

$^{114}\text{Sn}(^{12}\text{C},3\text{n}\gamma)$ **1979Yo06**

Type	Author	History Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	Jun Chen	NDS 174, 1 (2021)	15-Apr-2021

1979Yo06: E=52-63 MeV ^{12}C beams were produced from the Grenoble variable-energy cyclotron. Target was metallic tin 66.5% enriched in ^{114}Sn . γ rays were detected with Ge(Li) detectors. Measured $E\gamma$, $I\gamma$, $\gamma\gamma$ -coin, $\gamma(t)$, $\gamma(\theta)$. Deduced levels, J , π , $T_{1/2}$, γ -ray multipolarities. Systematics of neighboring nuclei.

 ^{123}Ba Levels

E(level) [†]	$J^{\pi\ddagger}$	$T_{1/2}$	Comments
0.0	$5/2^{(+)}$		
92.70 10	($7/2^-$)	≈ 40 ns	$T_{1/2}$: from $\gamma(t)$ in 1979Yo06 .
169.6 10	($7/2^+$)		
202.70 15	($9/2^-$)		
335.9 8	($11/2^-$)		
374.9 11	($9/2^+$)		
582.9 8	($13/2^-$)		
613.0 11	($11/2^+$)		
757.0 10	($15/2^-$)		
1136.8 9	($17/2^-$)		
1326.0 11	($19/2^-$)		
1831.5 14	($21/2^-$)		
2020.7 15	($23/2^-$)		
2801.3 18	($27/2^-$)		

[†] From a least-squares fit to γ -ray energies.

[‡] From Adopted Levels. Assignments are supported by $\gamma(\theta)$ in **1979Yo06** where available.

 $\gamma(^{123}\text{Ba})$

E_γ [†]	I_γ [†]	E_i (level)	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Mult. [‡]	Comments
92.7 1	102 8	92.70	($7/2^-$)	0.0	$5/2^{(+)}$	(D+Q)	Mult.: $A_2=-0.20$ 5.
110.0 1	100 8	202.70	($9/2^-$)	92.70	($7/2^-$)	(D+Q)	Mult.: $A_2=-0.41$ 7.
133.3 10	≈ 81	335.9	($11/2^-$)	202.70	($9/2^-$)		Mult.: $A_2=-0.45$ 10 for composite line.
169.6 10	≈ 30	169.6	($7/2^+$)	0.0	$5/2^{(+)}$		
174.4 10	≈ 23	757.0	($15/2^-$)	582.9	($13/2^-$)		
189.1 10	≈ 33	1326.0	($19/2^-$)	1136.8	($17/2^-$)		
205.3 2	28 4	374.9	($9/2^+$)	169.6	($7/2^+$)	(D+Q)	Mult.: $A_2=-0.54$ 16.
238.1 3	14 3	613.0	($11/2^+$)	374.9	($9/2^+$)	(D+Q)	Mult.: $A_2=-0.31$ 9.
247.0 3	30 4	582.9	($13/2^-$)	335.9	($11/2^-$)	(D+Q)	Mult.: $A_2=-0.738$.
380.1 [#] 10	37 [#] 7	582.9	($13/2^-$)	202.70	($9/2^-$)		Mult.: $A_2=+0.04$ 10 for composite line.
380.1 [#] 10	37 [#] 7	1136.8	($17/2^-$)	757.0	($15/2^-$)		Mult.: $A_2=+0.29$ 6 for composite line.
421.3 10	≈ 118	757.0	($15/2^-$)	335.9	($11/2^-$)		Mult.: $A_2=+0.21$ 7.
553.7 6	30 5	1136.8	($17/2^-$)	582.9	($13/2^-$)		Mult.: $A_2=+0.20$ 6.
694.7 [#] 10	$\approx 55^{\#}$	1831.5	($21/2^-$)	1136.8	($17/2^-$)		Mult.: $A_2=+0.28$ 2 for composite line.
694.7 [#] 10	$\approx 55^{\#}$	2020.7	($23/2^-$)	1326.0	($19/2^-$)		
780.6 10	≈ 34	2801.3	($27/2^-$)	2020.7	($23/2^-$)		

[†] From **1979Yo06**, relative to $I(110\gamma)=100$. Uncertainties for $E\gamma$ are not explicitly given in **1979Yo06** and are assigned to be 0.1% for resolved lines according to authors' statement and 1 keV for unresolved composite line estimated by the evaluator.

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

 $^{114}\text{Sn}(^{12}\text{C},3n\gamma)$ **1979Yo06 (continued)** $\gamma(^{123}\text{Ba})$ (continued)

[‡] From $\gamma(\theta)$ in [1979Yo06](#). The evaluator assumed (D+Q) for transitions with negative A_2 values given under comment; large positive A_2 values are consistent with $\Delta J=2$ while $\Delta J=0$ also possible.

[#] Multiply placed with undivided intensity.

1979Yo06

Level Scheme

Intensities: Relative I_γ
& Multiply placed: undivided intensity given

Legend

