

^{122}Cs ε decay (21.18 s) **1977Ge03**

Type	Author	History Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	T. Tamura	NDS 108, 455 (2007)	30-Sep-2006

Parent: ^{122}Cs : $E=0.0$; $J^\pi=1^+$; $T_{1/2}=21.18$ s 19; $Q(\varepsilon)=7220$ 30; $\% \varepsilon + \% \beta^+$ decay=100.0

The decay scheme is that proposed by **1977Ge03** on the basis of $\gamma\gamma$ -coincidence and $E\gamma$ sums. See also ^{122}Cs β^+ decay (3.70 min).

1977Ge03: La(p,spallation) $E(p)=600$ MeV, MS; semi γ , ce, $\gamma\gamma$ -coincidence ceg-coincidence.

Others: γ , β^+ (**1975We23**); γ (**1972Dr06**,**1969NeZY**); $\gamma\gamma(\theta)$ (**1979Si11**); $\beta^+\gamma$ -coincidence (**1976DaYR**).

 ^{122}Xe Levels

E(level) [†]	J^π	E(level) [†]	J^π	E(level) [†]	J^π	E(level) [†]	J^π
0.0	0^+	1149.07 25	0^+	1882.2 3		2530.6 3	$0^+, 1, 2$
331.17 16	2^+	1214.07 19	$(3)^+$	2065.4 3	2^+	2642.2 3	1,2
828.35 20	4^+	1494.90 23	2^+	2264.3 5	$0^+, 1, 2$		
843.08 16	(2^+)	1716.3 3	1,2	2343.0 4	2^+		

[†] E(levels) are based on a least-squares fit to the $E(\gamma)$'s of **1977Ge03** (evaluator).

 ε, β^+ radiations

Evaluator notes that the apparent $\%(\varepsilon+\beta^+)=0.29$ to 828 ($J=4^+$) with $\log ft=7.3$ ($\Delta J=3$, $\Delta\pi=\text{no}$) and $\%(\varepsilon+\beta^+)=0.010$ to 1214 ($J=(3)^+$) with $\log ft=6.6$ ($\Delta J=2$, $\Delta\pi=\text{no}$) might be too strong.

E(decay)	E(level)	$I\beta^+$ [†]	$I\varepsilon$ [†]	Log ft	$I(\varepsilon+\beta^+)$ [†]	Comments
(4.58×10^3) 3)	2642.2	0.48 9	0.093 18	6.28 9	0.57 11	av $E\beta=1619$ 15; $\varepsilon K=0.139$ 3; $\varepsilon L=0.0185$ 4; $\varepsilon M+=0.00502$ 11
(4.69×10^3) 3)	2530.6	1.17 16	0.21 3	5.95 7	1.38 19	av $E\beta=1672$ 15; $\varepsilon K=0.129$ 3; $\varepsilon L=0.0171$ 4; $\varepsilon M+=0.00464$ 10
(4.88×10^3) 3)	2343.0	0.46 7	0.070 11	6.45 7	0.53 8	av $E\beta=1760$ 15; $\varepsilon K=0.1136$ 23; $\varepsilon L=0.0151$ 3; $\varepsilon M+=0.00409$ 9
(4.96×10^3) 3)	2264.3	0.44 7	0.063 10	6.51 7	0.50 8	av $E\beta=1797$ 15; $\varepsilon K=0.1078$ 22; $\varepsilon L=0.0143$ 3; $\varepsilon M+=0.00388$ 8
(5.15×10^3) 3)	2065.4	0.82 13	0.10 2	6.34 8	0.92 15	av $E\beta=1891$ 15; $\varepsilon K=0.0949$ 19; $\varepsilon L=0.01257$ 25; $\varepsilon M+=0.00341$ 7
(5.50×10^3) 3)	1716.3	0.79 12	0.078 12	6.51 7	0.87 13	av $E\beta=2057$ 15; $\varepsilon K=0.0765$ 14; $\varepsilon L=0.01013$ 19; $\varepsilon M+=0.00275$ 5
(5.73×10^3) 3)	1494.90	0.65 16	0.056 13	6.69 11	0.71 17	av $E\beta=2163$ 15; $\varepsilon K=0.0672$ 12; $\varepsilon L=0.00890$ 16; $\varepsilon M+=0.00241$ 5
(6.01×10^3) $\ddagger$ 3)	1214.07	0.95 19	0.068 13	6.65 9	1.02 20	av $E\beta=2297$ 15; $\varepsilon K=0.0574$ 10; $\varepsilon L=0.00760$ 13; $\varepsilon M+=0.00206$ 4
(6.07×10^3) 3)	1149.07	2.9 4	0.20 3	6.19 6	3.1 4	av $E\beta=2328$ 15; $\varepsilon K=0.0554$ 10; $\varepsilon L=0.00733$ 12; $\varepsilon M+=0.00199$ 4
(6.38×10^3) 3)	843.08	4.2 8	0.24 4	6.15 8	4.4 8	av $E\beta=2474$ 15; $\varepsilon K=0.0472$ 8; $\varepsilon L=0.00623$ 10; $\varepsilon M+=0.00169$ 3
(6.39×10^3) $\ddagger$ 3)	828.35	0.27 16	0.016 9	7.3 3	0.29 17	av $E\beta=2481$ 15; $\varepsilon K=0.0468$ 8; $\varepsilon L=0.00619$ 10; $\varepsilon M+=0.00168$ 3
(6.89×10^3) 3)	331.17	35 4	1.6 2	5.40 5	37 4	av $E\beta=2720$ 15; $\varepsilon K=0.0366$ 6; $\varepsilon L=0.00483$ 7; $\varepsilon M+=0.001311$ 19
(7.22×10^3) 3)	0.0	47 5	1.8 2	5.39 5	49 5	$E(\beta^+)=5800$ 700 (1975We23); 5700 500 (1976DaYR). av $E\beta=2880$ 15; $\varepsilon K=0.0314$ 5; $\varepsilon L=0.00414$ 6; $\varepsilon M+=0.001124$ 16

