

^{122}Xe ε decay **1981Ng04,1973Lo10,1969Gf01**

Type	Author	History Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	T. Tamura	NDS 108, 455 (2007)	30-Sep-2006

Parent: ^{122}Xe : $E=0.0$; $J^\pi=0^+$; $T_{1/2}=20.1$ h I ; $Q(\varepsilon)=725$ $I2$; $\% \varepsilon$ decay=100.0

The decay scheme is that proposed by **1981Ng04** on the basis of (ce) γ -coin, $\gamma\gamma$ -coin and $E\gamma$ sums.

1981Ng04: Ce(p,spallation) $E(p)=660$ MeV, chem, mass; semi γ , $\gamma\gamma$ -coin, magnetic spectrometer ce, magnetic spectrometer-semi ce γ -coin.

1973Lo10: Ce(p,spallation) $E(p)=800$ MeV, mass; semi γ , scin-semi $\gamma\gamma(t)$, magnetic double-lens spectrometer, (ce)(Auger)(t), (ce)(ce)(t), scin-magnetic spectrometer (γ)ce(t), (K x ray)ce(t).

1969Gf01: $^{120}\text{Te}(\alpha,2n)$; semi γ , semi-scin $\gamma\gamma$ -coin.

Others: γ (**1970LaZX,1965An05,1960Mo09,1954Ma75**).

 ^{122}I Levels

E(level) [†]	J^π	$T_{1/2}$	Comments
0.0	1^+		
53.114 15	$0,1,2,3^+$		
61.626 4	$0^+,1^+$	7.4 ns 3	$T_{1/2}$: weighted average of 7.55 ns 40 from (61.8 γ)(K x ray)(t) and 7.2 ns 7 from (61.8L+90.5K)(K x ray)(t) (1973Lo10).
90.596 6	$1^+,2^+$	1.9 ns 3	$T_{1/2}$: average value of (90.6 γ)(K x ray)(t) and (61.8L+90.5K)(K x ray)(t) (1973Lo10).
148.612 4	1^+	≤ 80 ps	$T_{1/2}$: from (148.6K)(KLL Auger)(t) and (148.6K)(K x ray)(t).
163.066 7	$1^+,2^+$		
175.574 10			
279.427 9	$0,1$		
350.053 8	1^+		
416.675 19	1^+		

[†] E(levels) are based on a least-squares fit to the $E(\gamma)$'s of **1981Ng04** (evaluator).

 ε radiations

E(decay)	E(level)	I_ε^{\ddagger}	Log ft	Comments
(308 12)	416.675	2.47 11	5.92 5	$\varepsilon K=0.8325$ 12; $\varepsilon L=0.1315$ 10; $\varepsilon M+=0.0360$ 3
(375 12)	350.053	9.25 21	5.54 4	$\varepsilon K=0.8376$ 8; $\varepsilon L=0.1276$ 6; $\varepsilon M+=0.03477$ 19
(446 12)	279.427	0.29 4	7.20 7	$\varepsilon K=0.8412$ 6; $\varepsilon L=0.1248$ 4; $\varepsilon M+=0.03391$ 13
(576 12)	148.612	3.75 16	6.33 3	$\varepsilon K=0.8454$ 3; $\varepsilon L=0.12166$ 23; $\varepsilon M+=0.03290$ 8
(663 12)	61.626	0.98 7	7.04 4	$\varepsilon K=0.8473$ 3; $\varepsilon L=0.12028$ 17; $\varepsilon M+=0.03246$ 6
(672 [#] 12)	53.114	≤ 0.48	≥ 7.4	$\varepsilon K=0.8474$ 3; $\varepsilon L=0.12016$ 17; $\varepsilon M+=0.03243$ 6
(725 12)	0.0	83.0 4	5.191 16	$\varepsilon K=0.8483$ 2; $\varepsilon L=0.11951$ 14; $\varepsilon M+=0.03222$ 5

[†] $I_\varepsilon=83.0$ 4(to g.s.) is calculated using $I_\gamma(350.065\gamma)/I(564.119\gamma)$ in $^{122}\text{Te}=0.444$ observed with $^{122}\text{Xe}(20$ h)+ $^{122}\text{I}(3.6$ min) equilibrium source (**1969Gf01**) and $I_\gamma(564.119\gamma)=0.176$ 4 per ^{122}I β^+ decay.

