

$^{121}\text{Cs}$   $\varepsilon$  decay (155 s) **1991Ge02**

Type	Author	History Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	S. Ohya	NDS 111, 1619 (2010)	20-Jan-2009

Parent:  $^{121}\text{Cs}$ : E=0;  $J^\pi=3/2^{(+)}$ ;  $T_{1/2}=155$  s 4;  $Q(\varepsilon)=5372$  18;  $\% \varepsilon + \% \beta^+$  decay=100.0

**1991Ge02**: La(p,spall) E=600 MeV, on-line ms; measured  $\gamma$ ,  $\gamma\gamma$ ,  $\gamma\gamma(t)$ . La( $^3\text{He}$ ,spall) E=280 MeV on-line ms; measured ce with Si detector with magnetic  $\beta^+$  shield,  $\beta^+$  with  $4\pi\beta$  plastic scin. Authors proposed level scheme in the mixed decays of  $^{121}\text{Cs}$  (155 s) and  $^{121}\text{Cs}$  (122 s) and also showed selected levels in the decay of  $^{121}\text{Cs}$  (122 s).

**1981So06**:  $^{124}\text{Xe}(p,4n)$  E=52 MeV,  $E_\gamma$ ,  $I_\gamma$ ,  $\gamma\gamma$ ,  $E\beta^+$ ,  $I\beta^+$ ,  $x/\gamma$  ratio, deduced decay scheme.

**1984PaZZ**: measured  $Q(\beta^+)=5.21$  MeV 22 from shape-fitting procedure (a different method from F-K analysis).

**1975We23**: La(p,3pxn) E=600 MeV ms; measured  $\gamma$  in coincidence with  $\beta^+$ .

Decay scheme is from evaluator based on that in **1991Ge02**. Evaluator assumed no direct  $\varepsilon+\beta^+$  feeding from  $^{121}\text{Cs}$   $\varepsilon$  decay (155 s) to the levels at 179.44 keV and 196.081 keV which are strongly fed from  $^{121}\text{Cs}$   $9/2^{(+)}$  state, and also assumed no direct  $\varepsilon+\beta^+$  feeding from  $^{121}\text{Cs}$   $\varepsilon$  decay (122 s) to the levels at 153.95 keV, 239.74 keV, 264.5 keV, 427.12 keV and 449.85 keV which are strongly fed from  $^{121}\text{Cs}$   $3/2^{(+)}$  state. See  $^{121}\text{Cs}$   $\varepsilon$  decay (122 s). The decay scheme of  $^{121}\text{Cs}$  g.s.  $\varepsilon+\beta^+$  decay is still tentative due to similarity of half-lives of g.s. and the isomeric state.

 $^{121}\text{Xe}$  Levels

E(level) <sup>†</sup>	$J^\pi$ <sup>‡</sup>	$T_{1/2}$ <sup>#</sup>	E(level) <sup>†</sup>
0	$5/2^{(+)}$	40.1 min 20	553.03 16
153.96 11	$(1/2^+)$	80 ns 15	619.91 16
179.44 13	$7/2^{(+)}$		661.06 15
196.08 16	$7/2^{(-)}$	8 ns 2	682.41 18
239.77 13	$(3/2^+)$		696.50 14
355.77 15	$(5/2^-)$		737.44 21
427.12 17	$(3/2^+, 5/2^+)$		946.2 4
449.84 12	$(5/2^+)$		1117.6 4
497.2 5			

<sup>†</sup> E(levels) are based on a least-squares fit to E( $\gamma$ 's).

<sup>‡</sup> From Adopted Levels.

<sup>#</sup> From  $\gamma\gamma(t)$ .

