

$^{124}\text{Ag} \beta^-$ decay **2005Ka45,2004KaZR**

Type	Author	History	Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	J. Katakura, Z. D. Wu		NDS 109, 1655 (2008)	1-Apr-2008

Parent: ^{124}Ag : E=0.0; $J^\pi \geq 2$; $T_{1/2} = 0.172$ s 5; $Q(\beta^-) = 10240$ SY; $\% \beta^-$ decay = 100.0

Parent: ^{124}Ag : E=0.0+x; $J^\pi \geq 7$; $Q(\beta^-) = 10240$ SY; $\% \beta^-$ decay = 100.0

The decay scheme is that proposed by 2005Ka45. 2004KaZR gives additional level scheme, but some of the transitions are inconsistent with those of 2005Ka45. The evaluators assume that the decay scheme in 2004KaZR is superseded by that in 2005Ka45. The authors suggested that the decay scheme is from combined decay of two isomers in ^{124}Ag .

2005Ka45,2004KaZR: U(p,spallation) E(p)=1 GeV, on-line ms; measured γ , γ - γ coin; directly populated ^{124}Cd levels by $^{238}\text{U}(\alpha, F\gamma)$ were also mentioned;

1996Ka40: U(p,spallation) E(p)=1 GeV, on-line ms, semi; measured γ .

1984Hi03: $^{235}\text{U}(n, F)$, on-line ms, semi; measured γ , $\gamma\gamma$ coin.

^{124}Cd Levels

E(level) [†]	J^π [‡]	$T_{1/2}$	E(level) [†]	J^π [‡]	E(level) [†]	J^π [‡]
0.0	0 ⁺	1.25 s 2	1915.3 5	(2 ⁺ , 3 ⁺ , 4 ⁺)	2560.1 6	(5 ⁻ , 6 ⁺)
612.8 4	(2 ⁺)		1924.5 5		2674.2 8	(8 ⁺)
1385.1 5	(4 ⁺)		1978.2 4	(1, 2 ⁺)	2681.8 6	(3 ⁻ , 4, 5, 6 ⁺)
1427.6 4	(2 ⁺)		2139.8 6	(6 ⁺)	2937.6 10	(10 ⁺)
1845.9 6	(5 ⁻)		2384.5 6	(7 ⁻)		

[†] From a least-squares fit to E_γ 's.

[‡] From Adopted Levels.

$\gamma(^{124}\text{Cd})$

E_γ [†]	I_γ [‡]	E_i (level)	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Comments
175.1	15 4	2560.1	(5 ⁻ , 6 ⁺)	2384.5	(7 ⁻)	I_γ : 18 5 for high-spin dominant decay.
244.4	6.5 19	2384.5	(7 ⁻)	2139.8	(6 ⁺)	I_γ : 7.9 26 for high-spin dominant decay.
263.4	0.44 15	2937.6	(10 ⁺)	2674.2	(8 ⁺)	
^x 296.8	0.63 21					
^x 416.0	1.6 5					I_γ : 16 6 for high-spin dominant decay.
460.8	39 11	1845.9	(5 ⁻)	1385.1	(4 ⁺)	I_γ : 39 11 for high-spin dominant decay.
487.7	1.6 5	1915.3	(2 ⁺ , 3 ⁺ , 4 ⁺)	1427.6	(2 ⁺)	
496.7	1.8 4	1924.5		1427.6	(2 ⁺)	
529.9	0.45 15	1915.3	(2 ⁺ , 3 ⁺ , 4 ⁺)	1385.1	(4 ⁺)	
534.4	5.1 15	2674.2	(8 ⁺)	2139.8	(6 ⁺)	I_γ : 10 4 for high-spin dominant decay.
538.3	18 5	2384.5	(7 ⁻)	1845.9	(5 ⁻)	I_γ : 24 7 for high-spin dominant decay.
^x 581.8	0.84 25					
^x 589.1	1.2 4					
592.9	0.33 12	1978.2	(1, 2 ⁺)	1385.1	(4 ⁺)	
^x 600.1	0.42 16					
^x 607.0	0.31 13					
612.8	100.0	612.8	(2 ⁺)	0.0	0 ⁺	E_γ : other: 613.2 2 (1984Hi03).
^x 618.8	3.0 9					
^x 636.8	0.34 13					
^x 657.4	0.40 14					
714.5	0.35 14	2560.1	(5 ⁻ , 6 ⁺)	1845.9	(5 ⁻)	
^x 750.0	2.2 6					
754.4	16 4	2139.8	(6 ⁺)	1385.1	(4 ⁺)	I_γ : 18 6 for high-spin dominant decay.
771.9	59 17	1385.1	(4 ⁺)	612.8	(2 ⁺)	I_γ : 65 19 for high-spin dominant decay.
^x 789.4	0.11 10					

