

^{119}Cs ε decay (30.4 s) 2001Ge01

Type	Author	History	Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	D. M. Symochko, E. Browne, J. K. Tuli		NDS 110,2945 (2009)	1-Dec-2008

Parent: ^{119}Cs : $E=0.0+x$; $J^\pi=3/2^{(+)}$; $T_{1/2}=30.4$ s I ; $Q(\varepsilon)=6.35\times 10^3$ $I2$; $\% \varepsilon + \% \beta^+$ decay=100.0

[Additional information 1.](#)

See ^{119}Cs ε decay (43.0 s) for a description of the experimental set up.

 ^{119}Xe Levels

Decay scheme is from evaluators based on that from [2001Ge01](#).

E(level) [‡]	J^π [†]	E(level) [‡]	J^π [†]	$T_{1/2}$	E(level) [‡]	J^π [†]
0.0	(5/2 ⁺)	225.42 $I5$	(7/2 ⁺)	70 ns	476.12 $I2$	(3/2 ⁺ , 5/2 ⁺)
169.69 $I1$	(5/2 ⁺)	246.23 $I2$	(1/2 ⁺)		524.63 $I3$	(5/2 ⁺)
176.45 $I7$	(7/2 ⁻)	314.30 $I3$	(3/2 ⁺)		1071.35 $I20$	(3/2 ⁺ , 5/2 ⁺)
197.40 $I20$	(5/2 ⁻)	390.50 $I3$	(3/2 ⁺ , 5/2 ⁺)			

[†] From Adopted Levels, Gammas.

[‡] Deduced by evaluators from least-squares fit to γ -ray energies.

 $\gamma(^{119}\text{Xe})$

E_γ	I_γ [‡]	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Mult. [†]	α [@]	Comments
68.0 $I2$	9.4 $I3$	314.30	(3/2 ⁺)	246.23	(1/2 ⁺)	(M1)	2.48	$\alpha(\text{L})_{\text{exp}}=0.9$ $I4$ $\alpha(\text{K})=2.13$ $I4$; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.283$ $I5$; $\alpha(\text{M})=0.0575$ $I10$; $\alpha(\text{N}+..)=0.01337$ $I22$
76.4 $I2$	1.9 $I1$	246.23	(1/2 ⁺)	169.69	(5/2 ⁺)	E2	4.73 $I8$	$\alpha(\text{K})_{\text{exp}}=3.0$ $I4$; $\alpha(\text{K})_{\text{exp}}/\alpha(\text{L})_{\text{exp}}=1.7$ $I2$ $\alpha(\text{K})=2.70$ $I5$; $\alpha(\text{L})=1.61$ $I3$; $\alpha(\text{M})=0.347$ $I7$; $\alpha(\text{N}+..)=0.0750$ $I14$
