

**Coulomb excitation 1975An16,1989Ja13,1977Ku23**

Type	Author	History Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	Jun Chen	NDS 174, 1 (2021)	15-Apr-2021

**1975An16:** (<sup>12</sup>C,<sup>12</sup>C') E=37 MeV, ( $\alpha,\alpha'$ ) E=12 MeV at the Ioffe Physical-technical Institute.  $\gamma$  rays were detected with Ge(Li) detectors. Measured  $E\gamma$ ,  $I\gamma$ ,  $\gamma(\theta)$ , Doppler shift attenuation. Deduced levels,  $T_{1/2}$ ,  $\gamma$ -ray mixing ratios, transition strengths.

**1989Ja13:** (p,p') E=3.0-4.0 MeV proton beams were produced from the Variable Energy Cyclotron at Panjab University. Target was pure antimony.  $\gamma$  rays were detected with a HPGe detector. Measured  $E\gamma$ ,  $\gamma(\theta)$ ,  $\gamma$ -ray yields. Deduced levels, J,  $\pi$ ,  $\gamma$ -ray branching ratios, transition strengths. Comparisons with available data and theoretical calculations.

**1977Ku23:** ( $\alpha,\alpha'$ ) E=5.0-5.5 MeV, (p,p') E=2.4-4.5 MeV. Alpha and proton beams were produced from the 5.5 MeV Van de Graaff accelerator at the Bhabha Atomic Research Centre. Target was natural metallic antimony.  $\gamma$  rays were detected with a Ge(Li) detector. Measured  $E\gamma$ ,  $I\gamma$ ,  $\gamma(\theta)$ ,  $\gamma$ -ray yields. Deduced levels, J,  $\pi$ , transition strengths. Comparisons with available data.

**1966Ba45:** (<sup>16</sup>O,<sup>16</sup>O') E=45 MeV <sup>16</sup>O beam was produced from the tandem accelerator at the Niels Bohr Institute. Target was 100  $\mu\text{g}/\text{cm}^2$  98% enriched carbon-backed <sup>123</sup>Sb.  $\gamma$  rays were detected with a Ge(Li) detector and NaI(Tl) detectors. Measured  $E\gamma$ ,  $\gamma$ -ray yields. Deduced levels, J,  $\pi$ ,  $\gamma$ -ray transition strengths.

**1969Ga25:** (<sup>14</sup>N,<sup>14</sup>N') E=43.5 MeV at the cyclotron laboratory of the Physical-technical Institute (FTI).  $\gamma$  rays were detected with a Ge(Li) detector. Measured  $E\gamma$ ,  $I\gamma$ ,  $\gamma$ -ray yields. Deduced transition strengths.

Others: **1973Re08** (quoted B(E2) $\uparrow$  values from an unpublished work), **1964Al28**, **1961An07**.

