

Adopted Levels

Type	Author	History	Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	J. H. Kelley, J. E. Purcell and C. G. Sheu		NP A968,71 (2017)	1-Jan-2017

$S(p)=-320.50$; $Q(\alpha)=-5570.30$ [2017Wa10](#)

Uncertainties in the ground state energy and width have dominated theoretical studies on ^{12}O ([1988Co15](#), [1997Kr10](#), [1999Ba03](#), [1999Sh43](#), [2001Le22](#), [2002Gr03](#), [2003Fo14](#), [2010Fo08](#), [2013Fo10](#), [2014RoZZ](#)). The analog states in ^{12}Be are utilized to gain insight into the expected ^{12}O structure ([1999Sh43](#), [2006Fo11](#), [2011Fo04](#)). The expected width (≈ 100 keV) is significantly smaller than experimentally reported widths. The ^2He decay is energetically possible and has been discussed in ([2001Ba31](#), [2001Gr29](#), [2002Gr03](#), [2002Gr25](#), [2003Ba99](#), [2003Fo14](#), [2006Ca05](#), [2009Le22](#)). See also ([2005Ji04](#), [2006Sa29](#), [2009Ba41](#), [2011Sh26](#), [2016Pa05](#)).

 ^{12}O LevelsCross Reference (XREF) Flags

A $^1\text{H}(^{14}\text{O},t)$
B $^9\text{Be}(^{13}\text{O},^{12}\text{O})$
C $^{12}\text{C}(\pi^+, \pi^-)$
D $^{16}\text{O}(\alpha, ^8\text{He})$

E(level)	J^π	$T_{1/2}$	$E_{\text{res}}(^{10}\text{C}+2p)(\text{keV})$	XREF	Comments
0.0	0^+	<72 keV	1638 24	ABCD	%2p=100 T=2 $T_{1/2}$: From (2012Ja11).
1.62×10^3 † 11	0^+	1.2 MeV 7	3.26×10^3 11	A C	%2p=100 T=2 E(level): From $E=1.62$ MeV 3(stat) 10(syst) (2016Su05). $T_{1/2}$: From $\Gamma=1.2$ MeV 1(stat) +3-7(syst) (2016Su05).
1968 52	(2^+)	0.48 MeV 11	3606 60	BC	%2p=100 T=2 E(level), Γ : From (2012Ja11).
4.2×10^3	1^-	2.2 MeV	≈ 5800	A	%2p=100 T=2 E(level), Γ : From (2016Su05).
7.0×10^3		2.2 MeV	≈ 8600	A	%2p=100 E(level), Γ : From (2016Su05).

† It is unclear whether the groups observed at $E_x=1.62$ MeV and $E_x=1.97$ MeV represent unique states.