

^{121}Cd β^- decay (8.3 s) 1982Fo04

Type	Author	History Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	S. Ohya	NDS 111, 1619 (2010)	20-Jan-2009

Parent: ^{121}Cd : $E=214.89$; $J^\pi=(11/2^-)$; $T_{1/2}=8.3$ s 8; $Q(\beta^-)=4780$ 80; $\% \beta^-$ decay=100.0

1982Fo04: U(n,F) on-line ms, semi γ ce, $\gamma\gamma$.

1982A129: U(n,F) on-line ms, $\beta\gamma$, $E\beta$; deduced $Q(\beta^-)$.

The decay scheme is that proposed by 1982Fo04 on the basis of $\gamma\gamma$ coin. measurements and $E\gamma$ sums.

 ^{121}In Levels

E(level) [†]	J^π [‡]	E(level) [†]	J^π [‡]	E(level) [†]	J^π [‡]
0.0	9/2 ⁺	2114.84 10	(9/2 ⁻ , 11/2 ⁻)	2396.4 3	
987.75 7	(9/2) ⁺	2134.21 11		2455.08 7	(9/2 ⁻ , 11/2 ⁻)
1020.84 6	(9/2, 11/2, 13/2) ⁺	2160.10 8	(11/2 ⁻)	2477.63 8	(11/2 ⁻ , 13/2 ⁻)
1181.56 7	(13/2) ⁺	2292.00 7	(11/2 ⁻)	2503.80 16	
1408.02 8	(9/2 ⁺)	2331.90 10	(9/2 ⁻ , 11/2 ⁻)	2510.78 10	(9/2 ⁻ , 11/2 ⁻)
1487.12 8	(9/2 ⁺)	2357.60 16	(9/2 ⁻ , 11/2 ⁻ , 13/2 ⁻)	2562.36 10	(11/2 ⁻)
1504.03 9		2364.88 8	(11/2 ⁻)	2581.40 11	(11/2 ⁻ , 13/2 ⁻)
2059.39 7	(11/2 ⁻)	2369.75 10	(9/2 ⁻ , 11/2 ⁻)		

[†] E(levels) are based on a least-squares fit to $E(\gamma'$ s).

[‡] From Adopted Levels.

 β^- radiations

E(decay)	E(level)	$I\beta^-$ [†]	Log ft	Comments
(2.41×10 ³ 8)	2581.40	5.0 4	5.15 9	av $E\beta=975$ 37
(2.43×10 ³ 8)	2562.36	4.5 5	5.21 9	av $E\beta=983$ 37
				$E(\beta)=2510$ 300 (coin with 2562 γ) (1982A129).
(2.48×10 ³ 8)	2510.78	3.02 4	5.43 8	av $E\beta=1007$ 37
				$E(\beta)=2640$ 550 (coin with 2511 γ) (1982A129).
(2.49×10 ³ 8)	2503.80	1.22 13	5.82 9	av $E\beta=1010$ 37
(2.52×10 ³ 8)	2477.63	6.7 3	5.10 8	av $E\beta=1023$ 37
				$E(\beta)=2320$ 400 (coin with 1457 γ) (1982A129).
(2.54×10 ³ 8)	2455.08	8.8 5	5.00 8	av $E\beta=1033$ 37
				$E(\beta)=2640$ 300 (coin with 2455 γ) (1982A129).
(2.60×10 ³ 8)	2396.4	0.24 5	6.61 12	av $E\beta=1060$ 38
(2.63×10 ³ 8)	2369.75	4.6 3	5.34 8	av $E\beta=1073$ 38
				$E(\beta)=2460$ 360 (coin with 1381 γ) (1982A129).
(2.63×10 ³ 8)	2364.88	8.0 8	5.11 9	av $E\beta=1075$ 38
				$E(\beta)=2670$ 230 (coin with 2365 γ) (1982A129).
(2.64×10 ³ 8)	2357.60	2.00 22	5.71 9	av $E\beta=1078$ 38
(2.66×10 ³ 8)	2331.90	5.0 5	5.33 9	av $E\beta=1090$ 38
				$E(\beta)=2760$ 420 (coin with 2331 γ) (1982A129).
(2.70×10 ³ 8)	2292.00	5.4 4	5.33 8	av $E\beta=1109$ 38
				$E(\beta)=2890$ 600 (coin with 1271 γ), $E(\beta)=2660$ (coin with 2292 γ) (1982A129).
(2.83×10 ³ 8)	2160.10	12.7 8	5.04 8	av $E\beta=1170$ 38
				$E(\beta)=2830$ 360 (coin with 1139 γ) (1982A129).
(2.86×10 ³ 8)	2134.21	1.4 5	6.01 17	av $E\beta=1182$ 38
(2.88×10 ³ 8)	2114.84	2.08 19	5.86 8	av $E\beta=1191$ 38
				$E(\beta)=2730$ 410 (coin with 2115 γ) (1982A129).
(2.94×10 ³ 8)	2059.39	18.7 12	4.94 8	av $E\beta=1217$ 38
				$E(\beta)=2830$ 140 (coin with 2059 γ) (1982A129).