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

^{122}Cs ε decay (21.18 s) **1977Ge03** (continued) ε, β^+ radiations (continued)

† Absolute intensity per 100 decays.

‡ Existence of this branch is questionable.

 $\gamma(^{122}\text{Xe})$

I_γ normalization: $\%(\varepsilon+\beta)=48.3$ to g.s. was estimated by evaluator on the basis of $I(\gamma^\pm)/I(331.1\gamma)=4.02.53$ (**1975We23**) and theoretical ε/β^+ ratio in decay scheme.

E_γ	$I_\gamma^\dagger$	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Mult.‡	$\alpha^\&$	Comments
331.1 # 2	100	331.17	2 ⁺	0.0	0 ⁺	E2	0.0307	$\alpha(K)=0.0254$; $\alpha(L)=0.00419$; $\alpha(M)=0.00086$; $\alpha(N+...)=0.00021$
371.0 2	0.6 1	1214.07	(3) ⁺	843.08	(2 ⁺)	(M1)	0.0237	$\alpha(K)=0.02047$; $\alpha(L)=0.00262$; $\alpha(M)=0.00052$; $\alpha(N+...)=0.00013$
385.6 3	0.3 1	1214.07	(3) ⁺	828.35	4 ⁺	(M1,E2)	0.0203 12	$\alpha(K)=0.01726$; $\alpha(L)=0.00244$; $\alpha(M)=0.00049$; $\alpha(N+...)=0.00012$ $I_\gamma(385\gamma)/I_\gamma(882\gamma)$ is approximately 1.7 times than the same γ in ^{122}Cs ε decay (3.7 min).
497.1 2	2.1 3	828.35	4 ⁺	331.17	2 ⁺	E2	0.00912	$\alpha(K)=0.00772$; $\alpha(L)=0.00112$; $\alpha(M)=0.00023$ Mult.: from $^{122}\text{Te}(^3\text{He}, 3n\gamma)$, $^{110}\text{Pd}(^{16}\text{O}, 4n\gamma)$.
512.0 4	8.8 26	843.08	(2 ⁺)	331.17	2 ⁺	[M1,E2]	0.00959	$\alpha(K)=0.00814$; $\alpha(L)=0.00109$ I_γ : I_γ deduced from $I(\text{ce})$ of 1977Ge03 and $\alpha(K)=0.0081.10$ (M1,E2 ($\delta=1$) theory).
648.2 3	0.6 1	2530.6	0 ⁺ , 1,2	1882.2				
666.5 3	0.6 1	1494.90	2 ⁺	828.35	4 ⁺			
760.0 5	0.07 4	2642.2	1,2	1882.2				
817.9 # 2	6.5 4	1149.07	0 ⁺	331.17	2 ⁺	Q		
^x 822.1 5	0.13 6							
^x 827.9 5	0.20 6							
^x 840.5 4	0.4 1							
843.0 2	4.0 5	843.08	(2 ⁺)	0.0	0 ⁺			
851.1 4	0.14 6	2065.4	2 ⁺	1214.07	(3) ⁺			
873.1 3	0.36 4	1716.3	1,2	843.08	(2 ⁺)			
882.9 2	1.7 3	1214.07	(3) ⁺	331.17	2 ⁺	M1+E2		
^x 945.4 4	0.3 1							
1035.9 3	1.2 2	2530.6	0 ⁺ , 1,2	1494.90	2 ⁺			