[‡] Absolute intensity per 100 decays.

[#] Existence of this branch is questionable.

¹²²Xe ε decay **1981Ng04,1973Lo10,1969Gf01** (continued)

γ(¹²²I)

I_γ normalization: from %ε(to g.s.)=83.0 4 (see ε data from ¹²²Xe ε decay).

E _γ [†]	I _γ ^{‡@}	E _i (level)	J _i ^π	E _f	J _f ^π	Mult.#	δ [#]	α&	Comments
53.080 18	0.37 3	53.114	0,1,2,3 ⁺	0.0	1 ⁺	[M1,E2]		11 7	α(K)=5.6 16; α(L)=4 4; α(M)=0.9 9; α(N+..)=0.21 19
58.015 13	1.16 3	148.612	1 ⁺	90.596	1 ⁺ ,2 ⁺	M1+E2	≤0.52	4.6 10	α(K)=3.4 3; α(L)=0.9 6; α(M)=0.19 12; α(N+..)=0.05 3 δ: from weighted average α(K)exp=3.2 5 α(K)exp=2.9 6 (1981Ng04), 3.7 8 (1973Lo10).
61.626 4	5.22 15	61.626	0 ⁺ ,1 ⁺	0.0	1 ⁺	M1		3.05	α(K)=2.62; α(L)=0.344; α(M)=0.0690; α(N+..)=0.01695 α(K)exp=2.0 4 (1981Ng04), 2.2 5 (1973Lo10).
66.63 5	0.34 4	416.675	1 ⁺	350.053	1 ⁺	[M1,E2]		5 3	α(K)=3.1 10; α(L)=1.5 13; α(M)=0.3 3; α(N+..)=0.07 7
72.470 6	2.38 16	163.066	1 ⁺ ,2 ⁺	90.596	1 ⁺ ,2 ⁺	M1(+E2)	≤0.46	2.2 4	α(K)=1.77 14; α(L)=0.36 15; α(M)=0.07 4; α(N+..)=0.018 8 α(K)exp=1.8 4 (1981Ng04), 1.7 2 (1973Lo10). δ: from 1981Ng04.
90.596 7	7.22 25	90.596	1 ⁺ ,2 ⁺	0.0	1 ⁺	M1+E2	0.49 25	1.30 25	α(K)=1.01 13; α(L)=0.22 10; α(M)=0.046 20; α(N+..)=0.011 5 α(K)exp=1.1 2 (1981Ng04), 0.97 15 (1973Lo10). δ: from weighted average of α(K)exp=1.02 12.
103.857 7	0.63 4	279.427	0,1	175.574		[M1,E2]		1.1 5	α(K)=0.83 25; α(L)=0.23 16; α(M)=0.05 4; α(N+..)=0.011 8
109.897 23	0.53 4	163.066	1 ⁺ ,2 ⁺	53.114	0,1,2,3 ⁺	[M1,E2]		0.9 4	α(K)=0.70 20; α(L)=0.18 12; α(M)=0.038 25; α(N+..)=0.009 6
116.355 9	1.30 4	279.427	0,1	163.066	1 ⁺ ,2 ⁺	[M1,E2]		0.8 3	α(K)=0.58 16; α(L)=0.14 9; α(M)=0.030 19; α(N+..)=0.007 5
148.612 4	33.6 12	148.612	1 ⁺	0.0	1 ⁺	M1		0.2485	α(K)=0.2139; α(L)=0.0277; α(M)=0.00556; α(N+..)=0.00316
163.052 22	1.71 7	163.066	1 ⁺ ,2 ⁺	0.0	1 ⁺	M1,E2		0.25 7	α(K)=0.21 4; α(L)=0.039 18; α(M)=0.008 4; α(N+..)=0.0019 9 α(K)exp=0.22 5 (1981Ng04).
174.45 3	1.84 7	350.053	1 ⁺	175.574		[M1,E2]		0.20 5	α(K)=0.17 3; α(L)=0.030 13; α(M)=0.006 3; α(N+..)=0.0015 6
175.582 14	3.34 14	175.574		0.0	1 ⁺	[M1,E2]		0.20 5	α(K)=0.16 3; α(L)=0.030 13; α(M)=0.006 3; α(N+..)=0.0015 6 α(K)exp=0.16 3 (1973Lo10).
186.978 10	7.15 23	350.053	1 ⁺	163.066	1 ⁺ ,2 ⁺	M1		0.1320	α(K)=0.1137; α(L)=0.01469; α(M)=0.00295; α(N+..)=0.00072 α(K)exp=0.114 18 (1981Ng04).
201.43 5	1.49 17	350.053	1 ⁺	148.612	1 ⁺	M1,E2		0.130 23	α(K)=0.107 15; α(L)=0.018 7; α(M)=0.0037 14; α(N+..)=0.0009 3
253.69 6	1.52 16	416.675	1 ⁺	163.066	1 ⁺ ,2 ⁺	M1,E2		0.064 6	α(K)exp=0.16 4 (1981Ng04). α(K)=0.054 4; α(L)=0.0083 19; α(M)=0.0017 4; α(N+..)=0.00041 9 α(K)exp=0.09 5 (1981Ng04).