 $\varepsilon, \beta^+$  radiations

E(decay)	E(level)	$I\beta^+$ <sup>†</sup>	$I\varepsilon$ <sup>†</sup>	Log ft	$I(\varepsilon+\beta^+)$ <sup>†</sup>	Comments
(4254 18)	1117.6	0.5 3	0.1 1	7.0 4	0.6 4	av $E\beta=1467.5$ 85; $\varepsilon K=0.1756$ 24; $\varepsilon L=0.0233$ 4; $\varepsilon M+=0.00633$ 9
(4426 18)	946.2	0.19 12	0.04 3	7.5 4	0.23 15	av $E\beta=1547.8$ 85; $\varepsilon K=0.1551$ 21; $\varepsilon L=0.0206$ 3; $\varepsilon M+=0.00559$ 8
(4635 18)	737.44	1.1 6	0.20 11	6.8 3	1.3 7	av $E\beta=1645.8$ 85; $\varepsilon K=0.1339$ 17; $\varepsilon L=0.01776$ 23; $\varepsilon M+=0.00482$ 6
(4676 18)	696.50	1.6 8	0.29 14	6.7 3	1.9 9	av $E\beta=1665.1$ 85; $\varepsilon K=0.1302$ 17; $\varepsilon L=0.01727$ 22; $\varepsilon M+=0.00469$ 6
(4690 18)	682.41	0.9 5	0.17 9	6.9 3	1.1 6	av $E\beta=1671.7$ 85; $\varepsilon K=0.1289$ 16; $\varepsilon L=0.01710$ 22; $\varepsilon M+=0.00464$ 6
(4711 18)	661.06	2.2 10	0.39 18	6.5 3	2.6 12	av $E\beta=1681.7$ 85; $\varepsilon K=0.1270$ 16; $\varepsilon L=0.01685$ 21; $\varepsilon M+=0.00457$ 6
(4752 18)	619.91	1.5 8	0.26 13	6.7 3	1.8 9	av $E\beta=1701.1$ 85; $\varepsilon K=0.1235$ 16; $\varepsilon L=0.01638$ 21; $\varepsilon M+=0.00445$ 6
(4819 18)	553.03	0.3 3	0.06 6	7.4 5	0.4 4	av $E\beta=1732.7$ 85; $\varepsilon K=0.1181$ 15; $\varepsilon L=0.01565$ 20; $\varepsilon M+=0.00425$ 6

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

$^{121}\text{Cs}$   $\epsilon$  decay (155 s) **1991Ge02** (continued)

$\epsilon, \beta^+$  radiations (continued)

E(decay)	E(level)	$I_{\beta^+}^\dagger$	$I_\epsilon^\dagger$	Log $ft$	$I(\epsilon+\beta^+)^\dagger$	Comments
(4875 18)	497.2	0.26 13	0.040 20	7.6 3	0.30 15	av $E\beta=1759.0$ 85; $\epsilon K=0.1137$ 14; $\epsilon L=0.01508$ 19; $\epsilon M+=0.00409$ 5
(4922 18)	449.84	3.8 17	0.6 3	6.4 3	4.4 20	av $E\beta=1781.4$ 85; $\epsilon K=0.1102$ 14; $\epsilon L=0.01461$ 18; $\epsilon M+=0.00396$ 5
(4945 18)	427.12	3.3 16	0.48 23	6.5 3	3.8 18	av $E\beta=1792.1$ 85; $\epsilon K=0.1086$ 13; $\epsilon L=0.01439$ 18; $\epsilon M+=0.00391$ 5
(5016 18)	355.77	3.5 17	0.48 23	6.5 3	4.0 19	av $E\beta=1825.8$ 86; $\epsilon K=0.1036$ 13; $\epsilon L=0.01374$ 17; $\epsilon M+=0.00373$ 5
(5132 18)	239.77	9 4	1.1 6	6.2 3	10 5	av $E\beta=1880.7$ 86; $\epsilon K=0.0962$ 12; $\epsilon L=0.01275$ 15; $\epsilon M+=0.00346$ 4
(5218 18)	153.96	12 5	1.4 6	6.1 3	13 6	av $E\beta=1921.4$ 86; $\epsilon K=0.0911$ 11; $\epsilon L=0.01207$ 14; $\epsilon M+=0.00328$ 4
(5372 18)	0	49 22	5.2 23	5.5 3	54 24	av $E\beta=1994.4$ 86; $\epsilon K=0.0828$ 10; $\epsilon L=0.01097$ 13; $\epsilon M+=0.00298$ 4

$^\dagger$  For absolute intensity per 100 decays, multiply by 1.00 45.

$\gamma(^{121}\text{Xe})$

$I_\gamma$  normalization: from  $\Sigma I(\gamma+ce)$  to g.s. +  $I(\epsilon+\beta^+)$  to g.s.)=100.  $I(\epsilon+\beta^+)$  to g.s.)=54% 24 measured value from  $\gamma^\pm$ ,  $I(\beta^+)$  (1991Ge02).

Unplaced  $\gamma$ 's are not assigned to g.s. or isomeric state.

