

^{123}Sb IT decay (214 ns) 2009Wa02,2008Jo03

Type	Author	History Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	Jun Chen	NDS 174, 1 (2021)	15-Apr-2021

Parent: ^{123}Sb : $E=2238.6$ 5; $J^\pi=(19/2^-)$; $T_{1/2}=214$ ns 3; %IT decay=100.0

^{123}Sb - J^π , $T_{1/2}$: From Adopted Levels. Adopted $T_{1/2}$ is from $\gamma(t)$ in 2008Jo03.

2009Wa02: ^{123}Sb isomers were produced in two experiments: $^{122}\text{Sn}(^7\text{Li},\alpha 2n\gamma)$ $E=54$ MeV ^7Li beam from the 14UD Pelletron at the Australian National University on a target of 3.5 mg/cm² enriched ^{122}Sn . γ rays were detected with the CAESAR array of six Ge detectors with BGO anti-Compton shields and two LEPS detectors; conversion electrons were detected with a cooled Si(Li) detector. Measured E_γ , I_γ , $E(\text{ce})$, $I(\text{ce})$, $\gamma\gamma$ -coin, $\gamma\gamma(\theta)$, $\gamma(t)$, $\gamma\gamma(t)$. The second experiment Yb,Lu,W,Os(^{136}Xe ,X) with $E=6.0$ - 6.2 MeV/nucleon ^{136}Xe beam from ATLAS facility at Argonne. γ rays were detected with the GAMMASPHERE array of 100 Compton-suppressed Ge detectors. Measured E_γ , I_γ , $\gamma\gamma$ -coin. Deduced levels, J , π , isomer $T_{1/2}$, conversion coefficients, γ -ray multipolarities, mixing ratios, branching ratios, transition strengths. Comparisons with theoretical calculations. Systematics of neighboring Sb isotopes.

2008Jo03: ^{123}Sb isomers were produced by $^{27}\text{Al}(^{178}\text{Hf},X)$ with $E=1150$ MeV beam provided by ATLAS facility at Argonne on a ^{27}Al frame supporting a ^{208}Pb target for other experiments. γ rays were detected with the GAMMASPHERE array of 101 Compton-suppressed HPGe detectors. Measured E_γ , I_γ , $\gamma\gamma$ -coin, $\gamma\gamma(\theta)$, $\gamma(t)$, $\gamma\gamma(t)$. Deduced levels, J , π , isomer half-lives, γ -ray multipolarities, mixing ratios. Pulsed beam with short pulses of ≈ 0.5 ns width and separated by 82.5 ns.

 ^{123}Sb Levels

$E(\text{level})^\dagger$	$J^\pi^\ddagger$	$T_{1/2}^\#$	Comments
0.0	$7/2^+$		
160.0 4	$5/2^+$		
1030.2 3	$9/2^+$		
1088.58 23	$11/2^+$	0.52 ps +5-4	
1260.6 4	$(9/2^+)$		
1656.14 22	$11/2^-$		
2037.6 3	$(15/2)^-$	37.3 ns 8	$T_{1/2}$: from $\gamma\gamma(t)$ (2009Wa02). Other: 37 ns 4 from $\gamma\gamma(t)$ in 2008Jo03.
2238.6 5	$(19/2^-)$	214 ns 3	$T_{1/2}$: from $\gamma\gamma(t)$ (2009Wa02). Other: 190 ns 30 from $\gamma(t)$ in 2008Jo03.

[†] From a least-squares fit to γ -ray energies, assuming $\Delta E_\gamma=0.3$ keV where not given.

[‡] From Adopted Levels. The same assignments are proposed by 2008Jo03 and/or 2009Wa02 based on $\gamma\gamma(\theta)$, γ -ray intensity balance, analog states in ^{121}Sb , and known assignments for low-lying states, except that these assignments are placed inside parenthesis by the evaluator if there is no firm evidence from other studies.

[#] From Adopted Levels. Values from this study are indicated in comments.

$\gamma(^{123}\text{Sb})$

I γ normalization: From $\Sigma I(\gamma + \text{ce to g.s.}) = 100$. I($\gamma + \text{ce}$) intensity is not balanced at some levels, probably due to unobserved transitions. The decay scheme is thus considered incomplete by the evaluator and the normalization factor is taken as estimated.