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

^{121}Cd β^- decay (8.3 s) 1982Fo04 (continued) β^- radiations (continued)

<u>E(decay)</u>	<u>E(level)</u>	<u>$I\beta^-$[†]</u>	<u>Log ft</u>	<u>Comments</u>
(3.49×10^3 eV)	1504.03	1.0 4	6.53 19	av $E\beta=1478$ 38
(3.51×10^3 eV)	1487.12	0.8 4	6.63 23	av $E\beta=1486$ 38
(3.81×10^3 eV)	1181.56	2.6 9	6.28 17	av $E\beta=1630$ 38
(4.01×10^3 eV)	987.75	1.6 9	6.6 3	av $E\beta=1722$ 38
(4.99×10^3 eV)	0.0	4.8 25	6.52 24	av $E\beta=2190$ 38

[†] Absolute intensity per 100 decays.

¹²¹Cd β⁻ decay (8.3 s) 1982Fo04 (continued)

γ(¹²¹In)

I_γ normalization: from ΣI(γ+ce to g.s.)=95.2% assuming I(β⁻ to g.s.)=4.8% in accordance with similar 1st forbidden β⁻ (11/2⁻ to 9/2⁺) with logft=6.5 in this mass range.

<u>E_γ</u>	<u>I_γ[‡]</u>	<u>E_i(level)</u>	<u>J_i^π</u>	<u>E_f</u>	<u>J_f^π</u>	<u>Mult.</u>	<u>δ</u>	<u>α[#]</u>	<u>Comments</u>
100.75 10	16.4 15	2160.10	(11/2 ⁻)	2059.39	(11/2 ⁻)	M1		0.500	α(K)=0.433 7; α(L)=0.0547 8; α(M)=0.01063 16; α(N+..)=0.00209 3 α(N)=0.00194 3; α(O)=0.0001435 21 Mult.: from α(K)exp=0.36 10.
112.74 10	5.0 4	2477.63	(11/2 ⁻ ,13/2 ⁻)	2364.88	(11/2 ⁻)	M1+E2	+0.76 +55-43	0.60 18	α(K)=0.48 13; α(L)=0.10 5; α(M)=0.019 9; α(N+..)=0.0035 15 α(N)=0.0034 15; α(O)=0.00016 5 Mult.,δ: from α(K)exp=0.48 12. α: for δ=1.0, uncertainty chosen to overlap M1, E2 theory values.
160.73 15	2.6 3	1181.56	(13/2) ⁺	1020.84	(9/2,11/2,13/2) ⁺	E2		0.288	α(K)=0.231 4; α(L)=0.0455 7; α(M)=0.00903 13; α(N+..)=0.001654 24 α(N)=0.001579 23; α(O)=7.59×10 ⁻⁵ 11 Mult.: from α(K)exp=0.25 6.
185.6 [†] 3	1.4 5	2477.63	(11/2 ⁻ ,13/2 ⁻)	2292.00	(11/2 ⁻)				
194.6 3	1.2 2	1181.56	(13/2) ⁺	987.75	(9/2) ⁺	[E2]		0.1473	α(K)=0.1208 18; α(L)=0.0214 4; α(M)=0.00423 7; α(N+..)=0.000784 12 α(N)=0.000745 12; α(O)=3.90×10 ⁻⁵ 6
289.43 15	2.5 3	2581.40	(11/2 ⁻ ,13/2 ⁻)	2292.00	(11/2 ⁻)				
317.4 4	2.0 5	2477.63	(11/2 ⁻ ,13/2 ⁻)	2160.10	(11/2 ⁻)				
340.4 4	2.0 5	2455.08	(9/2 ⁻ ,11/2 ⁻)	2114.84	(9/2 ⁻ ,11/2 ⁻)				
418.2 8	1.0 3	2477.63	(11/2 ⁻ ,13/2 ⁻)	2059.39	(11/2 ⁻)				
420.10 10	21.0 10	1408.02	(9/2 ⁺)	987.75	(9/2) ⁺	M1,E2		0.0114 4	α(K)=0.00985 21; α(L)=0.00128 11; α(M)=0.000249 21; α(N+..)=4.8×10 ⁻⁵ 4 α(N)=4.5×10 ⁻⁵ 4; α(O)=3.15×10 ⁻⁶ 5 Mult.: from α(K)exp=0.017 10.
447.08 10	16.8 10	2581.40	(11/2 ⁻ ,13/2 ⁻)	2134.21					
466.15 10	6.6 4	1487.12	(9/2) ⁺	1020.84	(9/2,11/2,13/2) ⁺				
502.9 6	3.0 10	2562.36	(11/2 ⁻)	2059.39	(11/2 ⁻)				
572.24 10	9.6 6	2059.39	(11/2 ⁻)	1487.12	(9/2) ⁺				
651.5 6	2.4 6	2059.39	(11/2 ⁻)	1408.02	(9/2) ⁺				
751.73 15	6.1 6	2160.10	(11/2 ⁻)	1408.02	(9/2) ⁺				
827.8 4	3.6 10	2331.90	(9/2 ⁻ ,11/2 ⁻)	1504.03					
844.6 3	4.7 15	2331.90	(9/2 ⁻ ,11/2 ⁻)	1487.12	(9/2) ⁺				
860.7 6	2.6 8	2364.88	(11/2 ⁻)	1504.03					
865.7 4	2.8 8	2369.75	(9/2 ⁻ ,11/2 ⁻)	1504.03					
878.2 3	3.3 9	2059.39	(11/2 ⁻)	1181.56	(13/2) ⁺				
884.1 8	1.5 4	2292.00	(11/2 ⁻)	1408.02	(9/2) ⁺				

3

¹²¹Cd β⁻ decay (8.3 s) 1982Fo04 (continued)

γ(¹²¹In) (continued)