$E_\gamma$	$I_\gamma^{\ddagger\#}$	$E_i(\text{level})$	$J_i^\pi$	$E_f$	$J_f^\pi$	Mult.	$\alpha^\dagger$	Comments
$^{59.3}$ 5 85.9 3	4 1 62 4	239.77	(3/2 <sup>+</sup> )	153.96	(1/2 <sup>+</sup> )	M1	1.267 22	$\alpha(K)=1.087$ 19; $\alpha(L)=0.1438$ 25; $\alpha(M)=0.0292$ 5; $\alpha(N+..)=0.00680$ 12 $\alpha(N)=0.00605$ 11; $\alpha(O)=0.000754$ 13 Mult.: from $\alpha(K)\text{exp}=1.2$ 2, $K/L=7$ 1.
108.0 2	20 3	661.06		553.03		M1	0.660	$\alpha(K)=0.566$ 9; $\alpha(L)=0.0747$ 12; $\alpha(M)=0.01517$ 23; $\alpha(N+..)=0.00353$ 6 $\alpha(N)=0.00314$ 5; $\alpha(O)=0.000392$ 6 Mult.: from $\alpha(K)\text{exp}=0.4$ 1, $K/L=12$ 6.
153.9 2	455 15	153.96	(1/2 <sup>+</sup> )	0	5/2 <sup>(+)</sup>	E2	0.397	$\alpha(K)=0.301$ 5; $\alpha(L)=0.0766$ 12; $\alpha(M)=0.01615$ 25; $\alpha(N+..)=0.00357$ 6 $\alpha(N)=0.00323$ 5; $\alpha(O)=0.000344$ 6 Mult.: from $K/L=3.9$ 4. $\alpha(K)(E2)=0.303$ is used as normalization.
159.7 2	50 3	355.77	(5/2 <sup>-</sup> )	196.08	7/2 <sup>(-)</sup>	M1	0.221	$\alpha(K)=0.190$ 3; $\alpha(L)=0.0249$ 4; $\alpha(M)=0.00505$ 8; $\alpha(N+..)=0.001175$ 17 $\alpha(N)=0.001044$ 15; $\alpha(O)=0.0001305$ 19 Mult.: from $\alpha(K)\text{exp}=0.20$ 2, $K/L\approx 8$ .
179.4 2	81 8	179.44	7/2 <sup>(+)</sup>	0	5/2 <sup>(+)</sup>	M1	0.1606	$\alpha(K)=0.1381$ 20; $\alpha(L)=0.0180$ 3; $\alpha(M)=0.00366$ 6; $\alpha(N+..)=0.000852$ 13 $\alpha(N)=0.000757$ 11; $\alpha(O)=9.47\times 10^{-5}$ 14 Mult.: from $\alpha(K)\text{exp}=0.15$ 2, $K/L=7.4$ 4.
196.0 2	74 7	196.08	7/2 <sup>(-)</sup>	0	5/2 <sup>(+)</sup>	E1	0.0325	$\alpha(K)=0.0281$ 4; $\alpha(L)=0.00358$ 6; $\alpha(M)=0.000723$ 11; $\alpha(N+..)=0.0001662$ 24 $\alpha(N)=0.0001482$ 22; $\alpha(O)=1.80\times 10^{-5}$ 3 From $\alpha(K)\text{exp}=0.030$ 3, $K/L=8.2$ 9.
210.1 2	22 2	449.84	(5/2 <sup>+</sup> )	239.77	(3/2 <sup>+</sup> )	[E2]	0.1360	$\alpha(K)=0.1082$ 16; $\alpha(L)=0.0221$ 4; $\alpha(M)=0.00462$

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

$^{121}\text{Cs}$   $\varepsilon$  decay (155 s) **1991Ge02** (continued) $\gamma(^{121}\text{Xe})$  (continued)