85.5 $I2$	0.6 $I3$	476.12	(3/2 ⁺ , 5/2 ⁺)	390.50	(3/2 ⁺ , 5/2 ⁺)	M1	1.284	$\alpha(\text{K})_{\text{exp}}=1.1$ $I3$ $\alpha(\text{K})=1.102$ $I18$; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.1458$ $I23$; $\alpha(\text{M})=0.0296$ $I5$; $\alpha(\text{N}+..)=0.00689$ $I11$
134.2 $I2$	11.0 [#] $I8$	524.63	(5/2 ⁺)	390.50	(3/2 ⁺ , 5/2 ⁺)	(M1)	0.358	$\alpha(\text{K})_{\text{exp}}=0.38$ $I8$; $\alpha(\text{K})_{\text{exp}}/\alpha(\text{L})_{\text{exp}}\geq 7$ $\alpha(\text{K})=0.308$ $I5$; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.0404$ $I6$; $\alpha(\text{M})=0.00821$ $I12$; $\alpha(\text{N}+..)=0.00191$ $I3$
144.3 $I3$	1.70 $I7$	390.50	(3/2 ⁺ , 5/2 ⁺)	246.23	(1/2 ⁺)	M1	0.290	$\alpha(\text{K})_{\text{exp}}=0.20$ $I5$; $\alpha(\text{K})_{\text{exp}}/\alpha(\text{L})_{\text{exp}}\geq 7$ $\alpha(\text{K})=0.249$ $I4$; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.0327$ $I5$; $\alpha(\text{M})=0.00664$ $I10$; $\alpha(\text{N}+..)=0.001547$ $I24$
144.7 $I3$	2.0 $I2$	314.30	(3/2 ⁺)	169.69	(5/2 ⁺)			
161.8 $I2$	1.5 $I6$	476.12	(3/2 ⁺ , 5/2 ⁺)	314.30	(3/2 ⁺)	M1	0.213	$\alpha(\text{K})_{\text{exp}}=0.17$ $I4$; $\alpha(\text{K})_{\text{exp}}/\alpha(\text{L})_{\text{exp}}\geq 7$ $\alpha(\text{K})=0.183$ $I3$; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.0240$ $I4$; $\alpha(\text{M})=0.00487$ $I7$; $\alpha(\text{N}+..)=0.001133$ $I17$
169.8 $I2$	52.0 [#] $I8$	169.69	(5/2 ⁺)	0.0	(5/2 ⁺)	M1+(E2)	0.23 $I5$	$\alpha(\text{K})_{\text{exp}}=0.15$ $I1$; $\alpha(\text{K})_{\text{exp}}/\alpha(\text{L})_{\text{exp}}=6.9$ $I7$ $\alpha(\text{K})=0.19$ $I3$; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.036$ $I16$; $\alpha(\text{M})=0.008$ $I4$; $\alpha(\text{N}+..)=0.0017$ $I7$
176.5 $I2$	2.05 $I8$	176.45	(7/2 ⁻)	0.0	(5/2 ⁺)	E1	0.0434	$\alpha(\text{K})_{\text{exp}}=0.039$ $I1$;

