

¹¹⁶Cd(⁷Li,3n γ) 1984QuZX,1983Va14

Type	Author	History	Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	K. Kitao, Y. Tendow and A. Hashizume		NDS 96, 241 (2002)	1-Dec-2001

1983Va14: E=29 MeV; γ , $\gamma\gamma$, (γ)(pulsed beam)(t), $\gamma(\theta)$.

1984QuZX: E=23, 26, 29, 32 MeV, semi γ , excitation function, $\gamma\gamma$, $\gamma(\theta)$, (γ)(pulsed beam)(t).

1987Lu06: E=26,30,35,40 MeV, γ , $\gamma(t)$.

The level scheme is that proposed by 1984QuZX.

¹²⁰Sb Levels

E(level) [‡]	J π [#]	T _{1/2} [@]	Comments
0.0+x	8 ⁻		Additional information 1.
165.20+x 24			
230.40+x 21			
518.0+x 3	9 ⁻		
858.0+x [†] 3	8 ⁻		
1037.06+x [†] 25	9 ⁻		
1242.6+x [†] 3	10 ⁻		
1369.9+x [†] 4	10 ⁻		
1449.8+x 4	10 ⁻		
1564.9+x 4	11 ⁺		
1742.8+x [†] 5	11 ⁻		
2123.9+x 5	12 ⁺		
2134.1+x [†] 5	12 ⁻		
2266.3+x 4	12 ⁻		
2328.3+x 6	13 ⁺	400 ^{&} ns 8	
2552.7+x [†] 6	13 ⁻		
2736.4+x 5	(14)		
2884.7+x 6	(16)	14 ns 3	

[†] $\Delta J=1$ negative parity band built on 8⁻.

[‡] From a least-squares fit to the E(γ 's) by the evaluators. Uncertainties do not include the uncertainty in the reference level.

[#] Proposed by 1984QuZX based on $\gamma(\theta)$, cascading of γ 's with the same half-life, and syst on the $\Delta J=1$ band built on 8⁻ state in even Sb isotopes.

[@] From 1984QuZX, unless otherwise noted.

[&] From 1987Lu06. 1984QuZX also reported that the 204 γ and following γ 's decayed with a T_{1/2}=221 ns /2. However, 1984QuZX had suggested existence of another level with this half-life based on the 204 γ having a prompt component.

$\gamma(^{120}\text{Sb})$

E γ [†]	I γ [†]	E _i (level)	J π _i	E _f	J π _f	Mult. ^a
65.0 3	19.0 4	230.40+x		165.20+x		D
115.0 3	17.8 3	1564.9+x	11 ⁺	1449.8+x	10 ⁻	D
148.3 3	5.4 2	2884.7+x	(16)	2736.4+x	(14)	(Q)
165.0 3	26.0 3	165.20+x		0.0+x	8 ⁻	D
178.9 3	44.3 4	1037.06+x	9 ⁻	858.0+x	8 ⁻	D+Q
204.4 3	17.7 3	2328.3+x	13 ⁺	2123.9+x	12 ⁺	(Q)
230.4 3	100.0 4	230.40+x		0.0+x	8 ⁻	Q
287.4 [#] 3	107.8 5	518.0+x	9 ⁻	230.40+x		
322.4 3	36.8 4	1564.9+x	11 ⁺	1242.6+x	10 ⁻	D

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

$^{116}\text{Cd}(^7\text{Li},3n\gamma)$ **1984QuZX,1983Va14 (continued)** $\gamma(^{120}\text{Sb})$ (continued)

E_γ †	I_γ †	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Mult. ^a
332.8 3	32.5 3	1369.9+x	10 ⁻	1037.06+x	9 ⁻	D+Q
339.9 3	7.3 7	858.0+x	8 ⁻	518.0+x	9 ⁻	D
372.9 3	27.5 3	1742.8+x	11 ⁻	1369.9+x	10 ⁻	D+Q
391.3 3	13.5 4	2134.1+x	12 ⁻	1742.8+x	11 ⁻	D+Q
418.6 3	@	2552.7+x	13 ⁻	2134.1+x	12 ⁻	
470.1 3	8.3 6	2736.4+x	(14)	2266.3+x	12 ⁻	(Q)
511.7 ‡ ^b 4		1369.9+x	10 ⁻	858.0+x	8 ⁻	
559.0 3	32.3 5	2123.9+x	12 ⁺	1564.9+x	11 ⁺	D
627.6 3	34.2 4	858.0+x	8 ⁻	230.40+x		Q
705.7 ‡ ^b 4		1742.8+x	11 ⁻	1037.06+x	9 ⁻	
764.2 ‡ 4		2134.1+x	12 ⁻	1369.9+x	10 ⁻	
931.8 3	25.9 6	1449.8+x	10 ⁻	518.0+x	9 ⁻	D
1023.7 # 3	43.9 9	2266.3+x	12 ⁻	1242.6+x	10 ⁻	
1037.2 3	&	1037.06+x	9 ⁻	0.0+x	8 ⁻	
1242.6 3	64.8 5	1242.6+x	10 ⁻	0.0+x	8 ⁻	(Q)

† From 1984QuZX, unless otherwise noted.

‡ Taken from authors' drawing, value is a sum of cascade γ 's. No intensity was given by authors (1984QuZX).

Doublet (1984QuZX).

@ No intensity was given by the authors (1984QuZX).

& Weak (1984QuZX).

^a From $\gamma(\theta)$ (1983Va14,1984QuZX).

^b Placement of transition in the level scheme is uncertain.

$^{116}\text{Cd}(^7\text{Li},3n\gamma)$ 1984QuZX,1983Va14

Legend

Level Scheme

Intensities: Relative I_γ

- ▶ $I_\gamma < 2\% \times I_\gamma^{\text{max}}$
- ▶ $I_\gamma < 10\% \times I_\gamma^{\text{max}}$
- ▶ $I_\gamma > 10\% \times I_\gamma^{\text{max}}$
- - - -▶ γ Decay (Uncertain)