$E_\gamma$	$I_\gamma^{\dagger\#}$	$E_i(\text{level})$	$J_i^\pi$	$E_f$	$J_f^\pi$	Mult.	$\alpha^\dagger$	Comments
239.6 2	232 14	239.77	(3/2 <sup>+</sup> )	0	5/2 <sup>(+)</sup>	M1	0.0736	7; $\alpha(\text{N}+..)=0.001032$ 15 $\alpha(\text{N})=0.000929$ 14; $\alpha(\text{O})=0.0001028$ 15 Mult.: assumed E2 to deduce $\alpha$ . $\alpha(\text{K})=0.0634$ 9; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.00820$ 12; $\alpha(\text{M})=0.001664$ 24; $\alpha(\text{N}+..)=0.000388$ 6 $\alpha(\text{N})=0.000344$ 5; $\alpha(\text{O})=4.31\times 10^{-5}$ 7 Mult.: from $\alpha(\text{K})\text{exp}=0.075$ 15, K/L=7.5 8.
270.2 2	50 4	449.84	(5/2 <sup>+</sup> )	179.44	7/2 <sup>(+)</sup>	M1	0.0536	$\alpha(\text{K})=0.0461$ 7; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.00595$ 9; $\alpha(\text{M})=0.001206$ 17; $\alpha(\text{N}+..)=0.000281$ 4 $\alpha(\text{N})=0.000250$ 4; $\alpha(\text{O})=3.13\times 10^{-5}$ 5 Mult.: from $\alpha(\text{K})\text{exp}=0.06$ 2, K/L=9.
273.2 3 295.8 2	5 1 43 3	427.12 449.84	(3/2 <sup>+</sup> ,5/2 <sup>+</sup> ) (5/2 <sup>+</sup> )	153.96 153.96	(1/2 <sup>+</sup> ) (1/2 <sup>+</sup> )	(E2)	0.0438	$\alpha(\text{K})=0.0360$ 5; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.00619$ 9; $\alpha(\text{M})=0.001282$ 19; $\alpha(\text{N}+..)=0.000290$ 5 $\alpha(\text{N})=0.000260$ 4; $\alpha(\text{O})=2.98\times 10^{-5}$ 5 Mult.: from $\alpha(\text{K})\text{exp}=0.04$ 1, K/L=5 1.
326.8 2 <sup>x</sup> 337.2 3 343.2 4 355.9 2	11 3 7 3 9 2 70 4	682.41 497.2	(5/2 <sup>-</sup> )	355.77 153.96	(5/2 <sup>-</sup> ) (1/2 <sup>+</sup> )	E1	0.00672 10	$\alpha=0.00672$ 10; $\alpha(\text{K})=0.00581$ 9; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.000726$ 11; $\alpha(\text{M})=0.0001464$ 21; $\alpha(\text{N}+..)=3.39\times 10^{-5}$ 5 $\alpha(\text{N})=3.02\times 10^{-5}$ 5; $\alpha(\text{O})=3.72\times 10^{-6}$ 6 Mult.: from $\alpha(\text{K})\text{exp}=0.007$ 3.
<sup>x</sup> 361.7 3 373.8 3 380.1 3 393.2 3	6 2 11 2 8 2 7 3	553.03 619.91 946.2		179.44 239.77 553.03	7/2 <sup>(+)</sup> (3/2 <sup>+</sup> )			This $\gamma$ is placed from 657.6 level in $^{121}\text{Cs}$ $\varepsilon+\beta^+$ decay (122 s).
398.8 3 427.1 2	14 2 109 7	553.03 427.12	(3/2 <sup>+</sup> ,5/2 <sup>+</sup> )	153.96 0	(1/2 <sup>+</sup> ) 5/2 <sup>(+)</sup>	(M1,E2)	0.0153 13	$\alpha(\text{K})=0.0130$ 12; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.00180$ 3; $\alpha(\text{M})=0.000367$ 6; $\alpha(\text{N}+..)=8.47\times 10^{-5}$ 14 $\alpha(\text{N})=7.55\times 10^{-5}$ 12; $\alpha(\text{O})=9.2\times 10^{-6}$ 4 Mult.: (M1,E2)+E0? from $\alpha(\text{K})\text{exp}=0.023$ 4, K/L=6 2.
<sup>x</sup> 445.4 3 450.1 2	4 1 10 3	449.84	(5/2 <sup>+</sup> )	0	5/2 <sup>(+)</sup>			This $\gamma$ is placed from 449.85 and 646.1 levels in $^{121}\text{Cs}$ $\varepsilon+\beta^+$ decay (122 s).
456.6 @ 3 456.6 @ 5 466.0 3 481.6 3 486.1 3 497.6 2 542.6 2 553.0 3 558.3 4 564.5 5	21 @ 3 10 @ 4 12 3 20 4 15 6 29 5 18 3 36 5 10 6 8 3	696.50 1117.6 619.91 661.06 682.41 737.44 696.50 553.03 737.44 1117.6		239.77 661.06 153.96 179.44 196.08 239.77 153.96 0 179.44 553.03	(3/2 <sup>+</sup> ) (1/2 <sup>+</sup> ) 7/2 <sup>(+)</sup> 7/2 <sup>(-)</sup> (3/2 <sup>+</sup> ) (1/2 <sup>+</sup> ) 5/2 <sup>(+)</sup> 7/2 <sup>(+)</sup>			

