## $^{122}$ Sn( $^{7}$ Li, $\alpha$ 2n $\gamma$ ) 2009Wa02

		History	
Туре	Author	Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	Jun Chen	NDS 174, 1 (2021)	15-Apr-2021

Data for decays of the isomers populated in this reaction (2009Wa02, 2007Ju06) are also presented in <sup>123</sup>Sb IT decay.

2009Wa02: E=54 MeV <sup>7</sup>Li beam was produced from the 14UD Pelletron at the Australian National University. Target was 3.5 mg/cm<sup>2</sup> enriched <sup>122</sup>Sn.  $\gamma$  rays were detected with the CAESAR array of six Ge detectors with BGO anti-Compton shields and two LEPS detectors; conversion electrons were detected with a cooled Si(Li) detector. Measured E $\gamma$ , I $\gamma$ , E(ce), I(ce),  $\gamma\gamma$ -coin,  $\gamma\gamma(\theta)$ ,  $\gamma(t)$ ,  $\gamma\gamma(t)$ . Deduced levels, J,  $\pi$ , isomer T<sub>1/2</sub>, conversion coefficients,  $\gamma$ -ray multipolarities, mixing ratios, branching ratios, transition strengths. Comparisons with theoretical calculations. Systematics of neighboring Sb isotopes. 2009Wa02 also performed a second experiment on <sup>123</sup>Sb isomers using Yb,Lu,W,Os(<sup>136</sup>Xe,X) with E=6.0-6.2 MeV/nucleon at ANL. See more details in <sup>123</sup>Sb IT decay.

1985Pi02: E=27 MeV <sup>7</sup>Li beam was produced from the Stony Brook FN tandem accelerator. Target was  $\approx 10 \text{ mg/cm}^2$  isotopically enriched <sup>122</sup>Sn.  $\gamma$  rays were detected with coaxial Ge(Li) detectors. Measured E $\gamma$ ,  $\gamma\gamma$ -coin,  $\gamma(\theta)$ . Deduced levels. Additional information 1.

2007Ju06: E=35 MeV beam was provided by 14UD Pelletron accelerator at the Australian National University. Target was 3.5 mg/cm<sup>2</sup>  $^{122}$ Sn.  $\gamma$  rays were detected with CAESAR Ge detector array and three Compton-suppressed Ge detectors. Measured E $\gamma$ , I $\gamma$ ,  $\gamma\gamma$ -coin,  $\gamma(t)$  using pulsed beam. Deduced half-life of 2614 level.

<sup>123</sup> Sb	Levels
30	Levels

E(level) <sup>†</sup>	$J^{\pi \ddagger}$	T <sub>1/2</sub>	Comments
0.0	7/2+		
160.20 21	5/2+		
1030.20 21	9/2+		
1088.52 21	$11/2^{+}$		
1260.31 20	9/2+		
1337.41 24	9/2+		
1655.91 <i>18</i>	$11/2^{-}$		
1773.4 11	(11/2)		E(level), $J^{\pi}$ : level proposed in 1985Pi02 only.
2037.49 23	$15/2^{-}$	37.3 ns 8	$T_{1/2}$ : from $\gamma\gamma(t)$ (2009Wa02).
2044.1 3	$15/2^{+}$		
2237.8 <i>3</i>	$(19/2^{-})$	214 ns 3	$T_{1/2}$ : from $\gamma\gamma(t)$ (2009Wa02).
2338.3 <i>3</i>	$(15/2^+)$		
2385.4 <i>3</i>	$(17/2, 19/2)^{-}$		
2485.9 <i>3</i>	19/2+	0.7 ns 2	$T_{1/2}$ : from centroid-shift analysis (2009Wa02).
2613.4 4	23/2+	65 µs 1	T <sub>1/2</sub> : from summed double gated time spectra for 127-442-956-1089 cascade (2009Wa02). Other: 66 $\mu$ s 4 from 2007Ju06 (pulsed beam timing).

<sup>†</sup> From a least-squares fit to  $\gamma$ -ray energies, assuming  $\Delta E \gamma = 0.3$  keV, except for 436 $\gamma$  where 1 keV is assumed in the fitting.

<sup>‡</sup> Proposed by 2009Wa02 based on  $\gamma\gamma(\theta)$ ,  $\gamma$ -decay pattern, analog states in <sup>121</sup>Sb, and known assignments for low-lying states, unless otherwise noted. When considered in Adopted Levels, these assignments are placed inside parenthesis by the evaluator if there is no firm evidence from other studies.