1038.9 4	0.53 10	1882.2		843.08	(2 ⁺)			
^x 1148.4 6	0.2 1							
1163.6 4	0.79 13	1494.90	2 ⁺	331.17	2 ⁺			
^x 1177.0 6	0.15 6							
1194.0 7	0.05 3	2343.0	2 ⁺	1149.07	0 ⁺			
1222.5 5	0.36 10	2065.4	2 ⁺	843.08	(2 ⁺)			
1236.8 5	0.34 10	2065.4	2 ⁺	828.35	4 ⁺			
1385.2 3	1.46 20	1716.3	1,2	331.17	2 ⁺			
1421.5 7	0.13 6	2264.3	0 ⁺ , 1,2	843.08	(2 ⁺)			
1428.2 5	0.34 13	2642.2	1,2	1214.07	(3) ⁺			
^x 1441.3 7	0.04 3							
^x 1457.2 6	0.22 4							
^x 1460.0 4	0.19 4							
^x 1486.6 5	0.51 13							
1495.5 4	1.3 2	1494.90	2 ⁺	0.0	0 ⁺			

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

^{122}Cs ε decay (21.18 s) **1977Ge03** (continued) $\gamma(^{122}\text{Xe})$ (continued)

E_γ	$I_\gamma^\dagger@$	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	E_γ	$I_\gamma^\dagger@$	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π
1515.0 6	0.27 4	2343.0	2 ⁺	828.35	4 ⁺	^x 1889.8 7	0.46 9				
1550.9 7	0.21 4	1882.2		331.17	2 ⁺	1933.0 5	0.93 13	2264.3	0 ⁺ ,1,2	331.17	2 ⁺
1734.4 4	1.1 2	2065.4	2 ⁺	331.17	2 ⁺	2011.3 6	0.79 13	2343.0	2 ⁺	331.17	2 ⁺
1799.0 4	0.79 13	2642.2	1,2	843.08	(2 ⁺)	^x 2162.5 7	0.4 1				
^x 1811.1 6	0.46 9					2199.1 7	1.1 2	2530.6	0 ⁺ ,1,2	331.17	2 ⁺
^x 1836.1 6	0.40 13										

[†] Relative to I(331.1 γ)=100.

[‡] From $\alpha(\text{K})\text{exp}$. See ^{122}Cs β^+ decay (3.70 min).

$A_2=+0.2$ 2, $A_4=+1.1$ 4 from (331.1 γ)(817.9 γ)(θ) (**1979Si11**).

@ For absolute intensity per 100 decays, multiply by 0.475 41.

& Total theoretical internal conversion coefficients, calculated using the BrIcc code (**2008Ki07**) with Frozen orbital approximation based on γ -ray energies, assigned multipolarities, and mixing ratios, unless otherwise specified.

^x γ ray not placed in level scheme.

^{122}Cs ε decay (21.18 s) 1977Ge03

Decay Scheme

Legend

- $I_\gamma < 2\% \times I_\gamma^{\max}$
 —→ $I_\gamma < 10\% \times I_\gamma^{\max}$
 —→ $I_\gamma > 10\% \times I_\gamma^{\max}$
 • Coincidence

Intensities: $I_{(\gamma+ce)}$ per 100 parent decays