
1588.54 14			
1810.40 13			
2147.18 10	$(5/2^+)$		
2349.45 14	$(1/2,3/2,5/2)$		
2381.30 11	$(1/2,3/2,5/2)$		
2497.46 11	$(1/2^+,3/2^+)$		
2585.06 14	$(1/2^+,3/2^+,5/2^+)$		
2641.22 16	$(1/2,3/2,5/2)$		

<sup>†</sup> From a least-squares fit to  $E\gamma$ 's by evaluators.

<sup>‡</sup> From Adopted Levels.

<sup>#</sup> From energy difference of g.s. transitions (1099 $\gamma$ , 2147 $\gamma$  and 2947 $\gamma$ ) and those competing  $\gamma$  cascades to 796 level, with  $E\gamma=436.25$  for the transition from 796 to 360. However, this is a different value, 287 keV 31, from  $\beta\gamma$ -coin experiments ([1987Sp09](#)).

 $\beta^-$  radiations

E(decay)	E(level)	$I\beta^-$ <sup>†</sup>	Log $ft$	Comments
$(4.48\times 10^3)$ 6)	2641.22	3.4 6	5.38 9	av $E\beta=1945$ 29
$(4.53\times 10^3)$ 6)	2585.06	9.4 9	4.96 6	av $E\beta=1971$ 29
$(4.62\times 10^3)$ 6)	2497.46	14.9 12	4.80 5	av $E\beta=2013$ 29 E(decay): 4357 38 ( <a href="#">1987Sp09</a> ).
$(4.74\times 10^3)$ 6)	2381.30	9.3 9	5.05 6	av $E\beta=2068$ 29
$(4.77\times 10^3)$ 6)	2349.45	7.1 7	5.18 6	av $E\beta=2083$ 29
$(4.97\times 10^3)$ 6)	2147.18	23.0 17	4.75 5	av $E\beta=2179$ 29
$(5.90\times 10^3)$ 6)	1219.72	4.7 7	5.77 8	av $E\beta=2620$ 29
$(6.02\times 10^3)$ 6)	1099.49	24.5 24	5.09 6	av $E\beta=2677$ 29
$(6.32\times 10^3)$ 6)	796.46	<4	>6.0	av $E\beta=2821$ 29
$(6.76\times 10^3)$ 6)	360.12	<6.0	>5.9	av $E\beta=3028$ 29 $I\beta^-$ : From assumption that $\log ft > 5.9$ from $(3/2^+)$ parent.

<sup>†</sup> Absolute intensity per 100 decays.

$^{125}\text{Cd} \beta^-$  decay (0.68 s) **1989Hu03,1986Ho24** (continued) $\gamma(^{125}\text{In})$ 

$I_\gamma$  normalization:  $\Sigma(I(\gamma+ce)$  to g.s. and 360 level)=97.3, assuming  $I\beta^- < 6.0$  to 360 level for expected  $\log ft > 5.9$ . No  $\beta^-$  transition, involving  $\Delta J=2$  is expected to the g.s.

