

^{125}Cd β^- decay (0.48 s) 1989Hu03,1986Ho24

Type	Author	History Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	J. Katakura	NDS 112, 495 (2011)	1-Jan-2010

Parent: ^{125}Cd : E=x; $J^\pi=(11/2^-)$; $T_{1/2}=0.48$ s β ; $Q(\beta^-)=7.12 \times 10^3$ eV; % β^- decay=100.0Sources: U(n,F), E=fast, on-line mass ([1989Hu03](#)) ^{235}U (n,F), E=th; on-line mass ([1986Ho24](#)).Measured: γ -singles, $\gamma\gamma$ -coin ([1989Hu03](#),[1986Ho24](#)); $T_{1/2}$ ([1989Hu03](#)).The decay scheme is that proposed by [1989Hu03](#). [1986Ho24](#) report decay of $(3/2^+)$ state alone, with half-life 0.75 s. ^{125}In Levels

E(level) [†]	J^π [‡]	$T_{1/2}$ [‡]	Comments
0.0	$9/2^+$		
1027.40 4	$(11/2^+)$	2.36 s 4	% β^- =100
1173.064 24	$(13/2^+)$		
1563.88 6	$(9/2,11/2,13/2^+)$		
1577.60 5			
1909.70 4	$(13/2^+)$		
1958.08 6			
1970.90 7			
2064.60 5	$(9/2,11/2,13/2)$		
2101.40 8	$(9/2,11/2,13/2)$		
2217.83 5	$(9/2,11/2,13/2)$		
2248.50 18	$(9/2,11/2,13/2)$		
2252.65 11	$(9/2,11/2,13/2^+)$		
2378.16 4	$(9/2,11/2,13/2)$		
2392.594 25	$(9/2^-,11/2^-)$		
2411.48 9	$(9/2,11/2,13/2)$		
2572.76 6	$(9/2,11/2,13/2)$		
2616.37 3	$(9/2^-,11/2^-)$		
2631.58 9	$(9/2,11/2,13/2)$		
2640.29 3	$(9/2^-,11/2^-,13/2^-)$		
2641.67 5	$(9/2^-,11/2^-)$		
2802.12 15	$(9/2^-,11/2^-)$		
2818.80 9	$(9/2,11/2,13/2)$		
2863.31 20	$(9/2,11/2,13/2^+)$		

[†] From a least-squares fit to $E\gamma$'s by evaluators.[‡] From Adopted Levels. β^- radiationsLog ft values are calculated assuming X of parent energy value to be 350 keV.

E(decay)	E(level)	$I\beta^-$ [†]	Log ft	Comments
$(4.26 \times 10^3$ 6)	2863.31	1.58 22	5.61 9	av $E\beta=2005$ 56
$(4.30 \times 10^3$ 6)	2818.80	1.44 18	5.67 8	av $E\beta=2027$ 56
$(4.32 \times 10^3$ 6)	2802.12	6.9 6	5.00 7	av $E\beta=2035$ 56
$(4.48 \times 10^3$ 6)	2641.67	22.7 12	4.55 6	av $E\beta=2111$ 56
$(4.48 \times 10^3$ 6)	2640.29	9.6 6	4.92 6	av $E\beta=2111$ 56
				E(decay): 4580 130 from $\beta\gamma$ -coin (1987Sp09).
$(4.49 \times 10^3$ 6)	2631.58	1.31 15	5.79 8	av $E\beta=2115$ 56
$(4.50 \times 10^3$ 6)	2616.37	8.5 6	4.98 7	av $E\beta=2123$ 56

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

^{125}Cd β^- decay (0.48 s) 1989Hu03,1986Ho24 (continued) β^- radiations (continued)

E(decay)	E(level)	$I\beta^{-\dagger}$	Log f_t	Comments
(4.55×10 ³ 6)	2572.76	2.11 22	5.60 7	av $E\beta=2143$ 56
(4.71×10 ³ 6)	2411.48	1.52 19	5.81 8	av $E\beta=2220$ 56
(4.73×10 ³ 6)	2392.594	9.9 11	5.00 8	av $E\beta=2229$ 56
(4.74×10 ³ 6)	2378.16	1.8 4	5.75 11	av $E\beta=2236$ 56
(4.87×10 ³ 6)	2252.65	1.57 23	5.85 9	av $E\beta=2295$ 56
(4.87×10 ³ 6)	2248.50	2.1 6	5.73 14	av $E\beta=2297$ 56
(4.90×10 ³ 6)	2217.83	3.6 4	5.51 7	av $E\beta=2312$ 56
(5.02×10 ³ 6)	2101.40	3.6 4	5.55 7	av $E\beta=2367$ 56
				E(decay): 5010 110 from β - γ coin (1987Sp09).
(5.05×10 ³ 6)	2064.60	2.3 4	5.76 9	av $E\beta=2385$ 56
(5.16×10 ³ 6)	1958.08	0.25 24	6.8 5	av $E\beta=2435$ 56
(5.21×10 ³ 6)	1909.70	7.6 10	5.29 8	av $E\beta=2458$ 56
(5.54×10 ³ 6)	1577.60	0.4 3	6.7 4	av $E\beta=2616$ 56
(5.56×10 ³ 6)	1563.88	1.91 17	6.01 6	av $E\beta=2623$ 56
(6.09×10 ³ 6)	1027.40	4.9 18	5.77 17	av $E\beta=2877$ 56
(7.12×10 ³ 6)	0.0	<7.0	>5.9	av $E\beta=3365$ 56 $I\beta^-$: Assuming log $f_t>5.9$ from (11/2 ⁻) parent.