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

^{119}Cs ε decay (30.4 s) **2001Ge01** (continued) $\gamma(^{119}\text{Xe})$ (continued)

E_γ	$I_\gamma^\ddagger$	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Mult. [†]	$\alpha^@$	Comments
								$\alpha(\text{K})\text{exp}/\alpha(\text{L})\text{exp}=7.1$ 4 $\alpha(\text{K})=0.0374$ 6; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.00480$ 7; $\alpha(\text{M})=0.000968$ 14; $\alpha(\text{N}+..)=0.000222$ 4 I_γ : Evaluators assumed the 176-keV level to be populated only through the 524 (5/2 ⁺)-keV level. Thus $I(\gamma+\text{ce})(176)=I(\gamma+\text{ce})(348)=2.14$ 8. Using $\alpha(176)=0.0434$ gives $I_\gamma(176)=2.05(8)$.
197.4 2	19.9 1	197.40	(5/2 ⁻)	0.0	(5/2 ⁺)	E1	0.0319 5	$\alpha(\text{K})\text{exp}=0.030$ 3; $\alpha(\text{K})\text{exp}/\alpha(\text{L})\text{exp}\geq 7$ $\alpha(\text{K})=0.0275$ 4; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.00351$ 5; $\alpha(\text{M})=0.000709$ 11; $\alpha(\text{N}+..)=0.0001627$ 3
220.8 2	3.5 4	390.50	(3/2 ⁺ ,5/2 ⁺)	169.69	(5/2 ⁺)	(M1)	0.0916	$\alpha(\text{K})\text{exp}=0.06$ 2 $\alpha(\text{K})=0.0788$ 12; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.01022$ 15; $\alpha(\text{M})=0.00207$ 3; $\alpha(\text{N}+..)=0.000483$ 7
225.5 2	8.9 3	225.42	(7/2 ⁺)	0.0	(5/2 ⁺)	M1+(E2)	0.097 11	$\alpha(\text{K})\text{exp}=0.070$ 2; $\alpha(\text{K})\text{exp}/\alpha(\text{L})\text{exp}=5.3$ 3 $\alpha(\text{K})=0.080$ 6; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.013$ 4; $\alpha(\text{M})=0.0027$ 8; $\alpha(\text{N}+..)=0.00062$ 17 I_γ : Evaluators assumed the 225-keV level to be populated only through the 476(3/2 ⁺ ,5/2 ⁺)- and 524(5/2 ⁺)-keV levels. Thus $I(\gamma+\text{ce})(225)=$ $I(\gamma+\text{ce})(250)+I(\gamma+\text{ce})(299)=$ $5.6(2)+4.2(2)=9.8(3)$. Using $\alpha(225)=0.097$ gives $I_\gamma(225)=8.9(3)$.
246.2 2	22.6 3	246.23	(1/2 ⁺)	0.0	(5/2 ⁺)	E2	0.0798	$\alpha(\text{K})\text{exp}=0.060$ 3; $\alpha(\text{K})\text{exp}/\alpha(\text{L})\text{exp}=5.4$ 4 $\alpha(\text{K})=0.0646$ 10; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.01210$ 18; $\alpha(\text{M})=0.00252$ 4; $\alpha(\text{N}+..)=0.000565$ 8
250.7 3	5.2 2	476.12	(3/2 ⁺ ,5/2 ⁺)	225.42	(7/2 ⁺)	(M1,E2)	0.070 5	$\alpha(\text{K})\text{exp}=0.11$ 2; $\alpha(\text{K})\text{exp}/\alpha(\text{L})\text{exp}\geq 7$ $\alpha(\text{K})=0.059$ 3; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.0093$ 21; $\alpha(\text{M})=0.0019$ 5; $\alpha(\text{N}+..)=0.00044$ 10
278.3 3	1.26 [#] 20	524.63	(5/2 ⁺)	246.23	(1/2 ⁺)	E2	0.0533	$\alpha(\text{K})\text{exp}=0.045$ 5; $\alpha(\text{K})\text{exp}/\alpha(\text{L})\text{exp}=3$ 2 $\alpha(\text{K})=0.0436$ 7; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.00771$ 12; $\alpha(\text{M})=0.001598$ 24; $\alpha(\text{N}+..)=0.000361$ 6
299.3 2	3.6 [#] 2	524.63	(5/2 ⁺)	225.42	(7/2 ⁺)	M1,E2	0.0416 9	$\alpha(\text{K})\text{exp}=0.025$ 2; $\alpha(\text{K})\text{exp}/\alpha(\text{L})\text{exp}=$ 6 3 $\alpha(\text{K})=0.0350$ 6; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.0052$ 7; $\alpha(\text{M})=0.00107$ 16; $\alpha(\text{N}+..)=0.00025$ 4
306.6 2	0.8 2	476.12	(3/2 ⁺ ,5/2 ⁺)	169.69	(5/2 ⁺)			
314.3 2	29.0 3	314.30	(3/2 ⁺)	0.0	(5/2 ⁺)	M1+E2	0.0361 6	$\alpha(\text{K})\text{exp}=0.029$ 1; $\alpha(\text{K})\text{exp}/\alpha(\text{L})\text{exp}=9$ 3 $\alpha(\text{K})=0.0304$ 8; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.0045$ 5; $\alpha(\text{M})=0.00092$ 12; $\alpha(\text{N}+..)=0.000211$ 23

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

^{119}Cs ε decay (30.4 s) **2001Ge01** (continued) $\gamma(^{119}\text{Xe})$ (continued)