$E_\gamma$ †	$I_\gamma$ †#	$E_i$ (level)	$J_i^\pi$	$E_f$	$J_f^\pi$	Comments
$^{x}267.88$ ‡ 25	1.5 5					
$^{x}294.38$ ‡ 15	1.4 3					
302.96 15	1.90 16	1099.49	(5/2 <sup>+</sup> )	796.46	(3/2 <sup>-</sup> )	
$^{x}361.10$ ‡ 25	0.69 19					
$^{x}369.23$ ‡ 15	0.85 18	1588.54		1219.72	(1/2,3/2,5/2 <sup>-</sup> )	
$^{x}389.45$ ‡ 15	2.3 5					
422.91 10	3.2 4	1219.72	(1/2,3/2,5/2 <sup>-</sup> )	796.46	(3/2 <sup>-</sup> )	
436.29 3	43 3	796.46	(3/2 <sup>-</sup> )	360.12	1/2 <sup>(-)</sup>	$I_\gamma$ : <b>1986Ho24</b> and <b>1986Go10</b> report absolute intensity of this transition as 12% 2. But their results seem to include both components of 0.65 s and 0.47 s decays.
$^{x}445.32$ ‡ 20	1.4 4					
538.9 ‡ 4	0.33 8	2349.45	(1/2,3/2,5/2)	1810.40		
$^{x}551.46$ 25	0.51 21					
$^{x}687.28$ ‡ 15	3.0 4	2497.46	(1/2 <sup>+</sup> ,3/2 <sup>+</sup> )	1810.40		
774.46 20	1.2 3	2585.06	(1/2 <sup>+</sup> ,3/2 <sup>+</sup> ,5/2 <sup>+</sup> )	1810.40		
792.43 20	3.3 13	1588.54		796.46	(3/2 <sup>-</sup> )	
$^{x}799.0$ 4	1.8 4					
859.71 5	6.79 6	1219.72	(1/2,3/2,5/2 <sup>-</sup> )	360.12	1/2 <sup>(-)</sup>	
996.78 10	4.8 7	2585.06	(1/2 <sup>+</sup> ,3/2 <sup>+</sup> ,5/2 <sup>+</sup> )	1588.54		$E_\gamma$ : 996.0 ( <b>1986Ho24</b> ).
1013.97 10	3.9 10	1810.40		796.46	(3/2 <sup>-</sup> )	
1099.48 3	25.6 21	1099.49	(5/2 <sup>+</sup> )	0.0	9/2 <sup>+</sup>	
$^{x}1249.75$ ‡ 25	2.2 4	2349.45	(1/2,3/2,5/2)	1099.49	(5/2 <sup>+</sup> )	
$^{x}1256.7$ ‡ 5	0.48 22					
$^{x}1275.15$ ‡ 5	0.9 4					
1349.9 5	1.8 5	2147.18	(5/2 <sup>+</sup> )	796.46	(3/2 <sup>-</sup> )	
1364.64 20	2.76 20	2585.06	(1/2 <sup>+</sup> ,3/2 <sup>+</sup> ,5/2 <sup>+</sup> )	1219.72	(1/2,3/2,5/2 <sup>-</sup> )	
$^{x}1421.67$ ‡ 15	1.5 4	2641.22	(1/2,3/2,5/2)	1219.72	(1/2,3/2,5/2 <sup>-</sup> )	
1552.88 15	2.9 4	2349.45	(1/2,3/2,5/2)	796.46	(3/2 <sup>-</sup> )	
1584.83 5	8.3 7	2381.30	(1/2,3/2,5/2)	796.46	(3/2 <sup>-</sup> )	
1700.96 5	12.4 9	2497.46	(1/2 <sup>+</sup> ,3/2 <sup>+</sup> )	796.46	(3/2 <sup>-</sup> )	
1788.38 20	0.95 25	2585.06	(1/2 <sup>+</sup> ,3/2 <sup>+</sup> ,5/2 <sup>+</sup> )	796.46	(3/2 <sup>-</sup> )	
1844.43 20	2.0 4	2641.22	(1/2,3/2,5/2)	796.46	(3/2 <sup>-</sup> )	$E_\gamma$ : deexciting $\gamma$ from 2641.7 level in 0.57-s decay in <b>1986Ho24</b> .
1989.50 15	1.9 3	2349.45	(1/2,3/2,5/2)	360.12	1/2 <sup>(-)</sup>	
2021.16 15	1.3 3	2381.30	(1/2,3/2,5/2)	360.12	1/2 <sup>(-)</sup>	$E_\gamma$ : 2020.4 ( <b>1986Ho24</b> ).
$^{x}2115.58$ ‡ 15	2.5 7					
$^{x}2133.25$ ‡ 15	3.9 6					
2147.19 10	21.9 13	2147.18	(5/2 <sup>+</sup> )	0.0	9/2 <sup>+</sup>	According to <b>1986Ho24</b> and <b>1989Hu03</b> , 2147-keV $\gamma$ -ray decays directly to the ground state, but <b>1987Sp09</b> suggest the $\gamma$ -ray as that decaying from 2507-keV level from $Q_\beta$ measurement. The 2507-keV level, however, is not reported in <b>1989Hu03</b> .
$^{x}2290.26$ 15	3.3 4					<b>1986Ho24</b> placed the $\gamma$ from 2290-keV level to ground state.

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

---

 $^{125}\text{Cd}$   $\beta^-$  decay (0.68 s) 1989Hu03,1986Ho24 (continued) $\gamma(^{125}\text{In})$  (continued)

<u><math>E_\gamma</math></u> <sup>†</sup>	<u><math>I_\gamma</math></u> <sup>†#</sup>	<u><math>E_i(\text{level})</math></u>
<sup>x</sup> 2380.24 25	1.6 3	
<sup>x</sup> 2938.7 <sup>‡</sup> 4	0.76 21	

<sup>†</sup> From 1989Hu03, unless otherwise noted.

<sup>‡</sup> Not given in 1986Ho24.

<sup>#</sup> For absolute intensity per 100 decays, multiply by 0.97 4.

<sup>x</sup>  $\gamma$  ray not placed in level scheme.

$^{125}\text{Cd} \beta^-$  decay (0.68 s) 1989Hu03,1986Ho24

Decay Scheme

Intensities:  $I_{(\gamma+ce)}$  per 100 parent decays

Legend

- $I_{\gamma} < 2\% \times I_{\gamma}^{max}$
- $I_{\gamma} < 10\% \times I_{\gamma}^{max}$
- $I_{\gamma} > 10\% \times I_{\gamma}^{max}$
- Coincidence