[†] Absolute intensity per 100 decays. $\gamma(^{125}\text{In})$ I γ normalization: $\Sigma(I(\gamma+ce))$ to g.s.)=96.5 35, assuming $I\beta^-<7\%$ to g.s. for expected log $f_t>5.9$.

E $_{\gamma}^{\dagger}$	I $_{\gamma}^{\dagger @}$	E $_i$ (level)	J $^{\pi}_i$	E $_f$	J $^{\pi}_f$
^x 132.83 [‡] 10	0.29 8				
146.38 20	2.4 4	1173.064	(13/2 ⁺)	1027.40	(11/2 ⁺)
153.78 20	0.25 11	2217.83	(9/2,11/2,13/2)	2064.60	(9/2,11/2,13/2)
160.03 [‡] 15	0.36 7	2378.16	(9/2,11/2,13/2)	2217.83	(9/2,11/2,13/2)
^x 164.28 [‡] 25	0.18 6				
191.88 15	2.1 4	2101.40	(9/2,11/2,13/2)	1909.70	(13/2 ⁺)
238.97 15	0.64 14	2616.37	(9/2 ⁻ ,11/2 ⁻)	2378.16	(9/2,11/2,13/2)
247.53 3	2.9 3	2640.29	(9/2 ⁻ ,11/2 ⁻ ,13/2 ⁻)	2392.594	(9/2 ⁻ ,11/2 ⁻)
262.15 3	2.04 22	2640.29	(9/2 ⁻ ,11/2 ⁻ ,13/2 ⁻)	2378.16	(9/2,11/2,13/2)
^x 276.9 [‡] 3	0.25 7				
281.55 [‡] 15	0.81 11	2252.65	(9/2,11/2,13/2 ⁺)	1970.90	
^x 286.83 [‡] 20	0.43 12				
313.47 20	0.69 14	2378.16	(9/2,11/2,13/2)	2064.60	(9/2,11/2,13/2)
^x 341.34 8	0.80 9				
^x 345.86 8	0.60 8				
^x 391.30 [‡] 15	0.32 7				
407.46 8	0.70 9	2378.16	(9/2,11/2,13/2)	1970.90	
^x 445.32 [‡] 20	0.38 10				
^x 453.70 [‡] 15	0.35 7				
^x 482.80 8	0.78 9				
^x 524.28 [‡] 15	0.37 7				
^x 529.66 [‡] 20	0.74 10	1563.88	(9/2,11/2,13/2 ⁺)	1027.40	(11/2 ⁺)
536.48 8	0.91 10				

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

^{125}Cd β^- decay (0.48 s) 1989Hu03,1986Ho24 (continued) **$\gamma(^{125}\text{In})$ (continued)**

