¹²³Cd β⁻ decay (2.10 s) 1989Hu03,1986Ho24

		History	
Туре	Author	Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	Jun Chen	NDS 174, 1 (2021)	15-Apr-2021

Parent: ¹²³Cd: E=0.0; $J^{\pi}=3/2^{(+)}$; $T_{1/2}=2.10 \text{ s} 3$; $Q(\beta^{-})=6015 20$; $\%\beta^{-}$ decay=100.0

¹²³Cd-J^{π},T_{1/2}: From Adopted Levels of ¹²³Cd.

¹²³Cd-Q(β^{-}): From 2021Wa16.

- 1989Hu03: source of ¹²³Cd was produced via the U(n,F) reaction with fast neutrons produced from the Be(d,n) reaction at the TANDAR Laboratory. Fission products were separated by an electro-magnetic mass separator. γ rays were detected with two HPGe detectors (FWHM=1.95 keV at 1.33 MeV). Measured E γ , I γ , $\gamma\gamma$ -coin, γ (t). Deduced levels, J, π , parent T_{1/2}, β -decay branching ratios, log *ft*. Systematics of neighboring odd-mass In isotopes. Comparisons with theoretical calculations.
- 1986Ho24: source of ¹²³Cd was produced via ²³⁵U(n,F) with neutrons provided by the R2-0 reactor at Studvik. Fission products were separated by the mass separator OSIRIS. γ rays were detected with Ge detectors. Conversion electrons were detected with a small high-resolution Si detector. Measured E γ , I γ , $\gamma\gamma$ -coin, γ (t). Deduced levels, J, π , parent T_{1/2}, β -decay branching ratios, log *ft*. Systematics of neighboring odd-mass In isotopes.

Others: 1973BaUR, 1981Ru07, 1983Re05, 1986Go10, 1986Ma42, 1987Sp09, 2014TeZY.

The decay scheme is that proposed by 1989Hu03. Fast-neutron fission favors high-spin isomer. γ -rays were distinguished by comparing the results from 1986Ho24. Decay scheme from 1986Ho24 is mixed from decays of g.s. and isomer. There are some discrepancies between the level scheme from 1989Hu03 and from 1986Ho24. The evaluator has adopted the g.s. decay scheme from 1989Hu03. However, there are many unplaced γ rays, as well as a large gap between the highest level and Q-value, therefore, the decay scheme is considered as incomplete and the deduced β -feedings and log *ft* as approximate.

^{123}I	n I	Level
-----------	-----	-------

E(level) [†]	$J^{\pi \ddagger}$	T _{1/2} ‡	E(level) [†]	$J^{\pi \ddagger}$
0.0	9/2+	6.15 s 27	2020.63 4	$(7/2^{-})$
327.21 5	1/2-	47.4 s 8	2029.91 6	$(1/2^+, 3/2^+)$
698.51 <i>4</i>	$(3/2)^{-}$		2136.63 4	$(1/2^+, 3/2^+)$
1052.29 <i>3</i>	$(5/2^+)$		2158.59 7	$(1/2^+, 3/2^+, 5/2^+)$
1137.55 5	$(3/2^{-}, 5/2^{-})$		2377.32 9	$(1/2^+, 3/2^+, 5/2^+)$
1512.12 4	7/2+,9/2+		2393.31 4	$(5/2^+)$
1566.24 4	$(9/2^+)$		2429.48 6	$(1/2^+, 3/2^+, 5/2^+)$
1615.72 7	$(1/2, 3/2, 5/2^{-})$		2529.30 6	$(1/2^+, 3/2^+)$
1926.55 6	$(1/2, 3/2, 5/2^{-})$		2541.31 5	$(1/2^+, 3/2^+)$

[†] From a least-squares fit to γ -ray energies.

[‡] From Adopted Levels.

β^{-} radiations

E(decay)	E(level)	$I\beta^{-\dagger\ddagger}$	Log ft	Comments
(3474 20)	2541.31	14.3 7	4.8 1	av Eβ=1517 16
(3486 20)	2529.30	10.5 5	5.0 1	av $E\beta = 1523 \ 16$
(3586 20)	2429.48	5.3 4	5.3 1	av $E\beta = 1570 \ 16$
(3622 20)	2393.31	21.2 12	4.7 1	av E β =1587 16
(3638 20)	2377.32	4.4 6	5.4 1	av $E\beta = 1594 \ 16$
(3856 20)	2158.59	4.1 <i>3</i>	5.6 1	av E β =1697 16
(3878 20)	2136.63	13.7 10	5.0 1	av $E\beta = 1708 \ 16$
(3985 20)	2029.91	5.1 9	5.5 1	av E β =1758 16
(3994 20)	2020.63	1.21 11	6.2 1	av $E\beta = 1763 \ 16$
(4088 20)	1926.55	1.0 4	6.3 2	av $E\beta = 1807 \ 16$
(4399 20)	1615.72	2.9 6	6.0 1	av E β =1955 16
(4877 20)	1137.55	3.9 8	6.0 1	av E β =2182 <i>16</i>

