

Coulomb excitation 1974Ba45,1974La05,1979Sa05

Type	Author	History Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	T. Tamura	NDS 108, 455 (2007)	30-Sep-2006

(x,x'γ) measured σ(γ).

1974Ba45: E(¹⁶O)=42,44.8 MeV; semi γ; deduced B(E2).

1974La05: E(³²S)=57.5 MeV; semi γ, ³²S; deduced Q(2⁺).

1969He11: E(¹⁶O)=33 MeV; measured g-factor with IMPAC method.

1974Hu01: Re-determination of g-factor measured by 1969He11.

Others: semi γ (1970LaZM,1965Ro09); scin γ (1961St02,1959Mc59,1956Te26); g-factor (1966Bo19); inelastic scattering at incident energy around Coulomb barrier.

1979Sa05: E(³He)=19.52 MeV; semi ³He(θ); optical model and DWBA analysis, deduced B(E2), B(E3).

1974Ba45: E(α)=8,10 MeV, E(¹⁶O)=42 MeV; semi α, ¹⁶O; deduced B(E2),Q.

1977Sa04: E(α)=8-18 MeV, semi α(θ); DWBA analysis, deduced B(E2) Reorientation effect measurement.

1978Be10: E(α)=8-10 MeV, E(¹⁴N)=32-37.0 MeV, E(¹⁶O)=30.5-42 MeV E(¹⁸O)=34-35 MeV; semi α, ¹⁴N, ¹⁶O, ¹⁸O(θ); deduced B(E2), B(E3), Q.

1976Bo12: E(α)=10.0-10.5 MeV, E(¹⁶O)=35.0-54.0 MeV; deduced B(E2), Q.

Others: semi γ (1970LaZM,1965Ro09); scin γ (1961St02,1959Mc59,1956Te26); g-factor (1966Bo19); inelastic scattering at incident energy around Coulomb barrier.

¹²²Te Levels

E(level) [†]	J ^{π‡}	T _{1/2}	Comments
0.0	0 ⁺		
564.1 1	2 ⁺	7.46 ps 5	T _{1/2} : from B(E2) and branching=1.0. B(E2)↑=0.664 4. B(E2) value is weighted average of 0.664 20 (1977Sa04), 0.658 4 (1976Bo12), 0.667 11 (1974Ba45), 0.665 4 (1978Be10), 0.53 2 (1979Sa05). Others: 0.61 3 (1970LaZM), 0.65 5 (1959Mc59), 0.47 10 (1956Te26). Q=-0.57 5 or -0.35 5 (1978Be10), -0.48 5 or -0.22 5 (1976Bo12), -0.46 10 or -0.20 10 (1974Ba45), -0.43 8 or -0.21 8 (1974La05). g-factor(2 ⁺)=0.33 3 (1981Sh15); others: 0.54 4 (1966Bo19), 0.31 3 (1967Bh06), 0.32 5 (1974Hu01).
1182.0 13	4 ⁺		
1256.9 1	2 ⁺	0.72 ps 17	T _{1/2} : from B(E2) and branching=0.17 2. B(E2)↑=0.021 5 (1961St02). Others: 0.0094 (1974Ba45), 0.01 (1976Bo12).
1357.0 13	0 ⁺		
1752.6 1	2 ⁺		1974Ba45 report matrix elements of 0.069 for 1752γ and 0.265 for 1188γ; however, these matrix elements are inconsistent with known branchings, %(1752γ)=60 2 and %(1188γ)=31 2. The authors appear to have reversed the branchings of the two γ's. The evaluator assumes that the matrix element of 1188γ is the one deduced by the authors.
2196.8 [#] 1	3 ⁻		B(E3)↑=0.11 3 (1979Sa05).

[†] Rounded values from Adopted Levels.

[‡] From Adopted Levels.

[#] From 1979Sa05.

Coulomb excitation 1974Ba45,1974La05,1979Sa05 (continued) $\gamma(^{122}\text{Te})$

<u>$E_i(\text{level})$</u>	<u>J_i^π</u>	<u>E_γ^\dagger</u>	<u>I_γ^\dagger</u>	<u>E_f</u>	<u>J_f^π</u>	<u>Mult.‡</u>
564.1	2 ⁺	564.1 <i>I</i>	100	0.0	0 ⁺	
1182.0	4 ⁺	617.2 <i>I</i>	100	564.1	2 ⁺	E2
1256.9	2 ⁺	692.8 <i>I</i>	100	564.1	2 ⁺	
		1256.9 <i>I</i>	20.1	0.0	0 ⁺	
1357.0	0 ⁺	793.3 <i>I</i>	100	564.1	2 ⁺	
1752.6	2 ⁺	395.2 <i>I</i>	7.3	1357.0	0 ⁺	
		495.5 <i>I</i>	7.4	1256.9	2 ⁺	
		1188.5 <i>I</i>	51.9	564.1	2 ⁺	
		1752.7 <i>I</i>	100	0.0	0 ⁺	

† Rounded values from adopted gammas.

‡ From adopted gammas.

Coulomb excitation 1974Ba45,1974La05,1979Sa05Level Scheme

Intensities: Relative photon branching from each level