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

$^{121}\text{Cs}$   $\varepsilon$  decay (155 s) **1991Ge02** (continued) $\gamma(^{121}\text{Xe})$  (continued)

$E_\gamma$	$I_\gamma^{\ddagger\#}$	$E_i(\text{level})$	$J_i^\pi$	$E_f$	$J_f^\pi$	$E_\gamma$	$I_\gamma^{\ddagger\#}$	$E_i(\text{level})$
619.9 2	34 5	619.91		0	5/2(+)	<sup>x</sup> 936.6 3	12 2	
<sup>x</sup> 646.8 3	12 3					<sup>x</sup> 949.2 3	8 3	
661.1 2	35 4	661.06		0	5/2(+)	<sup>x</sup> 986.3 4	6 3	
<sup>x</sup> 674.8 2	7 2					<sup>x</sup> 1060.3 4	8 3	
682.3 3	7 3	682.41		0	5/2(+)	<sup>x</sup> 1076.7 4	8 3	
<sup>x</sup> 690.5 2	8 4					<sup>x</sup> 1115.0 8	7 4	
696.5 2	18 4	696.50		0	5/2(+)	<sup>x</sup> 1140.3 4	30 5	
<sup>x</sup> 797.9 4	8 2					<sup>x</sup> 1179.8 5	5 2	
<sup>x</sup> 841.9 7	7 2					<sup>x</sup> 1255.2 5	6 3	
<sup>x</sup> 850.5 3	10 3					<sup>x</sup> 1276.4 6	10 3	
<sup>x</sup> 867.2 4	6 2					<sup>x</sup> 1396.2 5	7 3	
<sup>x</sup> 881.2 3	5 2					<sup>x</sup> 1416.5 5	10 3	
<sup>x</sup> 891.6 3	7 2					<sup>x</sup> 1432.7 5	40 6	
<sup>x</sup> 900.2 3	8 2					<sup>x</sup> 1458.0 6	8 3	
<sup>x</sup> 905.4 4	4 2					<sup>x</sup> 1497.7 6	8 3	
<sup>x</sup> 914.3 3	10 2					<sup>x</sup> 1511.1 6	8 3	
<sup>x</sup> 922.6 3	7 4							

† Normalized to  $\alpha(K)\text{exp}=0.303$  for 153.9 $\gamma$  (E2 theory).

‡ Evaluator has removed the contribution from  $^{121}\text{Cs}$   $\varepsilon$  decay (122 s). Evaluator assumed no direct  $\varepsilon+\beta^+$  feeding from  $^{121}\text{Cs}$   $\varepsilon$  decay (155 s) to the levels at 179.44 keV and 196.081 keV which are strongly fed from  $^{121}\text{Cs}$  9/2(+) state, and also assumed no direct  $\varepsilon+\beta^+$  feeding from  $^{121}\text{Cs}$   $\varepsilon$  decay (122 s) to the levels at 153.95 keV, 239.74 keV, 264.5 keV, 427.12 keV and 449.85 keV which are strongly fed from  $^{121}\text{Cs}$  3/2(+) state. See  $^{121}\text{Cs}$   $\varepsilon$  decay (122 s).

# For absolute intensity per 100 decays, multiply by 0.033 15.

@ Multiply placed with intensity suitably divided.

<sup>x</sup>  $\gamma$  ray not placed in level scheme.

$^{121}\text{Cs}$   $\epsilon$  decay (155 s) 1991Ge02

Decay Scheme

Intensities:  $I_{(\gamma+ce)}$  per 100 parent decays  
 @ Multiply placed: intensity suitably divided

Legend

- $I_{\gamma} < 2\% \times I_{\gamma}^{max}$
- $I_{\gamma} < 10\% \times I_{\gamma}^{max}$
- $I_{\gamma} > 10\% \times I_{\gamma}^{max}$

