

$^{14}\text{N}(\text{p,t})$  2015Ch50

Type	Author	History	Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	J. H. Kelley, J. E. Purcell and C. G. Sheu		NP A968, 71 (2017)	1-Jan-2017

**2015Ch50:** XUNDL dataset compiled by TUNL, 2015; updated, 2017.

The authors used the  $^{14}\text{N}(\text{p,t})$  reaction to populate  $^{12}\text{N}$  levels to resolve conflicting information on  $^{12}\text{N}$  levels.

A beam of 38 MeV protons, from the ORNL/Holifield beam facility, impinged on a  $^{\text{nat}}\text{N}$  (99.632%  $^{14}\text{N}$ ) gas target with  $(5-6)\times 10^{18}$  atoms/cm<sup>2</sup> at the target position of the JENSA gas-jet target system. The reaction products were detected in the position sensitive SIDAR  $\Delta E$ -E array, which covered  $\theta=19^\circ-54^\circ$ .

Angular distributions for triton groups were analyzed via DWBA analysis. Levels up to  $E_x \approx 7.5$  MeV are discussed and compared with previous results. The analysis of prior results was guided by comparison with analog levels in  $^{12}\text{C}$  and  $^{12}\text{B}$ , the present analysis suggests firmer  $J^\pi$  values and new levels at  $E_x=4561$  and 6275 keV.

**2017Ch19:** The data of (2015Ch50) were reanalyzed, with an emphasis of identifying proton decay from  $^{12}\text{N}$  states. For events where a triton from  $^{14}\text{N}(\text{p,t})$  reactions was detected in a  $\Delta E$ -E detector, the events with energy deposited in a different  $\Delta E$  detector, within 6  $\mu\text{s}$ , were evaluated in the search for proton emission from  $^{12}\text{N}$  states. The *triton energy vs decay particle energy* plots showed bands that were easily associated with  $p_0$ ,  $p_1$  and  $p_2$  proton emission to the  $^{11}\text{C}$  ground, first and second excited states, respectively. The projections of the different proton bands onto the triton energy axis revealed contributions from the various proton groups. The results on  $p_2$  were not sufficient for a meaningful analysis.

The branching ratios were obtained by assuming isotropic proton emission; the uncertainties include a 30% systematic uncertainty.

While the initial intent of the study was not to obtain the proton branching ratios for decay from the  $^{12}\text{N}^*$  levels, the article was prepared since the data reveals the capability of these studies using the SIDAR array.

**1976Yo03:**  $E_p=51.9$  MeV, measured  $\sigma(\theta)$ .

**1976Ce02:**  $E_p=52.5$  MeV, measured  $\sigma(\theta)$ .

References in (1980Aj01): Indicate  $\Delta M(^{12}\text{N})=17338$  keV  $I$ .

 $^{12}\text{N}$  Levels

E(level)#	$J^\pi$ #	$\Gamma^\dagger$ #	L#	$d\sigma/d\Omega$ (30°) relative to ground state	Comments
0	1 <sup>+</sup>	<179 keV	2	1	
956 8	2 <sup>+</sup>	<179 keV	2	0.49 $I$	
1195 30	2 <sup>-</sup>	116 keV 74	1,2	0.06 $I$	
2438 16	0 <sup>+</sup>	77 keV 92	2 $^\ddagger$	0.09 $I$	% $p_0=82$ 26.
3135 19	2 <sup>+</sup>	217 keV 82	2	0.03 $I$	% $p_0=48$ 15.
3558 7	1 <sup>+</sup>	245 keV 56	(2,0) $^\ddagger$	0.20 2	% $p_0=36$ 11.
4.16 $\times 10^3$ ? 10					E(level): From $E_x=4157$ keV 102. $J^\pi=2^-$ & $4^-$ in Adopted Levels.
4561 24	(1,2) <sup>+</sup>	517 keV 72	(2,0) $^\ddagger$	0.26 2	% $p_0=6$ 2 \$ % $p_1=90$ 50.
5346 9	(1,2,3) <sup>+</sup>	340 keV 91	2	0.11 2	% $p_0=17$ 6 \$ % $p_1=69$ 21.
6275 21	(1 <sup>-</sup> ,3 <sup>+</sup> )	256 keV 88	(1,2) $^\ddagger$	0.17 2	% $p_0=12$ 4 \$ % $p_1=17$ 5. E(level): Likely multiplet.
7.30 $\times 10^3$ ? 11					$\Gamma$ : Broad.

$^\dagger$  The experimental resolution has been removed from all widths except for  $^{12}\text{N}^*(0,956)$ .

$^\ddagger$  Likely admixture based on DWBA analysis.

# From (2015Ch50).