<sup>123</sup>Sb Levels

E(level) <sup>†</sup>	J $\pi$ <sup>‡</sup>	T <sub>1/2</sub>	Comments
0.0	7/2 <sup>+</sup>	stable	
160.29 14	5/2 <sup>+</sup>	0.61 ns 4	B(E2) $\uparrow$ =0.0034 6 J <sup><math>\pi</math></sup> : spin=5/2 supported by $\gamma(\theta)$ in <b>1977Ku23</b> . T <sub>1/2</sub> : from Adopted Levels. B(E2) $\uparrow$ : unweighted average of 0.0043 7 ( <b>1966Ba45</b> ), 0.0023 8 ( <b>1969Ga25</b> ), 0.0046 4 ( <b>1977Ku23</b> ), and 0.0023 4 ( <b>1989Ja13</b> ). Other: 0.005 ( <b>1973Re08</b> ).
541.90 10	(3/2) <sup>+</sup>	5.3 ps +12-10	B(E2) $\uparrow$ =0.033 3 T <sub>1/2</sub> : from B(E2) $\uparrow$ =0.033 3, adopted branching=29% 3 for 541.9 $\gamma$ . B(E2) $\uparrow$ : weighted average of 0.040 3 ( <b>1975An16</b> ), 0.028 4 ( <b>1966Ba45</b> ), 0.028 6 ( <b>1969Ga25</b> ), and 0.030 3 ( <b>1989Ja13</b> ). Other: 0.036 ( <b>1973Re08</b> ).
1030.40 20	9/2 <sup>+</sup>	90 fs 35	B(E2) $\uparrow$ =0.073 4 J <sup><math>\pi</math></sup> : spin=11/2 from $\gamma(\theta)$ in <b>1977Ku23</b> is considered questionable by the evaluator. T <sub>1/2</sub> : from DSAM in <b>1975An16</b> . Value from Adopted Levels is 190 fs +16-14. B(E2) $\uparrow$ : weighted average of 0.073 9 ( <b>1975An16</b> ), 0.08 1 ( <b>1966Ba45</b> ), 0.090 20 ( <b>1969Ga25</b> ), 0.0700 45 ( <b>1977Ku23</b> ). Others: 0.0196 30 ( <b>1989Ja13</b> ) seems discrepant; 0.084 ( <b>1973Re08</b> ).
1088.61 20	11/2 <sup>+</sup>	0.45 ps 11	B(E2) $\uparrow$ =0.055 11 J <sup><math>\pi</math></sup> : spin=9/2 from $\gamma(\theta)$ in <b>1977Ku23</b> , but 11/2 from $\gamma(\theta)$ in <b>1989Ja13</b> . T <sub>1/2</sub> : from DSAM in <b>1975An16</b> . Value from Adopted Levels is 0.52 ps +5-4. B(E2) $\uparrow$ : unweighted average of 0.076 8 ( <b>1975An16</b> ), 0.07 1 ( <b>1966Ba45</b> ), 0.042 9 ( <b>1969Ga25</b> ), 0.0300 28 ( <b>1977Ku23</b> ). Other: 0.0206 30 ( <b>1989Ja13</b> ) is discrepant with all other values; 0.087 ( <b>1973Re08</b> ).
1182.0 4	(5/2,7/2)		B(E2) $\uparrow$ =0.0084 8 J <sup><math>\pi</math></sup> : spin=(5/2,7/2) from $\gamma(\theta)$ in <b>1977Ku23</b> . B(E2) $\uparrow$ : weighted average of 0.0085 8 (from 1181 $\gamma$ yield in <b>1977Ku23</b> ) and 0.008 2 (from yield of 1021.8 $\gamma$ in <b>1975An16</b> ).
1334.2 8	7/2 <sup>+</sup> ,9/2 <sup>+</sup>		B(E2) $\uparrow$ =0.0081 8 J <sup><math>\pi</math></sup> : spin=5/2 from $\gamma(\theta)$ in <b>1977Ku23</b> is inconsistent. B(E2) $\uparrow$ : from <b>1977Ku23</b> .

<sup>†</sup> From a least-squares fit to  $\gamma$ -ray energies, assuming  $\Delta E\gamma=1$  keV where not available.

<sup>‡</sup> From Adopted Levels. Assignments from this studies are given in comments where available.

**Coulomb excitation 1975An16,1989Ja13,1977Ku23 (continued)**

$\gamma(^{123}\text{Sb})$

Relative uncertainties of  $A_2$  in 1977Ku23, as deduced from their measured  $W(0^\circ)/W(90^\circ)$  ratios, are too small compared to those of the ratios. The evaluator has re-deduced those uncertainties of  $A_2$  from uncertainties of  $W(0^\circ)/W(90^\circ)$  and given them in comments.  
 Mixing ratios are reported in 1977Ku23 for  $160\gamma$ ,  $1030\gamma$ ,  $1089\gamma$ ,  $1173\gamma$ ,  $1183\gamma$ , and  $1335\gamma$  (given in comments), but considered questionable by the evaluator since they are significantly different from those in other studies and cannot be reproduced from their  $A_2$  values.