## $\gamma(^{123}\text{Sb})$

$E_i$ (level)	$\mathbf{J}_i^{\pi}$	$E_{\gamma}^{\ddagger}$	$\mathbf{E}_{f}$	$\mathbf{J}_f^{\pi}$	Mult.#
160.20	$5/2^{+}$	160.2	0.0	$7/2^{+}$	
1030.20	$9/2^{+}$	1030.2	0.0	$7/2^+$	
1088.52	$11/2^{+}$	1088.5	0.0	$7/2^+$	Q
1260.31	$9/2^{+}$	1100.1	160.20	$5/2^{+}$	
		1260.3	0.0	$7/2^{+}$	
1337.41	9/2+	1177.2	160.20	$5/2^{+}$	

				<sup>122</sup> Sn( <sup>7</sup> L	i,α <b>2n</b> γ) <b>20</b>	09Wa02 (c	ontinued)	
					$\gamma(^{123}\text{Sb})$ (c	ontinued)		
E <sub>i</sub> (level)	$\mathbf{J}_i^\pi$	Eγ <sup>‡</sup>	$I_{\gamma}^{\ddagger}$	$E_f$	$\mathrm{J}_f^\pi$	Mult. <sup>#</sup>	$\alpha^{\dagger}$	Comments
1337.41	9/2+	1337.4		0.0	7/2+	D		Mult.: from 1985Pi02 based on $\gamma(\theta) = -0.31.20$
1655.91	11/2-	395.6 567.4 625.7		1260.31 1088.52 1030.20	9/2 <sup>+</sup> 11/2 <sup>+</sup> 9/2 <sup>+</sup>	D		Mult.: E2 in 2009Wa02 could be a misprint and it should be E1 instead from their proposed level scheme.
1773.4	(11/2)	1655.9 436		0.0 1337.41	7/2 <sup>+</sup> 9/2 <sup>+</sup>	[M2] (D)	1.25×10 <sup>-3</sup>	E <sub>γ</sub> : from 1985Pi02 only, seen in coincidence with 1177γ. Mult.: from 1985Pi02 based on $\gamma(\theta)$ .
2037.49	15/2-	381.6	95.9 24	1655.91	11/2-	E2	0.01727	E <sub><math>\gamma</math></sub> : other: 381.7 (2007Ju06). Mult.: (382 $\gamma$ )(626 $\gamma$ )( $\theta$ ): A <sub>2</sub> =-0.045 7, A <sub>4</sub> =-0.003 <i>10</i> gives $\delta$ (O/Q)=-0.07 2 in 2009Wa02; but M2 and M3 ruled out by RUL.
2044.1	15/2+	949.0 1007.3	1.5 <i>4</i> 2.5 <i>4</i>	1088.52 1030.20	11/2 <sup>+</sup> 9/2 <sup>+</sup>	[M2] [E3]	0.00475 0.00281	
2044.1 2237.8 2338.3	$(19/2^{-})$ $(15/2^{+})$	955.6 200.4 1249.7	100	1088.52 2037.49 1088.52	$11/2^{+}$ $15/2^{-}$ $11/2^{+}$	Q [E2]	0.1435	E <sub>γ</sub> : other: 201.0 (2007Ju06).
2385.4	(17/2,19/2)-	147.5		2237.8	(19/2 <sup>-</sup> )	D		Mult.: M1 or E1 from $\alpha(\exp)=0.2 \ 2 \ (2009Wa02).$
2485.9	19/2+	347.9 100.4	1.4 <i>1</i>	2037.49 2385.4	15/2 <sup>-</sup> (17/2,19/2) <sup>-</sup>	E1	0.187	E <sub>γ</sub> : from figure 1 of 2009Wa02, 100.5 in table II. Mult.: from $\alpha(\exp)=0.1 \ 2$ in 2009Wa02.
		147.6	1.6 2	2338.3	$(15/2^+)$	[E2]	0.416	2007 (1402)
		441.7	97 <i>3</i>	2044.1	15/2+	E2	0.01111	E <sub>γ</sub> : other: 441.9 (2007Ju06). Mult.: Q from $\gamma\gamma(\theta)$ ; M2 ruled out by RUL.
2613.4	23/2+	127.4	97.4 11	2485.9	19/2+	(E2)	0.699	E <sub>γ</sub> : other: 127.6 (2007Ju06). Mult.: $\delta(O/Q)$ =+0.01 6 from (127γ)(442γ)(θ)+(127γ)(956 γ)(θ)+(127γ)(1089γ)(θ): A <sub>2</sub> =+0.106 25, A <sub>4</sub> =+0.006 36 (2009Wa02); M3 ruled out by RUL; E2 preferred since M2 would require a large B(M2).
		375.7	2.6 6	2237.8	$(19/2^{-})$	[M2]	0.0671	

<sup>†</sup> Additional information 2. <sup>‡</sup> From 2009Wa02.

<sup>#</sup> From analysis of  $\gamma\gamma(\theta)$  in 2009Wa02, unless otherwise noted. The evaluator has replaced E2 from 2009Wa02 with Q and E1 with D, since magnetic or electric nature of a transition cannot be determined based on  $\gamma\gamma(\theta)$ . Assignments in square brackets are assumed by 2009Wa02, without any experimental evidence.

## $^{122}$ Sn( $^{7}$ Li, $\alpha$ 2n $\gamma$ ) 2009Wa02

Level Scheme

Intensities: % photon branching from each level