2

¹²²Xe ε decay [1981Ng04](#),[1973Lo10](#),[1969Gf01](#) (continued)

γ(¹²²I) (continued)

E_γ †	I_γ ‡@	E_i (level)	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Mult.#	α &	Comments
259.4 4	0.7 2	350.053	1 ⁺	90.596	1 ⁺ ,2 ⁺			
288.42 3	5.20 16	350.053	1 ⁺	61.626	0 ⁺ ,1 ⁺	[M1,E2]	0.0437 2I	$\alpha(K)=0.0369$ 9; $\alpha(L)=0.0055$ 9; $\alpha(M)=0.00111$ 20; $\alpha(N+..)=0.00027$ 5
326.3 5	≈0.5	416.675	1 ⁺	90.596	1 ⁺ ,2 ⁺			
350.065 10	100.0 19	350.053	1 ⁺	0.0	1 ⁺	[M1,E2]	0.0250 3	$\alpha(K)=0.0212$ 6; $\alpha(L)=0.00300$ 24; $\alpha(M)=0.00061$ 6; $\alpha(N+..)=0.00015$ $\alpha(K)_{\text{exp}}=0.021$ 5 (1981Ng04 , 1973Lo10); $\Delta\alpha(K)_{\text{exp}}=15$ is given by 1981Ng04 .
355.11 4	3.1 5	416.675	1 ⁺	61.626	0 ⁺ ,1 ⁺	[M1,E2]	0.0240 4	$\alpha(K)=0.0204$ 7; $\alpha(L)=0.00287$ 22; $\alpha(M)=0.00058$ 5; $\alpha(N+..)=0.00014$
416.633 25	24.0 5	416.675	1 ⁺	0.0	1 ⁺	M1	0.01629	$\alpha(K)=0.01408$; $\alpha(L)=0.00177$; $\alpha(M)=0.00035$ $\alpha(K)_{\text{exp}}=0.0145$ 22 (1981Ng04).

† From [1981Ng04](#); all γ's, except for 259.35γ and 326.3γ are also observed by [1973Lo10](#).

‡ Relative to I(350.065γ)=100; I(326.3γ) is deduced from ceγ-coin spectrum.

Multipolarities and mixing ratios are deduced from α(exp) ([1981Ng04](#),[1973Lo10](#)); values are normalized to α(K)exp(148 γ)=0.215 (M1 theory), for the transitions below 100 keV [1981Ng04](#) use Ice(K) of [1973Lo10](#).

@ For absolute intensity per 100 decays, multiply by 0.0780 8.

& Total theoretical internal conversion coefficients, calculated using the BrIcc code ([2008Ki07](#)) with Frozen orbital approximation based on γ-ray energies, assigned multipolarities, and mixing ratios, unless otherwise specified.

^{122}Xe ϵ decay 1981Ng04,1973Lo10,1969Gf01

Decay Scheme

Legend

- $I_\gamma < 2\% \times I_\gamma^{\text{max}}$
- $I_\gamma < 10\% \times I_\gamma^{\text{max}}$
- $I_\gamma > 10\% \times I_\gamma^{\text{max}}$
- Coincidence

Intensities: $I_{(\gamma+ce)}$ per 100 parent decays