<u>E_γ</u>	<u>I_γ[‡]</u>	<u>E_i(level)</u>	<u>J_i^π</u>	<u>E_f</u>	<u>J_f^π</u>
952.54 10	24 2	2134.21		1181.56	(13/2) ⁺
956.9 8	1.6 4	2364.88	(11/2 ⁻)	1408.02	(9/2 ⁺)
978.8 8	5.6 15	2160.10	(11/2 ⁻)	1181.56	(13/2) ⁺
987.81 10	65 4	987.75	(9/2) ⁺	0.0	9/2 ⁺
1000.0 2	4.2 6	2503.80		1504.03	
1020.89 10	90 3	1020.84	(9/2,11/2,13/2) ⁺	0.0	9/2 ⁺
1038.5 8	7 2	2059.39	(11/2 ⁻)	1020.84	(9/2,11/2,13/2) ⁺
1069.49 10	9.5 5	2477.63	(11/2 ⁻ ,13/2 ⁻)	1408.02	(9/2 ⁺)
1102.9 6	1.5 5	2510.78	(9/2 ⁻ ,11/2 ⁻)	1408.02	(9/2 ⁺)
1110.6 3	4.0 10	2292.00	(11/2 ⁻)	1181.56	(13/2) ⁺
1127.0 8	1.6 4	2114.84	(9/2 ⁻ ,11/2 ⁻)	987.75	(9/2) ⁺
1139.35 10	29 2	2160.10	(11/2 ⁻)	1020.84	(9/2,11/2,13/2) ⁺
1174.1 3	3.3 5	2581.40	(11/2 ⁻ ,13/2 ⁻)	1408.02	(9/2 ⁺)
1181.45 10	59 2	1181.56	(13/2) ⁺	0.0	9/2 ⁺
1183.4 2	6 2	2364.88	(11/2 ⁻)	1181.56	(13/2) ⁺
1214.8 3	1.2 2	2396.4		1181.56	(13/2) ⁺
1271.30 10	15.8 8	2292.00	(11/2 ⁻)	1020.84	(9/2,11/2,13/2) ⁺
1296.2 8	2.6 6	2477.63	(11/2 ⁻ ,13/2 ⁻)	1181.56	(13/2) ⁺
1311.0 8	3.2 8	2331.90	(9/2 ⁻ ,11/2 ⁻)	1020.84	(9/2,11/2,13/2) ⁺
1336.75 15	10.0 10	2357.60	(9/2 ⁻ ,11/2 ⁻ ,13/2 ⁻)	1020.84	(9/2,11/2,13/2) ⁺
1380.9 8	1.8 5	2562.36	(11/2 ⁻)	1181.56	(13/2) ⁺
1381.97 10	15.3 10	2369.75	(9/2 ⁻ ,11/2 ⁻)	987.75	(9/2) ⁺
1399.9 2	2.3 4	2581.40	(11/2 ⁻ ,13/2 ⁻)	1181.56	(13/2) ⁺
1408.0 2	1.5 3	1408.02	(9/2) ⁺	0.0	9/2 ⁺
1433.81 15	3.4 5	2455.08	(9/2 ⁻ ,11/2 ⁻)	1020.84	(9/2,11/2,13/2) ⁺
1456.90 10	8.4 5	2477.63	(11/2 ⁻ ,13/2 ⁻)	1020.84	(9/2,11/2,13/2) ⁺
1467.54 10	15.8 8	2455.08	(9/2 ⁻ ,11/2 ⁻)	987.75	(9/2) ⁺