$E_i(\text{level})$	$J_i^\pi$	$E_\gamma^\dagger$	$I_\gamma^\ddagger$	$E_f$	$J_f^\pi$	Mult. <sup>#</sup>	$\delta$	Comments
160.29	$5/2^+$	160	100	0.0	$7/2^+$	M1+E2	-0.10 6	$E_\gamma$ : from 1966Ba45. Mult., $\delta$ : from $\gamma(\theta)$ in 1964AI28; M2 ruled out by RUL. Other: $\delta(Q/D)=+0.86$ 7 from $\gamma(\theta)$ in 1977Ku23. $A_2=-0.153$ 8 (1977Ku23); re-calculated by the evaluator: $-0.154 +166-176$ .
541.90	$(3/2)^+$	381.6 1	76 1	160.29	$5/2^+$			$I_\gamma$ : other: $I(542\gamma)/I(382\gamma)=36/64$ (1975An16).
1030.40	$9/2^+$	541.9 1 1030.4 2	24 1 100	0.0	$7/2^+$	[E2] M1+E2	-0.54 5	Mult.: from Adopted Gammas. $\delta$ : deduced (by the evaluator) from $B(E2)\uparrow=0.073$ 4 and adopted $T_{1/2}(1030)=190$ fs $+16-14$ . Other: from their $\gamma(\theta)$ data, 1977Ku23 report $\delta=\infty$ , which is considered questionable by the evaluator. $A_2=+0.170$ 11 (1977Ku23); re-calculated: $+0.171 +49-51$ .
1088.61	$11/2^+$	1088.6 2	100	0.0	$7/2^+$	E2		Mult.: from $\gamma(\theta)$ in 1989Ja13 and RUL. $A_2=+0.175$ 21 from 1989Ja13 giving $\Delta J=2$ is significantly different from $+0.015$ 2 from 1977Ku23 (re-calculated= $+0.015$ 14), from which 1977Ku23 report $\delta(Q/D)=+0.82$ 7.
1182.0	$(5/2,7/2)$	1021.8 3		160.29	$5/2^+$			$E_\gamma$ : from 1975An16; not reported in 1977Ku23, a $1024\gamma$ assigned to $^{121}\text{Sb}$ by the authors.
		1181	100	0.0	$7/2^+$	D+Q		$E_\gamma, I_\gamma$ : from 1977Ku23, not reported in 1975An16. Mult., $\delta$ : 1977Ku23 report $+0.39$ 4 for $J=5/2$ and $-0.039$ 4 for $J=7/2$ from $\gamma(\theta)$ . $A_2=-0.101$ 15 (1977Ku23); re-calculated: $-0.101 +59-62$ .
1334.2	$7/2^+,9/2^+$	1173	42 1	160.29	$5/2^+$	D+Q		$E_\gamma, I_\gamma, \text{Mult.}$ : from 1977Ku23, with Mult from $\gamma(\theta)$ . 1977Ku23 report $\delta(Q/D)=-0.47$ 5 for $J=5/2$ . $A_2=+0.023$ 3 (1977Ku23); re-calculated: $+0.023 +65-69$ .
		1335	58 1	0.0	$7/2^+$	D+Q		$E_\gamma, I_\gamma, \text{Mult.}$ : from 1977Ku23, with Mult from $\gamma(\theta)$ . 1977Ku23 report $\delta(Q/D)=-0.17$ 2 for $J=5/2$ . $A_2=+0.120$ 18 (1977Ku23); re-calculated: $+0.120 +67-72$ .

† From 1975An16, unless otherwise noted.  
 ‡ From 1977Ku23.  
 # From  $\gamma(\theta)$  in 1977Ku23, unless otherwise noted.

**Coulomb excitation 1975An16,1989Ja13,1977Ku23****Level Scheme**

Intensities: % photon branching from each level

