

$^{120}\text{Sb } \beta^+ \text{ decay (15.89 min) } 1970\text{Pa17}, 1971\text{Li09}, 1975\text{Ca21}$

Type	Author	History	Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	K. Kitao, Y. Tendow and A. Hashizume		NDS 96,241 (2002)	1-Dec-2001

Parent: ^{120}Sb : $E=0.0$; $J^\pi=1^+$; $T_{1/2}=15.89 \text{ min}$ 4; $Q(\beta^+)=2681$ 7; $\% \beta^+ \text{ decay}=100$

[1969Ki15](#): $^{120}\text{Sn}(p,n)$; semi γ , scin B.

[1970Ok02](#): $^{120}\text{Sn}(\gamma,p)$ $E=23 \text{ MeV}$; semi, scin; γ , $\gamma\gamma(\theta)$, $\gamma\gamma$.

[1970Pa17](#): $^{121}\text{Sb}(\gamma,n)$ $E=25 \text{ MeV}$; semi, scin; γ , $\gamma\gamma$.

[1971Li09](#): $^{120}\text{Sn}(n,p)$ $E=14\text{-}15 \text{ MeV}$; semi, scin; γ , $\gamma\gamma$, $X\gamma$ coin.

[1975Ca21](#): $^{121}\text{Sn}(\gamma,n)$ $E=50 \text{ MeV}$; semi scin; $(K\beta \times \text{ray})\beta$ coin.

Others: [1950Bi92](#), [1963Ok01](#).

Decay scheme was first suggested by [1969Ki15](#).

 ^{120}Sn Levels

$E(\text{level})^\ddagger$	$J^\pi^\dagger$	$T_{1/2}$
0.0	0^+	stable
1171.2 3	2^+	
1875.0 5	0^+	
2159.8 8	0^+	

† From Adopted Levels.

‡ From from a least-squares fit to $E(\gamma\text{'s})$ by the evaluators.

 ϵ, β^+ radiations

$E(\beta^+)=1700$ 20 ([1950Bi92](#)); others 1750 30 ([1969Ki15](#)). Values lead to decay energies which are 40 and 90 keV larger than the adopted values from [1977Wa08](#).

$(K-\epsilon/\beta^+)=1.057$ 0.035 ([1975Ca21](#)).

$E(\text{decay})^\dagger$	$E(\text{level})$	$I\beta^+^\ddagger$	$I\epsilon^\ddagger$	$\text{Log } ft$	Comments
(521 7)	2159.8		0.063 6	6.03 5	$\epsilon K=0.8499$ 2; $\epsilon L=0.11914$ 14; $\epsilon M+=0.03093$ 5
(806 7)	1875.0		0.149 13	6.05 4	$\epsilon K=0.8545$; $\epsilon L=0.11561$ 6; $\epsilon M+=0.02987$ 2
(1510 7)	1171.2	0.0147 12	1.47 8	5.610 24	av $E\beta=224.3$ 31; $\epsilon K=0.8497$ 5; $\epsilon L=0.11162$ 8; $\epsilon M+=0.02872$ 2
(2681 7)	0.0	41.0 7	57.3 9	4.525 8	av $E\beta=741.6$ 32; $\epsilon K=0.501$ 3; $\epsilon L=0.0649$ 4; $\epsilon M+=0.01665$ 10

† From $I\gamma$ imbalance at each level.

‡ Absolute intensity per 100 decays.

 $\gamma(^{120}\text{Sn})$

$I\gamma$ normalization: based on $I(511\gamma)/I(1171\gamma)=48.5$ 25 from [1969Ki15](#), ϵ/β^+ from from theory, and adopted decay scheme.

$I(511\gamma)/I(1171\gamma)=48.5$ 25 ([1969Ki15](#)).

$\gamma\gamma$ from [1970Ok02](#), [1970Pa17](#).

$\gamma\gamma(\theta)$ from [1970Ok02](#). Results for $(704\gamma)(1171\gamma)$ and $(989\gamma)(1171\gamma)$ are consistent only with $J(1875 \text{ level})=J(2160 \text{ level})=0$.

$E_\gamma^\dagger$	$I_\gamma^\ddagger$	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π
703.8 3	8.8 6	1875.0	0^+	1171.2	2^+
988.6 7	3.7 3	2159.8	0^+	1171.2	2^+
1171.2 3	100	1171.2	2^+	0.0	0^+

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

$^{120}\text{Sb} \beta^+$ decay (15.89 min) [1970Pa17](#), [1971Li09](#), [1975Ca21](#) (continued)

$\gamma(^{120}\text{Sn})$ (continued)

† From [1970Ok02](#). See also [1970Pa17](#) and [1971Li09](#).

‡ From [1969Ki15](#).

For absolute intensity per 100 decays, multiply by 0.0169 9.