1487.27 15	11.5 6	1487.12	(9/2) ⁺	0.0	9/2 ⁺
1504.07 10	18.2 10	1504.03		0.0	9/2 ⁺
1515.8 [†] 2	1.9 3	2503.80		987.75	(9/2) ⁺
2059.41 10	100 4	2059.39	(11/2 ⁻)	0.0	9/2 ⁺
2114.83 10	10.8 6	2114.84	(9/2 ⁻ ,11/2 ⁻)	0.0	9/2 ⁺
2291.83 10	9.5 6	2292.00	(11/2 ⁻)	0.0	9/2 ⁺
2331.90 10	13.3 8	2331.90	(9/2 ⁻ ,11/2 ⁻)	0.0	9/2 ⁺
2364.83 10	38 2	2364.88	(11/2 ⁻)	0.0	9/2 ⁺
2369.77 15	5.1 4	2369.75	(9/2 ⁻ ,11/2 ⁻)	0.0	9/2 ⁺
^x 2410.8 3	1.2 2				
2455.00 10	23 2	2455.08	(9/2 ⁻ ,11/2 ⁻)	0.0	9/2 ⁺
2510.75 10	13.6 9	2510.78	(9/2 ⁻ ,11/2 ⁻)	0.0	9/2 ⁺
2562.33 10	17.7 10	2562.36	(11/2 ⁻)	0.0	9/2 ⁺

γ(¹²¹In) (continued)

† The placement of both the 185.6γ and 1515.8γ from the 2510.78 level by 1982Fo04 gave very poor energy fit (≈7 keV). The evaluators modified their placement from different levels; 2477.62 (185.6γ) and 2503.80 level (1515.8γ), respectively.

* For absolute intensity per 100 decays, multiply by 0.200 4.

Total theoretical internal conversion coefficients, calculated using the BrIcc code (2008Ki07) with Frozen orbital approximation based on γ-ray energies, assigned multipolarities, and mixing ratios, unless otherwise specified.

^x γ ray not placed in level scheme.

^{121}Cd β^- decay (8.3 s) 1982Fo04

Decay Scheme

Intensities: $I_{(\gamma+ce)}$ per 100 parent decays

Legend

- $I_\gamma < 2\% \times I_\gamma^{\max}$
- $I_\gamma < 10\% \times I_\gamma^{\max}$
- $I_\gamma > 10\% \times I_\gamma^{\max}$
- Coincidence

$(11/2^-)$ 214.89 8.3 s 8
 $Q_{\beta^-} = 4780.80$ % $\beta^- = 100.0$
 $^{121}_{48}\text{Cd}_{73}$