E_γ	$I_\gamma^\ddagger$	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Mult. [†]	$\alpha^@$	Comments
348.3 3	1.91 [#] 8	524.63	(5/2 ⁺)	176.45	(7/2 ⁻)	E1	0.00709 10	$\alpha(\text{K})_{\text{exp}}=0.0086$ 13 $\alpha(\text{K})=0.00613$ 9; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.000768$ 11; $\alpha(\text{M})=0.0001547$ 22; $\alpha(\text{N}+..)=3.58\times 10^{-5}$ 5
354.7 3	1.31 [#] 7	524.63	(5/2 ⁺)	169.69	(5/2 ⁺)	M1,E2	0.0196 12	$\alpha(\text{K})_{\text{exp}}=0.012$ 1; $\alpha(\text{K})_{\text{exp}}/\alpha(\text{L})_{\text{exp}}=10$ 3 $\alpha(\text{K})=0.0166$ 13; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.00234$ 7; $\alpha(\text{M})=0.000477$ 18; $\alpha(\text{N}+..)=0.000110$ 3
390.4 3	12.1 1	390.50	(3/2 ⁺ ,5/2 ⁺)	0.0	(5/2 ⁺)			
476.1 2	12.3 3	476.12	(3/2 ⁺ ,5/2 ⁺)	0.0	(5/2 ⁺)	M1,E2	0.0114 12	$\alpha(\text{K})_{\text{exp}}=0.009$ 3; $\alpha(\text{K})_{\text{exp}}/\alpha(\text{L})_{\text{exp}}=5.1$ 13 $\alpha(\text{K})=0.0098$ 11; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.00133$ 6; $\alpha(\text{M})=0.000270$ 9; $\alpha(\text{N}+..)=6.2\times 10^{-5}$ 3
524.5 3	1.22 [#] 8	524.63	(5/2 ⁺)	0.0	(5/2 ⁺)	M1+E2	0.0024 4	$\alpha(\text{K})_{\text{exp}}=0.0015$ 4 $\alpha(\text{K})=0.0021$ 3; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.00026$ 4; $\alpha(\text{M})=5.2\times 10^{-5}$ 7; $\alpha(\text{N}+..)=1.22\times 10^{-5}$ 16
756.7	0.9 4	1071.35	(3/2 ⁺ ,5/2 ⁺)	314.30	(3/2 ⁺)			
825.0 3	1.29 6	1071.35	(3/2 ⁺ ,5/2 ⁺)	246.23	(1/2 ⁺)			
902.0 3	1.38 6	1071.35	(3/2 ⁺ ,5/2 ⁺)	169.69	(5/2 ⁺)			
1071.0 4	0.9 2	1071.35	(3/2 ⁺ ,5/2 ⁺)	0.0	(5/2 ⁺)	M1+E2	0.00161 22	$\alpha(\text{K})_{\text{exp}}=0.0013$ 4 $\alpha(\text{K})=0.00140$ 19; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.000174$ 22; $\alpha(\text{M})=3.5\times 10^{-5}$ 5; $\alpha(\text{N}+..)=8.2\times 10^{-6}$ 10

[†] From conversion electron data.[‡] Decay-scheme normalization was not done because possible ε feeding to ground state is unknown.[#] Evaluators have removed the contribution from ^{119}Cs ε decay (43 s).[@] Total theoretical internal conversion coefficients, calculated using the BrIcc code (2008Ki07) with Frozen orbital approximation based on γ -ray energies, assigned multipolarities, and mixing ratios, unless otherwise specified.

^{119}Cs ε decay (30.4 s) 2001Ge01

Decay Scheme

Legend

Intensities: Relative I_γ

- $I_\gamma < 2\% \times I_\gamma^{\max}$
→ $I_\gamma < 10\% \times I_\gamma^{\max}$
→ $I_\gamma > 10\% \times I_\gamma^{\max}$

$$\begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow[30.4 \text{ s } I]{\begin{array}{cc} 3/2^{+} & 0.0+x \\ Q_\varepsilon=6.35 \times 10^3 \text{ keV} \end{array}} \\ \text{\textbf{\textit{119Cs}}}_{64} \end{array}$$

$\% \varepsilon + \% \beta^+ = 100$