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

$^{124}\text{Ag} \beta^-$ decay **2005Ka45,2004KaZR** (continued) $\gamma(^{124}\text{Cd})$ (continued)

E_γ †	I_γ ‡	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Comments
814.4	12 3	1427.6	(2 ⁺)	612.8	(2 ⁺)	I_γ : 9 4 for high-spin dominant decay.
835.9	7.2 20	2681.8	(3 ⁻ ,4,5,6 ⁺)	1845.9	(5 ⁻)	I_γ : 6 3 for high-spin dominant decay.
^x 855.3	1.6 5					
^x 877.1	0.9 3					
^x 925.3	0.78 25					
^x 948.6	0.52 19					
^x 1000.0	0.9 3					
^x 1009.1	0.28 11					
1175.2	0.26 11	2560.1	(5 ⁻ ,6 ⁺)	1385.1	(4 ⁺)	
^x 1193.4	0.45 15					
^x 1233.3	0.45 16					
^x 1238.2	0.17 8					
1296.7	0.9 3	2681.8	(3 ⁻ ,4,5,6 ⁺)	1385.1	(4 ⁺)	
1302.8	1.7 5	1915.3	(2 ⁺ ,3 ⁺ ,4 ⁺)	612.8	(2 ⁺)	
^x 1306.4	0.32 12					
1311.9	3.0 9	1924.5		612.8	(2 ⁺)	
^x 1317.8	0.20 9					
^x 1329.8	0.32 12					
1365.8	0.9 3	1978.2	(1,2 ⁺)	612.8	(2 ⁺)	
^x 1384.9	0.73 23					
^x 1399.4	1.0 3					
1427.9	2.3 7	1427.6	(2 ⁺)	0.0	0 ⁺	
^x 1475.0	0.18 8					
^x 1526.2	0.13 7					
^x 1568.0	0.17 11					
^x 1583.1	0.39 13					
^x 1587.7	0.25 10					
^x 1697.3	1.0 3					
^x 1729.1	1.7 5					
^x 1768.5	0.49 16					
^x 1816.8	0.15 7					
^x 1875.6	1.2 4					
^x 1905.7	0.54 17					
^x 1912.5	3.5 10					
^x 1963.3	1.8 5					
1977.9	0.54 17	1978.2	(1,2 ⁺)	0.0	0 ⁺	
^x 2036.8	0.37 13					
^x 2159.7	0.23 9					
^x 2175.6	0.20 8					
^x 2188.1	0.39 14					
^x 2227.1	0.49 16					
^x 2305.2	0.31 11					
^x 2334.4	0.44 14					
^x 2340.2	0.18 8					
^x 2393.6	0.31 11					
^x 2419.3	0.69 21					
^x 2433.8	0.27 10					
^x 2453.1	2.4 7					
^x 2467.9	0.29 10					
^x 2500.5	0.39 13					
^x 2514.6	0.66 20					
^x 2518.3	0.35 12					
^x 2526.0	0.49 16					
^x 2557.3	0.20 8					
^x 2562.3	0.31 11					
^x 2624.1	0.38 13					
^x 2827.8	0.20 8					

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

$^{124}\text{Ag} \beta^-$ decay **2005Ka45,2004KaZR** (continued) $\gamma(^{124}\text{Cd})$ (continued)

E_γ [†]	I_γ [‡]	$E_i(\text{level})$	E_γ [†]	I_γ [‡]	$E_i(\text{level})$	E_γ [†]	I_γ [‡]	$E_i(\text{level})$
^x 2889.7	0.33 11		^x 3343.8	0.10 7		^x 4121.3	0.35 11	
^x 2931.1	0.24 9		^x 3558.0	0.12 5		^x 4186.9	0.04 3	
^x 3018.5	0.36 12		^x 3609.7	0.11 5		^x 4234.8	0.05 3	
^x 3098.8	0.13 6		^x 3661.3	0.50 15		^x 4278.1	0.07 3	
^x 3121.0	0.08 5		^x 3800.7	0.07 4		^x 4345.6	0.24 8	
^x 3141.6	0.08 4		^x 3912.1	0.26 9		^x 4609.9	0.61 18	
^x 3234.2	0.18 7		^x 3938.8	0.26 9				
^x 3330.0	0.09 5		^x 4098.9	0.16 6				

[†] From **2005Ka45**, unless otherwise noted. Uncertainty of 0.5 keV is assumed by the evaluators.

[‡] From **2005Ka45** and **2004KaZR**. For low-spin states dominant decay.

^x γ ray not placed in level scheme.

$^{124}\text{Ag} \beta^-$ decay 2005Ka45,2004KaZR

Decay Scheme

Intensities: Relative I_γ

Legend

- $I_\gamma < 2\% \times I_\gamma^{\max}$
- $I_\gamma < 10\% \times I_\gamma^{\max}$
- $I_\gamma > 10\% \times I_\gamma^{\max}$
- Coincidence

