

^{121}Te ε decay (164.2 d) 1975Me23

Type	Author	History		Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	S. Ohya	Citation		
		NDS 111, 1619 (2010)		20-Jan-2009

Parent: ^{121}Te : E=293.991 22; $J^\pi=11/2^-$; $T_{1/2}=164.2$ d 8; $Q(\varepsilon)=1054$ 26; $\% \varepsilon + \% \beta^+$ decay=11.4 11

^{121}Te - $\% \varepsilon + \% \beta^+$ decay: from $I(\gamma+\text{ce} 212\gamma)/\Sigma I(\gamma+\text{ce}$ to g.s.). Note that in an equilibrium 19-d, 154-d ^{121}Te source, 11% of the intensity of the 37γ is due to the ^{121}Te ε decay (19.17 d).

1975Me23: Compton suppression spectrometer semi γ .

Others: scin γ , $\gamma\gamma$, magnetic spectrograph ce (1964Ch08); semi γ (1971Au03).

See also ^{121}Te IT decay (164.2 d).

 ^{121}Sb Levels

E(level) [†]	J^π [‡]	$T_{1/2}$	Comments
0.0	5/2 ⁺	stable	
37.138 10	7/2 ⁺	3.46 ns 3	$T_{1/2}$: from Adopted Levels.
946.991 14	9/2 ⁺		
1024.0 3	7/2 ⁺		
1035.433 15	9/2 ⁺		
1139.292 20	9/2 ⁺ ,11/2 ⁺		
1144.66 4	9/2 ⁺		

[†] E(levels) are based on a least-squares fit to $E\gamma$'s from 1975Me23.

[‡] From Adopted Levels.

 ε, β^+ radiations

E(decay)	E(level)	$I\beta^+$ [†]	$I\varepsilon$ [†]	Log ft	Comments
(2.0×10 ² 3)	1144.66		0.00148 22	10.97 16	$\varepsilon K=0.823$ 7; $\varepsilon L=0.139$ 6; $\varepsilon M+=0.0375$ 17
(2.1×10 ² 3)	1139.292		2.54 3	7.76 15	$\varepsilon K=0.824$ 7; $\varepsilon L=0.138$ 5; $\varepsilon M+=0.0372$ 16
(3.1×10 ² 3)	1035.433		0.078 8	9.66 11	$\varepsilon K=0.8382$ 24; $\varepsilon L=0.1279$ 19; $\varepsilon M+=0.0340$ 6
(3.2×10 ² 3)	1024.0		0.00008 4	12.2 ^{1u} 3	$\varepsilon K=0.791$ 10; $\varepsilon L=0.164$ 8; $\varepsilon M+=0.0453$ 24
(4.0×10 ² 3)	946.991		0.079 8	9.89 9	$\varepsilon K=0.8437$ 14; $\varepsilon L=0.1236$ 11; $\varepsilon M+=0.0327$ 4
(1.31×10 ³ 3)	37.138	0.0011 6	8.7 10	9.72 ^{1u} 8	av $E\beta=150$ 13; $\varepsilon K=0.8497$ 3; $\varepsilon L=0.11892$ 21; $\varepsilon M+=0.03125$ 7 $I\beta^+$: deduced from intensity of $\gamma^\pm=0.052$ 21 relative to $I(537\gamma)=1000$ and theoretical $I(\beta^+)/I(\varepsilon)$ (1975Me23).

[†] Absolute intensity per 100 decays.

 $\gamma(^{121}\text{Sb})$

$I\gamma$ normalization: from $\Sigma I(\gamma+\text{ce}$ to g.s.)=11.4 11.

E_γ [†]	I_γ ^{‡#}	E_i (level)	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Mult.	α [@]	Comments
37.138 10	11.8 12	37.138	7/2 ⁺	0.0	5/2 ⁺	M1	10.87	$\alpha(K)=9.35$ 14; $\alpha(L)=1.226$ 18; $\alpha(M)=0.243$ 4; $\alpha(N+..)=0.0514$ 8 $\alpha(N)=0.0468$ 7; $\alpha(O)=0.00459$ 7 Mult.: from $\alpha(K)\exp=9.32$ 37 (1968Sn01).
103.85 8	0.011 4	1139.292	9/2 ⁺ ,11/2 ⁺	1035.433	9/2 ⁺	[M1,E2]	1.0 5	$\alpha(K)=0.8$ 3; $\alpha(L)=0.19$ 14; $\alpha(M)=0.04$

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

^{121}Te ε decay (164.2 d) 1975Me23 (continued) $\gamma(^{121}\text{Sb})$ (continued)

E_γ^\dagger	$I_\gamma^{\ddagger\#}$	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Comments
						$3; \alpha(\text{N+..})=0.008~6$ $\alpha(\text{N})=0.007~5; \alpha(\text{O})=0.0006~4$ a: for $\delta=1.0$; uncertainty chosen to overlap M1,E2 theory values.
909.847 18	0.881 19	946.991	$9/2^+$	37.138	$7/2^+$	
946.989 18	0.103 3	946.991	$9/2^+$	0.0	$5/2^+$	
998.291 11	0.997 22	1035.433	$9/2^+$	37.138	$7/2^+$	
1024.00 25	0.0010 5	1024.0	$7/2^+$	0.0	$5/2^+$	
1035.40 10	0.007 3	1035.433	$9/2^+$	0.0	$5/2^+$	
1102.149 18	31.8 7	1139.292	$9/2^+, 11/2^+$	37.138	$7/2^+$	
1107.60 18	0.005 2	1144.66	$9/2^+$	37.138	$7/2^+$	
1144.65 4	0.0135 6	1144.66	$9/2^+$	0.0	$5/2^+$	

[†] From 1975Me23. The evaluators have added 10 eV in quadrature to the quoted uncertainties to allow for uncertainties in calibration.

[‡] From 1975Me23. The evaluators have added 2% in quadrature to the quoted uncertainties to allow for uncertainties in efficiency calibration.

[#] For absolute intensity per 100 decays, multiply by 0.080 8.

[@] Total theoretical internal conversion coefficients, calculated using the BrIcc code (2008Ki07) with Frozen orbital approximation based on γ -ray energies, assigned multipolarities, and mixing ratios, unless otherwise specified.

^{121}Te ε decay (164.2 d) 1975Me23