E_γ ‡	I_γ ‡&	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Mult. @	δ @	α †	Comments
160.3 5	25 3	160.0	5/2 ⁺	0.0	7/2 ⁺	M1+E2	0.078 10	0.167 3	$\alpha(\text{K})=0.1440$ 24; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.0185$ 3; $\alpha(\text{M})=0.00366$ 7 $\alpha(\text{N})=0.000705$ 12; $\alpha(\text{O})=6.94 \times 10^{-5}$ 12 E_γ : other: 160.3 (2009Wa02). $\alpha(\text{K})=0.1152$ 18; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.0216$ 4; $\alpha(\text{M})=0.00436$ 7 $\alpha(\text{N})=0.000813$ 13; $\alpha(\text{O})=6.86 \times 10^{-5}$ 11 $B(\text{E}2)(\text{W.u.})=0.1943$ 34 E_γ : others: 200.4 (2009Wa02), 201.0 (2007Ju06). $B(\text{E}2)(\text{W.u.})=0.0486$ 12 $\alpha(\text{K})=0.01460$ 21; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.00214$ 3; $\alpha(\text{M})=0.000427$ 7 $\alpha(\text{N})=8.10 \times 10^{-5}$ 12; $\alpha(\text{O})=7.43 \times 10^{-6}$ 11 E_γ : others: 381.6 (2009Wa02), 381.7 (2007Ju06). Mult.: (382 γ)(626 γ)(θ): $A_2=-0.045$ 7, $A_4=-0.003$ 10 gives $\delta(\text{O/Q})=-0.07$ 2 in 2009Wa02; but M2 and M3 ruled out by RUL. E_γ : other: 395.6 (2009Wa02). E_γ : other: 567.4 (2009Wa02). $\alpha(\text{K})=0.001314$ 19; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.0001575$ 23; $\alpha(\text{M})=3.09 \times 10^{-5}$ 5 $\alpha(\text{N})=5.96 \times 10^{-6}$ 9; $\alpha(\text{O})=5.88 \times 10^{-7}$ 9 E_γ : other: 625.7 (2009Wa02). Mult.: D from $\gamma\gamma(\theta)$ in 2009Wa02. Additional information 1.
201.0 4	104 18	2238.6	(19/2 ⁻)	2037.6	(15/2 ⁻)	[E2]		0.1420 23	
381.7 4	114 15	2037.6	(15/2 ⁻)	1656.14	11/2 ⁻	E2		0.01725	
396.0 5	29 3	1656.14	11/2 ⁻	1260.6	(9/2 ⁺)				
567.7 4	14 3	1656.14	11/2 ⁻	1088.58	11/2 ⁺				
626.1 4	94 7	1656.14	11/2 ⁻	1030.2	9/2 ⁺	(E1)		1.51 $\times 10^{-3}$	
949.0#	1.8 5	2037.6	(15/2 ⁻)	1088.58	11/2 ⁺	[M2]		0.00475	$B(\text{M}2)(\text{W.u.})=6.5 \times 10^{-4}$ +22-19 $\alpha(\text{K})=0.00410$ 6; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.000518$ 8; $\alpha(\text{M})=0.0001026$ 15 $\alpha(\text{N})=1.98 \times 10^{-5}$ 3; $\alpha(\text{O})=1.97 \times 10^{-6}$ 3 I_γ : from I(381.7 γ)=114 15 (2008Jo03) and I(949.0 γ /381.6 γ)=1.5 4/95.9 24 (2009Wa02). $\alpha(\text{K})=0.00241$ 4; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.000326$ 5; $\alpha(\text{M})=6.48 \times 10^{-5}$ 9 $\alpha(\text{N})=1.241 \times 10^{-5}$ 18; $\alpha(\text{O})=1.191 \times 10^{-6}$ 17 I_γ : from I(381.7 γ)=114 15 (2008Jo03) and I(1007.3 γ /381.6 γ)=2.5 4/95.9 24 (2009Wa02). $\alpha(\text{K})=0.001316$ 21; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.0001591$ 25; $\alpha(\text{M})=3.13 \times 10^{-5}$ 5 $\alpha(\text{N})=6.05 \times 10^{-6}$ 10; $\alpha(\text{O})=6.03 \times 10^{-7}$ 10 E_γ : other: 1030.2 (2009Wa02). $B(\text{E}2)(\text{W.u.})=19.6$ 11
1007.3#	3.0 6	2037.6	(15/2 ⁻)	1030.2	9/2 ⁺	[E3]		0.00281	
1030.3 4	100 8	1030.2	9/2 ⁺	0.0	7/2 ⁺	M1+E2	-0.54 5	1.51 $\times 10^{-3}$ 2	
1088.6 3	14 3	1088.58	11/2 ⁺	0.0	7/2 ⁺	E2		1.13 $\times 10^{-3}$	

¹²³Sb IT decay (214 ns) 2009Wa02,2008Jo03 (continued)

γ(¹²³Sb) (continued)

<u>E_γ[‡]</u>	<u>I_γ^{‡&}</u>	<u>E_i(level)</u>	<u>J_i^π</u>	<u>E_f</u>	<u>J_f^π</u>	<u>Mult.[@]</u>	<u>α[†]</u>	<u>Comments</u>
								α(K)=0.000984 14; α(L)=0.0001212 17; α(M)=2.39×10 ⁻⁵ 4 α(N)=4.60×10 ⁻⁶ 7; α(O)=4.53×10 ⁻⁷ 7 E _γ : other: 1088.5 (2009Wa02). I _γ : estimated by the evaluator from intensity balance. Mult.: Q from γγ(θ) in 2009Wa02. E _γ : other: 1100.1 (2009Wa02). E _γ : other: 1260.3 (2009Wa02).
1100.9 5	18 4	1260.6	(9/2 ⁺)	160.0	5/2 ⁺			
1260.9 7	7 2	1260.6	(9/2 ⁺)	0.0	7/2 ⁺			
1655.9 [#]		1656.14	11/2 ⁻	0.0	7/2 ⁺	[M2]	1.25×10 ⁻³	α(K)=0.001036 15; α(L)=0.0001262 18; α(M)=2.49×10 ⁻⁵ 4 α(N)=4.81×10 ⁻⁶ 7; α(O)=4.81×10 ⁻⁷ 7; α(IPF)=5.73×10 ⁻⁵ 8

[†] Additional information 2.

[‡] From 2008Jo03, unless otherwise noted. Intensities are relative within the band from 2008Jo03.

[#] From 2009Wa02.

[@] From Adopted Gammas. Assignments and arguments from this study are given in comments, where available.

[&] For absolute intensity per 100 decays, multiply by ≈0.7.

^{123}Sb IT decay (214 ns) 2009Wa02,2008Jo03**Decay Scheme****Legend**

Intensities: $I_{(\gamma+ce)}$ per 100 parent decays
 $\%IT=100.0$

$\longrightarrow$ $I_{\gamma} < 2\% \times I_{\gamma}^{max}$
 $\longrightarrow$ $I_{\gamma} < 10\% \times I_{\gamma}^{max}$
 $\longrightarrow$ $I_{\gamma} > 10\% \times I_{\gamma}^{max}$

