

$^{122}\text{In } \beta^- \text{ decay (1.5 s) } 1979\text{Fo10}$

Type	Author	History Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	T. Tamura	NDS 108, 455 (2007)	30-Sep-2006

Parent: ^{122}In : E=0.0; $J^\pi=1^+$; $T_{1/2}=1.5$ s 3; $Q(\beta^-)=6370$ 50; % β^- decay=100.0 $^{235}\text{U}(n,\text{F})$ E=th, on-line mass separation, chem; semi γ , ce; $\gamma\gamma$ -coin.Other: $\beta\gamma$ -coin ([1978Al18](#)).Decay scheme is that proposed by [1979Fo10](#). ^{122}Sn Levels

E(level) [†]	J^π [‡]	Comments
0.0	0 ⁺	
1140.31 5	2 ⁺	
2088.04 21	0 ⁺	
2153.48 11	2 ⁺	
2415.45 14	2 ⁺	
2492.47 16	3 ⁻	
2530.02 12	(0) ⁺	
2734.6 3	2 ⁺	
2775.34 15	2 ⁺	
2970.9? 4		E(level): Existence of this level is questioned in (n,n'γ) by 1991De38 .
3205.95 16	(0) ⁺	
3548.57 16	2 ⁺	
3582.9 4	2 ⁺	
3819.8 3	2 ⁺	
3899.48 16	0 ⁺ ,1 ⁺ ,2 ⁺	
4004.1 7	(2 ⁺)	
4106.5 4	1,2 ⁺	
4116.1 4	0 ⁺ ,1 ⁺ ,2 ⁺	
4179.4 4	0,1,2	

[†] E(levels) are based on a least-squares fit to Eγ's of [1979Fo10](#) (evaluator).[‡] From Adopted Levels. β^- radiations

E(decay)	E(level)	$I\beta^-$ [†]	Log ft	Comments
(2.19×10 ³ 5)	4179.4	0.12 7	5.9 3	av Eβ=871 23
(2.25×10 ³ 5)	4116.1	0.84 17	5.08 13	av Eβ=900 23
(2.26×10 ³ 5)	4106.5	0.28 6	5.57 14	av Eβ=904 23
(2.37×10 ³ 5)	4004.1	0.12 4	6.01 18	av Eβ=951 23
2.55×10 ³ 40	3899.48	3.1 6	4.68 13	av Eβ=999 23
				E(decay): from (β)(2759γ) (1978Al18).
(2.55×10 ³ 5)	3819.8	0.26 6	5.81 14	av Eβ=1036 24
(2.79×10 ³ 5)	3582.9	0.12 7	6.3 3	av Eβ=1146 24
(2.82×10 ³ 5)	3548.57	0.43 10	5.78 14	av Eβ=1162 24
3.57×10 ³ 60	3205.95	2.0 4	5.32 13	av Eβ=1322 24
				E(decay): from (β)(2065γ) (1978Al18).
(3.59×10 ³ 5)	2775.34	0.75 15	5.98 13	av Eβ=1524 24
(3.64×10 ³ 5)	2734.6	0.26 6	6.46 14	av Eβ=1544 24
(3.84×10 ³ 5)	2530.02	1.83 4	5.72 9	av Eβ=1640 24
(3.88×10 ³ 5)	2492.47	0.44 10	7.94 ^{1u} 14	av Eβ=1642 24
				E(decay): log f ^{1u} t=7.94 14 for 3 ⁻ level is too low for the 1u β-transition, the 3 ⁻

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

^{122}In β^- decay (1.5 s) 1979Fo10 (continued) β^- radiations (continued)

E(decay)	E(level)	$I\beta^{-\dagger}$	Log $f\tau$	Comments
(3.95×10 ³ 5)	2415.45	0.81 16	6.13 13	level is possibly Be fed by either undetected or unassigned γ 's from unobserved high-lying levels because $Q\beta^-$ =6370 keV.
(4.22×10 ³ 5)	2153.48	2.8 8	5.71 16	av E β =1694 24
(4.28×10 ³ 5)	2088.04	0.49 13	6.49 15	av E β =1818 24
5.34×10 ³ 36	1140.31	16 4	5.36 14	av E β =1849 24
(6.37×10 ³ 5)	0.0	69 6	5.11 10	av E β =2298 24 E(decay): from $(\beta)(1140\gamma)$ (1978Al18). av E β =2839 24

[†] Absolute intensity per 100 decays.[‡] Existence of this branch is questionable. $\gamma(^{122}\text{Sn})$ I γ normalization: From measured absolute intensity of 1141 γ (1973Sc19).

E γ [†]	I γ ^{†#}	E _i (level)	J $^\pi_i$	E _f	J $^\pi_f$	Mult. [‡]	δ^{\ddagger}	Comments
^x 400.27 25	0.90 10							
947.72 20	1.7 3	2088.04	0 ⁺	1140.31	2 ⁺			
1013.12 10	9.2 20	2153.48	2 ⁺	1140.31	2 ⁺	M1+E2	+3.8 4	
1140.28 5	100 10	1140.31	2 ⁺	0.0	0 ⁺	E2		
1274.9 3	0.90 10	2415.45	2 ⁺	1140.31	2 ⁺	M1+E2	-0.34 4	
1352.15 15	1.5 2	2492.47	3 ⁻	1140.31	2 ⁺	E1(+M2)	-0.03 2	
1389.70 10	6.2 5	2530.02	(0) ⁺	1140.31	2 ⁺			
1634.96 15	1.8 2	2775.34	2 ⁺	1140.31	2 ⁺	M1+E2	+0.14 2	
1830.6@ 4	0.70 10	2970.9?		1140.31	2 ⁺			
2065.62 15	6.8 6	3205.95	(0) ⁺	1140.31	2 ⁺			
2153.74 25	0.35 10	2153.48	2 ⁺	0.0	0 ⁺	E2		
^x 2165.5 3	0.85 10							
2408.23 15	1.5 2	3548.57	2 ⁺	1140.31	2 ⁺			
2415.48 15	1.9 2	2415.45	2 ⁺	0.0	0 ⁺	E2		
2734.6 3	0.90 10	2734.6	2 ⁺	0.0	0 ⁺			
2759.13 15	10.6 10	3899.48	0 ⁺ ,1 ⁺ ,2 ⁺	1140.31	2 ⁺			
2775.7 4	0.80 10	2775.34	2 ⁺	0.0	0 ⁺	E2		
2966.0 4	0.45 6	4106.5	1,2 ⁺	1140.31	2 ⁺			
2975.7 4	2.9 3	4116.1	0 ⁺ ,1 ⁺ ,2 ⁺	1140.31	2 ⁺			
3039.0 4	0.40 20	4179.4	0,1,2	1140.31	2 ⁺			
3582.8 4	0.40 20	3582.9	2 ⁺	0.0	0 ⁺	E2		
3819.7 3	0.90 10	3819.8	2 ⁺	0.0	0 ⁺	E2		
4004.0 7	0.40 10	4004.1	(2 ⁺)	0.0	0 ⁺	Q		
4106.7 5	0.50 10	4106.5	1,2 ⁺	0.0	0 ⁺			

[†] From 1979Fo10.[‡] From adopted gammas.

For absolute intensity per 100 decays, multiply by 0.29 4.

@ Placement of transition in the level scheme is uncertain.

^x γ ray not placed in level scheme.