E_γ^\dagger	$I_\gamma^\dagger @$	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Comments
$^{x}543.10 \pm 8$	0.78 9					
549.3 3	0.37 16	2802.12	(9/2 ⁻ ,11/2 ⁻)	2252.65	(9/2,11/2,13/2 ⁺)	
$^{x}555.10 \pm 10$	0.23 12					
$^{x}570.52 \pm 15$	0.52 9					
577.36 15	0.55 9	2641.67	(9/2 ⁻ ,11/2 ⁻)	2064.60	(9/2,11/2,13/2)	
$^{x}606.52 \pm 10$	0.74 11					
$^{x}626.81 \pm 25$	0.33 8					
$^{x}646.11 \pm 15$	0.89 12					
683.64 4	2.07 17	2641.67	(9/2 ⁻ ,11/2 ⁻)	1958.08		
$^{x}707.0 \pm 4$	0.26 8					
$^{x}716.00 \pm 15$	0.94 18					
721.88 8	1.36 14	2631.58	(9/2,11/2,13/2)	1909.70	(13/2 ⁺)	
730.73 8	1.78 19	2640.29	(9/2 ⁻ ,11/2 ⁻ ,13/2 ⁻)	1909.70	(13/2 ⁺)	
736.65 3	13.9 8	1909.70	(13/2 ⁺)	1173.064	(13/2 ⁺)	
$^{x}753.76 \pm 25$	0.27 11					E _γ : 754.3 and placed from 2819-keV level to 2064-keV level. (1986Ho24).
909.10 8	1.50 17	2818.80	(9/2,11/2,13/2)	1909.70	(13/2 ⁺)	
928.40 10	1.18 18	2101.40	(9/2,11/2,13/2)	1173.064	(13/2 ⁺)	
1027.53 8	28.4 17	1027.40	(11/2 ⁺)	0.0	9/2 ⁺	
1044.72 4	3.9 3	2217.83	(9/2,11/2,13/2)	1173.064	(13/2 ⁺)	
1064.26 8	2.19 24	2641.67	(9/2 ⁻ ,11/2 ⁻)	1577.60		
1075.44 25	0.97 17	2248.50	(9/2,11/2,13/2)	1173.064	(13/2 ⁺)	
$^{x}1106.5 \pm 5$	0.4 3					
$^{x}1113.2 \pm 5$	0.7 5					
1173.16 3	27.6 17	1173.064	(13/2 ⁺)	0.0	9/2 ⁺	
1205.19 ± 10	1.05 16	2378.16	(9/2,11/2,13/2)	1173.064	(13/2 ⁺)	
1219.08 15	1.8 4	2392.594	(9/2 ⁻ ,11/2 ⁻)	1173.064	(13/2 ⁺)	
1221.09 25	1.2 5	2248.50	(9/2,11/2,13/2)	1027.40	(11/2 ⁺)	
1238.41 8	1.58 18	2411.48	(9/2,11/2,13/2)	1173.064	(13/2 ⁺)	
1351.08 10	1.80 20	2378.16	(9/2,11/2,13/2)	1027.40	(11/2 ⁺)	
1364.64 20	1.10 20	2392.594	(9/2 ⁻ ,11/2 ⁻)	1027.40	(11/2 ⁺)	
1399.69 5	2.20 21	2572.76	(9/2,11/2,13/2)	1173.064	(13/2 ⁺)	
1467.35 3	3.32 23	2640.29	(9/2 ⁻ ,11/2 ⁻ ,13/2 ⁻)	1173.064	(13/2 ⁺)	
1563.86 8	1.08 11	1563.88	(9/2,11/2,13/2 ⁺)	0.0	9/2 ⁺	
1577.66 5	2.65 21	1577.60		0.0	9/2 ⁺	
1589.11 5	2.91 24	2616.37	(9/2 ⁻ ,11/2 ⁻)	1027.40	(11/2 ⁺)	
1613.74 8	12.09 13	2641.67	(9/2 ⁻ ,11/2 ⁻)	1027.40	(11/2 ⁺)	
$^{x}1719.3 \pm 3$	0.25 6					
1774.90 20	0.55 10	2802.12	(9/2 ⁻ ,11/2 ⁻)	1027.40	(11/2 ⁺)	
1835.88 25	0.35 6	2863.31	(9/2,11/2,13/2 ⁺)	1027.40	(11/2 ⁺)	
$^{x}1898.3 \pm 4$	0.38 20					
1909.94 15	0.74 11	1909.70	(13/2 ⁺)	0.0	9/2 ⁺	
1958.29 8	2.33 18	1958.08		0.0	9/2 ⁺	
1971.09 10	1.70 16	1970.90		0.0	9/2 ⁺	
2064.64 5	3.9 3	2064.60	(9/2,11/2,13/2)	0.0	9/2 ⁺	
2101.06 ± 15	0.48 12	2101.40	(9/2,11/2,13/2)	0.0	9/2 ⁺	
$^{x}2252.80 \pm 15$	1.20 12	2252.65	(9/2,11/2,13/2 ⁺)	0.0	9/2 ⁺	
$^{x}2360.80 \pm 25$	0.38 8					
2392.43 3	10.3 8	2392.594	(9/2 ⁻ ,11/2 ⁻)	0.0	9/2 ⁺	
2616.26 3	5.3 4	2616.37	(9/2 ⁻ ,11/2 ⁻)	0.0	9/2 ⁺	
2641.8 ± 3	6.7 ± 7	2641.67	(9/2 ⁻ ,11/2 ⁻)	0.0	9/2 ⁺	

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

$^{125}\text{Cd} \beta^-$ decay (0.48 s) 1989Hu03,1986Ho24 (continued)

$\gamma(^{125}\text{In})$ (continued)

E_γ^{\dagger}	$I_\gamma^{\dagger @}$	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π
2801.8 [#] 3	6.3 [#] 6	2802.12	(9/2 ⁻ ,11/2 ⁻)	0.0	9/2 ⁺
2863.3 [#] 3	1.3 [#] 2	2863.31	(9/2,11/2,13/2 ⁺)	0.0	9/2 ⁺

[†] From 1989Hu03, unless otherwise noted.

[‡] Not given in 1986Ho24.

[#] From 1989Hu03's drawing. Uncertainties of energy and intensity are assigned by the evaluators.

[@] For absolute intensity per 100 decays, multiply by 0.96 4.

^x γ ray not placed in level scheme.

$^{125}\text{Cd} \beta^-$ decay (0.48 s) 1989Hu03,1986Ho24