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

From ENSDF

¹²³Cd β⁻ decay (2.10 s) 1989Hu03,1986Ho24 (continued)

β^{-} radiations (continued)

E(decay)	E(level)	$I\beta^{-\dagger\ddagger}$	Log ft		Comments	
(4963 20) (5316 20)	1052.29 698.51	15.2 <i>18</i> ≤2	5.5 <i>1</i> ≥6.5	av $E\beta = 2222 \ 16$ av $E\beta = 2390 \ 16$		

[†] From γ +ce intensity imbalance at each level. Quoted values should be considered as approximate due to incomplete decay scheme.

[‡] Absolute intensity per 100 decays.

 $\gamma(^{123}\text{In})$

I γ normalization: From $\Sigma I(\gamma + ce \text{ to g.s.} + 327) = 100$. No β feeding is expected to g.s. (2nd forbidden) and feeding to 327 level is assumed to be negligible (≤ 1 from log *ft*=6.9 for 1st forbidden in this mass region).

E_{γ}^{\dagger}	$I_{\gamma}^{\dagger @}$	E_i (level)	\mathbf{J}_i^{π}	\mathbf{E}_{f}	${ m J}_f^\pi$	Mult.	α &	Comments
x244.0 3 256.69 5 347.48 8 353.63 7 363.7 6 371.32 3	0.37 22 2.8 4 3.0 5 3.6 4 0.25 2 52 3	2393.31 2377.32 1052.29 2393.31 698.51	$(5/2^+) (1/2^+, 3/2^+, 5/2^+) (5/2^+) (5/2^+) (3/2)^-$	2136.63 2029.91 698.51 2029.91 327.21	$(1/2^+, 3/2^+) (1/2^+, 3/2^+) (3/2)^- (1/2^+, 3/2^+) 1/2^-$	M1 [#]	0.0153	$\alpha(K)=0.0133; \alpha(L)=0.00161;$
			(-)-)		-, _			α (M)=0.00031 E _{γ} : other: 371.2 2 (1981Ru07).
438.68 [‡] 5 454.25 5 512.0 5 *525.20 20 *545.4 3	2.32 25 0.25 3 0.41 8 1.01 14 2.64 22	1137.55 2020.63 2541.31	$(3/2^-, 5/2^-)$ $(7/2^-)$ $(1/2^+, 3/2^+)$	698.51 1566.24 2029.91	$(3/2)^{-}$ $(9/2^{+})$ $(1/2^{+},3/2^{+})$			
602.73 <i>3</i> 615.1 <i>9</i> <i>x</i> 714.00 <i>25</i>	1.22 2 1.39 <i>13</i> 0.46 <i>14</i>	2529.30 2541.31	$(1/2^+, 3/2^+)$ $(1/2^+, 3/2^+)$	1926.55 1926.55	$(1/2,3/2,5/2^-)$ $(1/2,3/2,5/2^-)$			
810.29 3 813.63 9 827.23 25 881.17 5 883.0 9	6.3 6 1.9 3 0.33 15 4.4 9 0.25 6 2.0 4	1137.55 2429.48 2393.31 2393.31 2020.63	$\begin{array}{c} (3/2^-,5/2^-) \\ (1/2^+,3/2^+,5/2^+) \\ (5/2^+) \\ (5/2^+) \\ (7/2^-) \end{array}$	327.21 1615.72 1566.24 1512.12 1137.55	$1/2^{-} (1/2,3/2,5/2^{-}) (9/2^{+}) 7/2^{+},9/2^{+} (3/2^{-},5/2^{-})$			
917.16 6 999.12 15 x1044.88 12	4.4 5 1.4 3 1.7 3	1615.72 2136.63	$(1/2,3/2,5/2^{-})$ $(1/2^{+},3/2^{+})$	698.51 1137.55	(3/2) ⁻ (3/2 ⁻ ,5/2 ⁻)			
1052.28 <i>3</i>	25.1 16	1052.29	(5/2+)	0.0	9/2+			E_{γ} : other: 1052.3 2 (1981Ru07).
1084.32 3 1227.50 [‡] 5 1255.65 5 1288.35 20 1324.77 15 1331.44 5 1341.06 5 1377.36 10 1403.37 15	5.0 4 2.48 24 2.62 21 0.38 7 1.4 3 6.6 6 3.1 3 1.39 20 0.44 11	2136.63 1926.55 2393.31 1615.72 2377.32 2029.91 2393.31 2429.48 2541.31	$(1/2^+, 3/2^+)$ $(1/2, 3/2, 5/2^-)$ $(5/2^+)$ $(1/2, 3/2, 5/2^-)$ $(1/2^+, 3/2^+, 5/2^+)$ $(1/2^+, 3/2^+)$ $(5/2^+)$ $(1/2^+, 3/2^+, 5/2^+)$ $(1/2^+, 3/2^+)$	1052.29 698.51 1137.55 327.21 1052.29 698.51 1052.29 1052.29 1137.55	$(5/2^{+})$ $(3/2)^{-}$ $(3/2^{-},5/2^{-})$ $1/2^{-}$ $(5/2^{+})$ $(3/2)^{-}$ $(5/2^{+})$ $(5/2^{+})$ $(3/2^{-},5/2^{-})$			

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

				$\gamma(^{123}]$	(n) (continued)
E_{γ}^{\dagger}	$I_{\gamma}^{\dagger @}$	E _i (level)	\mathbf{J}_i^π	E_f	J_f^{π}
1438.13 5	8.4 7	2136.63	$(1/2^+, 3/2^+)$	698.51	$(3/2)^{-}$
1460.07 5	4.1 3	2158.59	$(1/2^+, 3/2^+, 5/2^+)$	698.51	$(3/2)^{-}$
1488.91 5	2.6 3	2541.31	$(1/2^+, 3/2^+)$	1052.29	$(5/2^+)$
1512.09 5	4.28 25	1512.12	$7/2^+, 9/2^+$	0.0	$9/2^{+}$
^x 1519.48 10	1.09 16				
1566.09 5	0.33 2	1566.24	$(9/2^+)$	0.0	9/2+
^x 1594.8 7	0.63 6				
1599.23 12	1.17 <i>19</i>	1926.55	$(1/2, 3/2, 5/2^{-})$	327.21	1/2-
^x 1641.86 20	0.63 13				
1694.81 5	7.1 5	2393.31	$(5/2^+)$	698.51	$(3/2)^{-}$
1702.37 [‡] 7	2.2 3	2029.91	$(1/2^+, 3/2^+)$	327.21	$1/2^{-}$
1730.95 6	1.99 19	2429.48	$(1/2^+, 3/2^+, 5/2^+)$	698.51	$(3/2)^{-}$
1809.50 9	1.72 18	2136.63	$(1/2^+, 3/2^+)$	327.21	1/2-
1830.78 5	6.1 4	2529.30	$(1/2^+, 3/2^+)$	698.51	$(3/2)^{-}$
1842.86 5	7.8 5	2541.31	$(1/2^+, 3/2^+)$	698.51	$(3/2)^{-}$
x1976.00 10	2.2 3				
2020.71 4	0.71 5	2020.63	$(7/2^{-})$	0.0	9/2+
2202.14 7	3.2 3	2529.30	$(1/2^+, 3/2^+)$	327.21	1/2-
2214.33 10	1.61 21	2541.31	$(1/2^+, 3/2^+)$	327.21	$1/2^{-}$
2393.46 15	0.71 2	2393.31	$(5/2^+)$	0.0	9/2+

¹²³Cd β^- decay (2.10 s) **1989Hu03,1986Ho24** (continued)

[†] From	1989Hu03,	unless	otherwise noted.	Values are	also a	vailable	in the	decay	schemes in	1986Ho24	but no	tabulated c	lata are
given.													

[‡] Poor fit. Uncertainty are increased to 0.2 keV in the fitting procedure.

[#] As given in the decay scheme in 1986Ho24 based on ce data. The same values are adopted in Adopted Gammas. No ce data is explicitly given by 1986Ho24.

[@] Absolute intensity per 100 decays.

& Total theoretical internal conversion coefficients, calculated using the BrIcc code (2008Ki07) with Frozen orbital approximation based on γ -ray energies, assigned multipolarities, and mixing ratios, unless otherwise specified.

 $x \gamma$ ray not placed in level scheme.



From ENSDF

 $^{123}_{49}\mathrm{In}_{74}\text{-}4$

 $^{123}_{49}\mathrm{In}_{74}$ -4